1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	STATE OF LOUISIANA
7	LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
8	BOARD OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
9	BEING HELD ON THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2024
10	617 NORTH THIRD STREET, FLOOR 1, LABELLE ROOM
11	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
	KRISTIE GARRISON, CCR
25	
	Page 1

1 EXAMINATIONINDEX	1	THE CHAIRMAN:
PAGE	2	Good afternoon, everyone. It is
3	3	Thursday, the 14th of November. Welcome to
4 CAPTION 1	4	the Rules Committee of the Board of Commerce &
5 APPEARANCES 3	5	Industry. We appreciate your attendance
6 PROCEEDINGS 4	6	today. I call the meeting to order.
7 REPORTER'S PAGE 100	7	Ms. Simmons, if you would call the
8	8	roll.
9	9	MS. SIMMONS:
10	10	Good afternoon, everyone.
11	11	Jerry Jones?
12	12	THE CHAIRMAN:
13	13	Present.
14		
	14	MS. SIMMONS:
15	15	Kyle Polozola?
16	16	(Absent.)
17	17	Letti Ardoin?
18	18	MS. ARDOIN:
19	19	Present.
20	20	MS. SIMMONS:
21	21	Robby Miller?
22	22	MR. MILLER:
23	23	Here.
24	24	MS. SIMMONS:
25	25	Stuart Moss?
Page 2	2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES:	1	MR. MOSS:
2 BOARD MEMBERS:	2	Present.
3 CHAIRMAN JERRY JONES	3	MS. SIMMONS:
4 ROBBY MILLER	4	Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.
5 STUART MOSS	5	THE CHAIRMAN:
6 LETTI ARDOIN	6	Thank you, ma'am.
7 KYLE POLOZOLA (ABSENT)	7	Mr. Polozola sends his regards. He
8	8	is under the weather and could not make the
9 STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:	9	trip over today. That means we won't have to
10 HUD USIE	10	worry about any conflicts. He doesn't have to
11 STEPHANIE LeGRANGE	11	recuse himself from anything today.
12 ROBIN PORTER	12	All right. I hope you've had an
13 ANNE VILLA	13	
		opportunity to see the minutes from our
14 KRISTIN JOHNSON	14	October 12th excuse me, August 12th and
15 RODNEY BAINES	15	October 18th minutes. I would entertain a
16 DEBORAH SIMMONS	16	motion to approve those minutes of those
17 FRANK FAVALORO	17	meetings.
18	18	MR. MOSS:
19 SPEAKERS FROM THE AUDIENCE:	19	Motion.
20 RHONDA BOATNER	20	THE CHAIRMAN:
21 JIMMY LEONARD	21	I have a motion from Mr. Moss.
22 JESSE BRODERICK	22	MS. ARDOIN:
23 GLENN WAGUESPACK	23	Second.
24 LADY CARLSON	24	THE CHAIRMAN:
25 SCOTT LAVERGNE	25	Second from Ms. Ardoin.
Page :	3	Page 5

1	Any questions or comments from the	1	lot of work has gone on, we've had at least
2	board, or excuse me, from the committee? Any	2	four or five meetings that were three to four
3	comments from the public.	3	hours long, literally working through
4	Hearing none. All in favor say,	4	paragraph by paragraph, sentence by sentence,
5	"Aye."	5	semicolon by semicolon working through. I
6	THE COMMITTEE:	6	think the rules that we have prepared at this
7	Aye.	7	point, they may not be perfect, but from my
8	THE CHAIRMAN:	8	perspective, at least, and I was just one
9	Any opposition?	9	member of the committee, but from my
10	There being none, the motion	10	perspective, they are really, really good.
11	carries.	11	So what my goal today is to get a
12	As a preface to Item 4 on the	12	presentation from LED staff and, of course,
13	agenda, I just wanted to give this group kind	13	we'll take comments and questions from the
14	of a report on what has happened. I know a	14	public, which is here. We'll start with the
15	long time has passed between our August 12,	15	presentation from LED walking through where we
16	which was our last really substantive meeting	16	are, what we've got, and where we go from
17	and this meeting. As you recall, there was	17	here. Is that fair?
18	we asked the industry representatives to	18	All right, Ms. Anne, are you going
19	basically give us their wish list if based	19	to start us?
20	on the executive order from the governor, what	20	MS. VILLA:
21	would you like these rules to look like, and	21	I will.
22	they gave us that information and gave us a	22	THE CHAIRMAN:
23	presentation. And then we asked LED to	23	State your name and your position
24	basically do the same thing, and we had a	24	with the department for the record, please.
25	meeting where LED made that presentation. And	25	MS. VILLA:
	Page 6		Page 8
1	the truth is after you really thought about	1	Hi. Good afternoon. Anne Villa,
1 2	the truth is after you really thought about what those sides said, we weren't that far		Hi. Good afternoon. Anne Villa, Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic
2	what those sides said, we weren't that far	2	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic
2 3	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But	2 3	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development.
2 3 4	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last	2 3 4	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I
2 3 4 5	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their	2 3 4 5	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you
2 3 4 5 6	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED	2 3 4	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a
2 3 4 5 6 7	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry	2 3 4 5 6 7	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures Act as quickly as possible. We're burning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this presentation, that a lot of hard work has gone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures Act as quickly as possible. We're burning daylight on getting this thing done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this presentation, that a lot of hard work has gone into streamlining this process and making it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures Act as quickly as possible. We're burning daylight on getting this thing done. And so the goal of today is for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this presentation, that a lot of hard work has gone into streamlining this process and making it the best that it can be from an administration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures Act as quickly as possible. We're burning daylight on getting this thing done. And so the goal of today is for a presentation from LED to walk through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this presentation, that a lot of hard work has gone into streamlining this process and making it the best that it can be from an administration standpoint and a use of the program by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures Act as quickly as possible. We're burning daylight on getting this thing done. And so the goal of today is for a presentation from LED to walk through the major components of what the work that has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this presentation, that a lot of hard work has gone into streamlining this process and making it the best that it can be from an administration standpoint and a use of the program by the industry. So with that, I'll get started.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what those sides said, we weren't that far apart. There was some differences, yes. But what has been happening since our last meeting, which is when LED made their presentation, I have been working with LED staff as well as with the industry stakeholders and have taught with a number of people who have made comments through this process, and we worked to provide a set of rule amendments from soups to nuts, from start to finish, based upon the discussions, the desire to both industry, other stakeholders, as well as what LED wanted to see. We now have a draft of rules that are completely redlined against the existing draft, which has those changes in them. Our goal, our desire, if we can, is to get that out to public notice under the Louisiana Administrative Procedures Act as quickly as possible. We're burning daylight on getting this thing done. And so the goal of today is for a presentation from LED to walk through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Deputy Secretary, CFO for Louisiana Economic Development. Before I start the presentation, I do want to thank each and every one of you that's here on the committee. It's been a long process. I've been intimately involved with the team, but I have to really commend our executive council, Robing Porter, and our ITEP team, as well as our stakeholders. Hours and hours, not just the meetings that y'all have had with the meetings that we have had. The outreach that Robin has done with industry leaders and stakeholders throughout this process. It's something that wasn't done, I would say, in the first, you know, when we did this eight years ago. So kudos to everyone involved in this process. And I think that you will see, as a result of this presentation, that a lot of hard work has gone into streamlining this process and making it the best that it can be from an administration standpoint and a use of the program by the

1 2	Thank you. MS. VILLA:	1 2	can work through. Thank you, Ms. Villa. MS. PORTER:
3	So back on February 21, 2024,	3	Good afternoon. I am Robin Porter,
4	Governor Jeff Landry issued his executive, JML	4	executive counsel for LED. A pleasure to be
5	#24-23, and then thereafter on April 24, 2024,	5	here to talk about ITEP proposed streamline
6	LED then published the ITEP Emergency Rules in		process.
7	alignment with the executive order to provide	7	And you will note that we currently
8	the new program applicants with administrative	8	have a I will be highlighting major changes
9	guidance while LED and the BC&I rules	9	that are proposed in the ITEP rules. And
10	committee simultaneously work with interested	10	what's currently up is the approval process
11	stakeholders to create department rules. The	11	proposed in a flowchart that we've condensed
12	emergency rules are effective for 180 days.	12	from the current process of 15 steps to a
13	Then the BC&I rules committee was	13	9-step process beginning with the project
14	formed to oversee the rule promulgation. LED	14	application. And you'll notice in each box we
15	did solicit feedback from industry and local	15	have referenced there the section number of
16	stakeholders throughout the process, and both	16	the rules where the different sections are
17	industry stakeholders and LED made	17	addressed throughout. So we start with the
18	presentations outlining the proposed rule	18	project application, and I'll go through more
19	changes before the BC&I rules committee. And	19	details and just go through the boxes.
20	LED did make that presentation on July 10th.	20	Project application, then LED review, the
21	And as Chairman Jones stated, you know, at the	21	local ITEP committee and LDR simultaneously
22	end of the meeting, we weren't far off. So	22	there, then BC&I meeting to approve.
23	from then till now, we continued working.	23	THE CHAIRMAN:
24	On October 18th, the BC&I rules	24	I'm sorry, Ms. Porter, not all of us
25	committee approved the renewal of the ITEP	25	are familiar with the acronyms. The LDR is
	Page 10		Page 12
1	emergency rules for an additional 180 days.	1	Department of Revenue and LWC is Louisiana
2	So the past months were focused collaborating	2	Workforce commission?
3	with our local and industry stakeholders. And	3	MS. PORTER:
4	this has resulted in a revised set of proposed	4	Yes.
5	ITEP rules that manifest the streamline	5	THE CHAIRMAN:
6	processes, which is project based.	6	And the significance of both of
7	So from here, I'll turn it over to	7	those is the department of revenue is where
8	Robin, and she'll start going through the	8	all taxes are done.
9	presentation with you. And if at any time	9	MS. PORTER:
10	you want to wait for questions till the end or	10	Yeah. I'm going to go through it.
11	you just want to interject with questions as	11	THE CHAIRMAN:
12	we're going through the process?	12	And LWC is where NAICS codes come
13	THE CHAIRMAN:	13	from. So the reason for that is there.
14	Right now, if there are any	14	MS. PORTER:
15	questions from the rules committee, as we move	15	Board of Commerce and Industry,
16	forward, I'd like to handle them as they come	16	BC&I, the contract, the annual project
17	up. At the end of the presentation, I will	17	property reports, which you will hear me say
18	also entertain questions from the public, but	18	APPR throughout, the renewal application,
19	I want to do that part of it at the end of the	19	BC&I, and then the renewal contract.
20	presentation. But the primary goal here	20	So the project application, this is
21	today, from my perspective, is to make sure	21	a combination of the advanced and the ITEP
22	the rules committee understands where we are	22	application referenced in Section 547 of the
23	today, and then at the end of that, then we	23	rules. The project application must be filed
24	will figure out what the public, if there are	24	prior to the beginning of construction or
1	r ····································		
25	any questions or comments from them that we	25	installation of the facilities. All projects
25	any questions or comments from them that we Page 11	25	installation of the facilities. All projects Page 13

1 1 are deemed project specific and shall include footprint, it's a project. These are 2 2 a specific plan, project description, project important distinctions from where we are 3 3 start date, and end dates. NAICS code and today. But I think it's going to be -- it's 4 application fee. 4 going to be very helpful, both from an 5 5 The benefit of this process change administration perspective, from an industry 6 is combining of the advanced application means 6 perspective for the tax assessors. I think 7 that we have fewer required forms and 7 it's going to make it much easier for everyone 8 attachments resulting in shortened LED review 8 to understand exactly what project a contract 9 9 process with greater focus on estimates and is applicable to. Okay. 10 attestation. And what's important about this 10 MS. PORTER: 11 initial step in the process is that the 11 More of a defined specific. 12 project description is specific plans with the 12 THE CHAIRMAN: 13 scope and any assets that may go into service 13 We believe it's going to be more 14 are planned assets. They're not unplanned. I 14 defined, more objective than subjective. And 15 just wanted to make that distinction there. 15 that was one of our goals through out is we 16 That's for the project application. wanted to decrease the amount of subjectivity 16 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 17 that to the extent we could and increase the 18 objectivity that of if this is a project, as Let's stop just for a moment. 18 19 19 long as it's described. This change is a significant change 20 20 from where we are today. And the reason it's Let me ask one question, though, 21 important -- and there's a lot of nuances when 21 about start and end dates. One of the 22 you get into the rules you'll find out. For 22 questions we always here is when we do an 23 23 example, one of the things that we have application we're so early in the process, we 24 changed today, if you have a project, it has 24 know when we think we want to start, we know 25 to be nominated by a municipal address. Well, 25 we think when we want to end. The project Page 14 Page 16 1 1 that's fine well and good. But tax assessors start/end dates, can they moved as a project 2 and municipal addresses don't help them that 2 moves on and circumstances change? 3 3 much. What the new project application today, MS. PORTER: 4 or going forward if the rules are adopted, 4 You can amend. You'll be able to 5 5 will be a literal legal description of the amend. 6 piece of property that the project is going to 6 THE CHAIRMAN: 7 7 be located within. So if you build basically Exactly. So I think the goal here 8 a foot from that, that has a leaps and bounds 8 is not to tie you down to say if you don't 9 legal description that will require a map that 9 meet these deadlines, you get booted out. The 10 10 shows what that piece of property is on and goal is to provide everyone a framework that 11 you're going to describe the project that 11 this is what the company today is planning to 12 you're going to build within that legal 12 do. This is when they're planning to do it. 13 description that way everybody -- from an 13 If life happens, you know, you don't get the 14 enforcement perspective to the extent, we now 14 project or the project financing takes longer 15 15 know that the project has got to be within than you thought it would, engineering takes 16 this footprint. From a tax assessor's 16 longer than you thought it would and now the 17 perspective, they now know the exact piece of 17 dates need to get moved out, there are 18 property that is -- that is going to be 18 provisions to allow for that. Again, we want 19 19 considered. They don't have to try to figure to provide transparency, we want to provide as 20 out, okay, is this inside or outside. We're 20 much information as possible, but also 21 21 going to know what the footprint looks like. flexibility to manage life when it happens. 22 22 Is that fair, Ms. Porter? That to me or from some significance from a 23 transparency perspective from the industry 23 MS. PORTER: 24 perspective, as long as you describe a project 24 That's very fair. 25 that is manufacturing related within that 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Page 15 Page 17

Thank you. Mext, we're going to talk about deficiencies, because there may be times when there are deficiencies. And this is under the LED review, Section 547 again. LED review, Section 547 again. LED shall review the project application. And should there be deficiencies, such as in the application fee or any application information, the company 11 has 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days 12 deficiency is not cured within those 90 days 13 of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application information, the company 14 to we currently have the advance and within, 1 think, 12 months of the exploration of the period application will be canceled. Use tas 14 think, 12 months of the exploration of the project application, correct me if Tm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application if the project end that? Well, we're not going to have an advance anymore and id did hear that feedback as well. The project end date we have on file. However, Page 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Least three to five ward the project application with the working going in on the project application or t				
Section Next, we're going to talk about				
deficiencies, because there may be times when the there are deficiencies. And this is under the LED review, Section 547 again. LED shall review the project application for the supplication information, the company thas 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or any application information, the company thas 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or any application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, I think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application field at all, then the advance is canceled. Am I correct on that? MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson, LED. It expires 12 months from the project application goals to the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application of the project ending date we have on file. However, project ending date we have on file. However, and the project and date whave. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. And deficiencies are if the application — if there is information that we require and the application is just not the role of the project ending date we have. MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section \$51(B)(1). The project application in the working agreements, and we've got information that we many the project application and the 45 days with no response from a local ITEP completes is review as soon as practical after LED completes is creview. Upon the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. Large the project application and the 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of the project application and the alpase of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP.	I		2	
there are deficiencies. And this is under the LED review, Section 547 again. LED shall review the project application. And should there be deficiencies, such as in the application fee or any application information, the company in the asy of days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Use a sum of we currently have the advance and within, 1 to think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application if the all, then the advance is canceled. MS. JOHNSON: MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: THE				9 9 1
LED review, Section 547 again. Replication. And should there be deficiencies, such as in the application fee or any application information, the company has 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within 1 to see that is deficiency is not cured within those 90 days or deficiency is not cured within 1 to think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong. Kristen, and there is no application is 10 to start over again. But you we got 90 days to get if fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get 10 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 10 can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get 11 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 12 to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: New Yere going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(By(I). The project end date we available to the local ITEP committee for its review as 30 on as practical after LED completes is 20 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP of 55 days with no response from a local ITEP of 55 days wi		•	4	1 0 11
The LED shall review the project application. And should there be deficiencies, such as in the application fee or any application information, the company has 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days 12 deficiency is not cured within those 90 days 13 of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, 1 think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application 19 wrong, Kristen, and there is no application 19 wrong, Kristen, and there is no application 19 wrong, Kristen Johnson, LED. If expires 12 months from the 25 project ending date we have on file. However, project end date should it be made prior to the project end date whave. 1 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the application - if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, we're going to let you know and 9 you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: 1 MS. PORTER: 1 Under Section 551(B)(1). The compitees its of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee or the vorking group. He was the one that dictated the review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated the review pad to let me				
application. And should there be deficiencies, such as in the application fee or any application information, the company has 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, 1 think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application filed at all, then the advance is canceled. Am I correct on thar? MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson, LED. The project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 THE CHAIRMAN: The CHA			6	
deficiencies, such as in the application fee or any application information, the company has 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, 1 think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application glied at all, then the advance is canceled. MS. JOHNSON: MS. JOHNSON: MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson, LED. It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, project ending date we have on file. However, project end date should it be made aprication — if there's information that we require and the application is just not we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee for its review as the committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application is a not all the project application is and there is no application the call TEP committee or its review as of 44 days with no response from a local ITEP Am I correct on that? Soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application is local and there is an opplication. The think is the project application is an opplication. The think is the project application is an opplication. The think is time period. MS. PORTER: Nexth				=
or any application information, the company las 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the las 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the communication from the company, then the communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, I months of the exploration of the project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, I months of the exploration of the project application going to the board. And what's beneficial about that is we understood anytone; or anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. We're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. Well, we're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. Well, we're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. Well, we're not going to have board. And what's beneficial about that is we understood that the were concerned about not knowing what's going on in our backyard. So this is a way that you will know what's going on. And also, it gives you an opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to concern and even for the locals to be able to project ending date we have. 1 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date wh	I	* *		
has 90 days to cure said deficiency. If the deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of the company, then the communication from the company, then the communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as well as well as the project application will be canceled. Just as beginning of construction, correct me if I'm think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong. Kristen, and there is no application of the well, we're not going to have an advance of the project end date we have on file. However, Page 18 1 the rules currently do allow for an a		* *		·
deficiency is not cured within those 90 days of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, 1 think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if Im wrong, Kristen, and there is no application will going for the board. And what's beneficial about that is we understood that — we heard you Robby when you indicated, well, we're not going to have an advance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. Well, we're concerned about not knowing what's going on in our backyard. So this is a way that you will know what's going on. And also, it gives you an opportunity and the board an opportunity to all the board an prior to the project end date we have. The CHAIRMAN: the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: We require and the application is just not we require and the application is just not the there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 55 (18)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse to 45 days with no response from a local ITEP worn and to find the board an opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse to the first project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse to 45 days with no response from a local ITEP worning the first project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse to 45 days with no res		* **		
of written request and there is no bilateral communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as 15 project application will be canceled. Just as 16 we currently have the advance and within, I winds, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application is just not filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Am I correct on that? 22 MS. JOHNSON: 22 that you will know what's going on. And also, it gives you an opportunity and the board an opportunity and the board an opportunity of the least there what maybe concerns and even for the local stop by a beginning of construction, correct me if I'm well as the state of the project end date whave on file. However, Page 18 prior to the project end date whave on file. However, Page 18 prior to the project end date whave. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to—we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know and there were rissues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you want to going to be a volve got of well as the was debated back and forth within the working proportion to going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to fire the project application is just not to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days to start over again. But you've got 90 days of the call fire prommittee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. 3 was a practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's review. Upon the local ITEP committee's review. Upon the local ITEP committee's review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP to working group. He was the one th				
communication from the company, then the project application will be canceled. Just as we urrently have the advance and within, I think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application of file at all, then the advance is canceled. Am I correct on that? 2 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 Kristen Johnson, LED. 24 It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 The		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
15 project application will be canceled. Just as we currently have the advance and within, I to think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application of filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 21 Am I correct on that? 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 Kristen Johnson, LED. 23 Kristen Johnson, LED. 24 It expires 12 months from the 25 project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 20 the rules currently do allow for an amendment 20 of the project end date we have. 31 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 Very good. And deficiencies are if 6 the application — if there's information that 8 there, then we're going to let you know and 9 you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you 20 can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. 13 MS. PORTER: 15 Next, we're going to talk about the 16 local ITEP committee for its review a 21 soon as practical after LED completes its receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee or the residual point of the project application at 9 page 10 page 10 page 10 page 12 point was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to — we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, when you file an application now with the state of 15 local ITEP committee for its review as 20 or 45 days with no response from a local ITEP and the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse 20 or 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 2 project application of the project application and with point point point point in the board. 25 project application is pust				
think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application filed at all, then the advance is canceled. MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson, LED. Kristen Johnson, LED. I texpires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 The rules currently do allow for an amendment of the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not contact you have 90 days to get if fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee for its review. That's a new not file of the project application shall be made available to receipt of the project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as so of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP or have for the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of the project tend clare we have or defining of constraints and we've pad and vance and vance anymore and I did hear that feedback as well. Whell, we're concerned about not knowing what's going on in our backyard. So this is a way will know what's going on. And also, it gives you an opportunity and the board an opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to Page 20 attending the meeting. And it shaved off at least three to four weeks in the process. THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you file an application now with the state of to we want to put this information into local covernments. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one				
think, 12 months of the exploration of the beginning of construction, correct me if Tm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application 19 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MS. JOHNSON: 22 that you will know what's going on. And also, it gives you an opportunity and the board an opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to page 20 file can date should it be made 2 of the project end date should it be made 2 of the project end date whave. 31 FIE CHAIRMAN: 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 The capilication - if there's information that 30 you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you 30 you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you 31 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 12 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 31 MS. PORTER: 33 MS. PORTER: 34 MS. PORTER: 34 MS. PORTER: 35 Next, we're going to talk about the 32 Correct. 34 Local TIEP committee for its review as 21 soon as practical after LED completes its 32 receipt of the project application, a 45-day 32 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 of 45 days with no	I			
beginning of construction, correct me if I'm wrong, Kristen, and there is no application 19 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 21 Am I correct on that? 21 Am I correct on that? 22 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MS. JOHNSON: 22 It expires 12 months from the 24 It expires 12 months from the 25 project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 1 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made 25 prior to the project end date whave. 31 prior to the project end date whave. 32 prior to the project end date whave. 33 prior to the project end date we have. 34 THE CHAIRMAN: 45 Very good. And deficiencies are if 46 the application – if there's information that 47 we require and the application is just not 48 there, then we're going to let you know and 90 you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you 29 you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you 29 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 21 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 21 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 22 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 22 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 23 to make a decision at what point you want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of 10 to make a decision at what point you want to project application shall be made available to 10 to make a decision at what point you want to 10 coal TTEP committee review. Upon the local TTEP committee's 22 to 45 day, with no response from a local TTEP committee or the working group. He w		•		
19 wrong, Kristen, and there is no application filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 20 Am I correct on that? 21 Am I correct on that? 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 Kristen Johnson, LED. 24 It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 1 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. 3 prior to the project end date we have. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 Very good. And deficiencies are if the application — if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. 10 Can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. 11 Local TIEP committee review. That's a new not good and the local TIEP committee review. Upon the local TIEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP anymore and I did hear that feedback and tonk thow will knowing soin on un backyard. So this is a way that was any		•	17	that we heard you Robby when you indicated,
20 filed at all, then the advance is canceled. 21 Am I correct on that? 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 Kristen Johnson, LED. 24 It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 25 prior to the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. 3 prior to the project end date we have. 3 prior to the project end date we have. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not 7 we require and the application is just not 7 we require and the application is just not 7 we require and the application is just not 7 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 11 to start over again. But you've got 90 days 12 to fix any problems that we notify you of. 13 MS. PORTER: 14 Correct. 15 Next, we're going to talk about the 16 local ITEP committee review. That's a new 16 local ITEP committee for its review as 21 soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee for its review as 22 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee for the review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee for the review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee for the review period begins. Should				<u> </u>
Am I correct on that? MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson, LED. It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: The capplication if there's information that we require and the application is just not we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: MS. JOHNSON: 22 that you will know what's going on. And also, it gives you an opportunity and the board an opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to Page 20 attend the meeting. And it shaved off at least three to four weeks in the process. THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's Correct. And I know we've had to let me				•
MS. JOHNSON: Kristen Johnson, LED. It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. And deficiencies are if the application — if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP the rules currently do allow for an amendment opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to Page 20 taken you an opportunity and the board an opportunity and the board an opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to concerns and even for the locals to be able to proport and the meeting. And it shaved off at least there to four weeks in the process. THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to— we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know of the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of to make a decision at what point you want to fil		filed at all, then the advance is canceled.	20	Well, we're concerned about not knowing what's
It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project application is to the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date we have. It the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project application is hall be made and the project application and		Am I correct on that?	21	going on in our backyard. So this is a way
It expires 12 months from the project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 1 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made 2 prior to the project end date should it be made 2 prior to the project end date we have. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not we require and the application is just not can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. 1 MS. PORTER: 1 Correct. 1 Next, we're going to talk about the local TTEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP committee review. Page 18 24 opportunity to at least hear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to popportunity to at least thear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to concerns and even for the locals to be able to concerns and even for the locals to be able to concerns and even for the locals to be able to popportunity to at least thear what maybe concerns and even for the locals to be able to concerns and even for the locals to be able to page 20 1 attend the meeting. And it shaved off at least three to four weeks in the process. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to to we want to put this information into loca				
25 project ending date we have on file. However, Page 18 1 the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. 3 prior to the project end date we have. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you sun't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. 10 SANS. PORTER: 11 Oscal TTEP committee review. That's a new local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 2 to fix any problems that we not fife project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 2 to concerns and even for the locals to be able to Page 20 2 attend the meeting. And it shaved off at least three to four weeks in the process. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: 2 Exactly. This was an issue that was deleast dack and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to form a project application shall be made available to day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. 2 And I know we've had to let me			23	
the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as Soon as practical after LED completes its correct project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP attend the meeting. And it shaved off at least three to four weeks in the process. THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's the point, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you the industry perspective. Yeah, but, we'le industry to want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, we'le industry to want to know and there wer		It expires 12 months from the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
the rules currently do allow for an amendment of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new local TTEP committee review as THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new local Green and the application is to make a decision at what point you want to the local ITEP committee for its review as local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	25		25	
of the project end date should it be made prior to the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 2 least three to four weeks in the process. THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to file for this process. Because we have got local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period.		Page 18		Page 20
prior to the project end date we have. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP Tyeyou at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to file for this process. Because we have got to we want to put this information into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	1	the rules currently do allow for an amendment	1	attend the meeting. And it shaved off at
THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. And deficiencies are if the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local TTEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local TTEP committee for its review as cof 45 days with no response from a local ITEP THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. This was an issue that was debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to file for this process. Because we have got to we want to put this information into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period.	2	of the project end date should it be made	2	least three to four weeks in the process.
the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application si just not We heard from local government to Mr. Miller's we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to file for this process. Because we have got to review. Upon the local ITEP committee's soon as practical after LED completes its receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP debated back and forth within the working group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's the heard from local government to Mr. Miller's twe heard from local government to Mr. Miller's twe heard from local government to Mr. Miller's twe heard from local government to Mr. Miller's the heard from local g	3	prior to the project end date we have.	3	THE CHAIRMAN:
the application if there's information that we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as corrects upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP group at nauseam because we were trying to we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's he heard from local government to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you how, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we theard from local government to know, we have policises. In dustry perspective. Yeah, but, you the indust	4	THE CHAIRMAN:	4	Exactly. This was an issue that was
we require and the application is just not there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know. If somebody's coming point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know. If somebody's coming point, we want to know. If somebody's coming in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you the industry perspective. Yeah one want to protect. Well, just so you know, when 12 agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when 13 want to protect. Well, just so you know, when 14 you file an application now with the state of 15 Louisiana, whatever's in that application is 16 not going to be covered your NDA. You've got 17 to make a decision at what point you want to 18 file for this process. Because we have got 19 to we want to put this information into 20 to we want to put this information into 20 to we want to put this information into 20 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That 22 wasn't set by this rules committee or the 23 working group. He was the one that dictated 24 this time period. 25 And I know we've had to let me	5	Very good. And deficiencies are if	5	debated back and forth within the working
there, then we're going to let you know and you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new Tunder Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as con as practical after LED completes its receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP To make a decision at what point you want to washing required. Row, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when you file an application now with the state of not going to be covered your NDA. You've got not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	6	the application if there's information that	6	group at nauseam because we were trying to
you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP in, we want to know and there were issues from the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you fle an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to to make a decision at what point you want to 18 file for this process. Because we have got 19 to we want to put this information into 20 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That want to protect. Well, just on you know, we've had to	7	we require and the application is just not	7	we heard from local government to Mr. Miller's
to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP to fix any problems that we notify you of. land, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when local governments, and we've got information that we agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when local governments, and we've got information that we agreements, and we've got information that we local governments, and we've got information that we local governdents, and we've got information that we local governdents, and we've got information that we local governdents, and we've got information that we local information in to local governdents and plication is local information in the project application shall be made available to local government's. The governor and the 45 local government's. The governor and the 45 local government's by this rules committee or the wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	8	there, then we're going to let you know and	8	point, we want to know. If somebody's coming
to start over again. But you've got 90 days to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Sorrect. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new project application shall be made available to project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP Is know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	9	you have 90 days to get it fixed. If you	9	in, we want to know and there were issues from
to fix any problems that we notify you of. MS. PORTER: Sorrect. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP agreements, and we've got information that we want to protect. Well, just so you know, when local your file an application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got file for this process. Because we have got local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	10	can't get it fixed within 90 days, then we get	10	the industry perspective. Yeah, but, you
MS. PORTER: 13 want to protect. Well, just so you know, when 14 you file an application now with the state of 15 Next, we're going to talk about the 16 local ITEP committee review. That's a new 17 nuance. That's different as well. 18 Under Section 551(B)(1). The 19 project application shall be made available to 19 project application shall be made available to 20 the local ITEP committee for its review as 21 soon as practical after LED completes its 22 review. Upon the local ITEP committee's 23 receipt of the project application, a 45-day 24 review period begins. Should there be a lapse 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 18 file for this process. Because we have got 19 to we want to put this information into 20 local government's. The governor and the 45 21 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That 22 wasn't set by this rules committee or the 23 working group. He was the one that dictated 24 this time period. 25 And I know we've had to let me	11	to start over again. But you've got 90 days	11	know, we have NDA, we have nondisclosure
Correct. Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP Louisiana, whatever's in that application now with the state of Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to to make a decision at what point you want to project application shall be made available to 19 to we want to put this information into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	12	to fix any problems that we notify you of.	12	agreements, and we've got information that we
Next, we're going to talk about the local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of to Louisiana, whatever's in that application is not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to file for this process. Because we have got to we want to put this information into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	13	MS. PORTER:	13	want to protect. Well, just so you know, when
local ITEP committee review. That's a new nuance. That's different as well. Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of the most of the section of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP as not going to be covered your NDA. You've got to make a decision at what point you want to project application at what point you want to make a decision at what point you want to project application into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	14	Correct.	14	you file an application now with the state of
nuance. That's different as well. 17 to make a decision at what point you want to 18 Under Section 551(B)(1). The 18 file for this process. Because we have got 19 project application shall be made available to 20 the local ITEP committee for its review as 21 soon as practical after LED completes its 22 review. Upon the local ITEP committee's 23 receipt of the project application, a 45-day 24 review period begins. Should there be a lapse 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 17 to make a decision at what point you want to 18 file for this process. Because we have got 19 to we want to put this information into 20 local government's. The governor and the 45 21 wasn't set by this rules committee or the 22 working group. He was the one that dictated 23 this time period. 24 And I know we've had to let me	15	Next, we're going to talk about the	15	Louisiana, whatever's in that application is
Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP to we want to put this information into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	16	local ITEP committee review. That's a new	16	not going to be covered your NDA. You've got
Under Section 551(B)(1). The project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP to receipt of the project application.	17	nuance. That's different as well.	17	
project application shall be made available to the local ITEP committee for its review as soon as practical after LED completes its review. Upon the local ITEP committee's receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 19 to we want to put this information into local government's. The governor and the 45 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That wasn't set by this rules committee or the working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. And I know we've had to let me	18	Under Section $551(B)(1)$. The	18	= :
the local ITEP committee for its review as 20 local government's. The governor and the 45 21 soon as practical after LED completes its 22 review. Upon the local ITEP committee's 23 receipt of the project application, a 45-day 24 review period begins. Should there be a lapse 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 26 local government's. The governor and the 45 27 wasn't set by this rules committee or the 28 working group. He was the one that dictated 29 this time period. 20 local government's. The governor and the 45 21 day, guys, that was set by the governor. That 22 wasn't set by this rules committee or the 23 working group. He was the one that dictated 24 this time period. 25 And I know we've had to let me	19	project application shall be made available to	19	-
21soon as practical after LED completes its21day, guys, that was set by the governor. That22review. Upon the local ITEP committee's22wasn't set by this rules committee or the23receipt of the project application, a 45-day23working group. He was the one that dictated24review period begins. Should there be a lapse24this time period.25And I know we've had to let me	20			-
review. Upon the local ITEP committee's 22 wasn't set by this rules committee or the receipt of the project application, a 45-day 23 working group. He was the one that dictated 24 review period begins. Should there be a lapse 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 And I know we've had to let me	21	soon as practical after LED completes its	21	
receipt of the project application, a 45-day review period begins. Should there be a lapse of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 23 working group. He was the one that dictated this time period. 24 this time period. 25 And I know we've had to let me	22	•	22	
24 review period begins. Should there be a lapse 24 this time period. 25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 And I know we've had to let me	23	_	23	-
25 of 45 days with no response from a local ITEP 25 And I know we've had to let me	24		24	
	25		25	-
	l .			

1	chase this rabbit just for a moment. We've	1	it is you go to the workforce commission and
2	heard a lot of feedback from local government	2	get that worked out. But we want to get all
3	concerning how do we do this within 45 days.	3	those issues settled before it goes to the
4	The answer is not difficult. The answer is	4	locals. Until you have all that in place,
5	fairly straightforward. We've reached out to	5	there's no need for it to go to ITEP
6	the Louisiana Municipal Association and Police	6	committee. But you, the ITEP committee, will
7	Jury Association and The School Board	7	get that information as quickly as we can go
8	Association, because the short answer is each	8	through that process and get it to you.
9	organization by resolution can appoint a	9	Ms. Johnson.
10	member to the ITEP committee and can authorize	10	MS. JOHNSON:
11	them in advance to make decisions on the ITEP	11	They're actually going to go
12	program for their respective organization and	12	simultaneously almost.
13	do that now. And that way when the time comes	13	THE CHAIRMAN:
14	and you get a notification of an application,	14	That's what we decided, wasn't it?
15	you don't have to worry about getting going	15	Exactly.
16	to get authority from your respective	16	MS. JOHNSON:
17	whether it's a school board, police jury, or	17	So they're going to go to the local
18	city council, you already have the authority	18	ITEP committee and the department of revenue
19	to act. The committee has the ability to meet	19	and workforce commission. And only if we have
20	as quickly as they can pull themselves	20	a recommendation from the locals and a no
21	together, and they have 45 days to bring that	21	objection from the department of revenue and
22	information to the Board of Commerce &	22	workforce commission, will it make it on a
23	Industry.	23	board agenda.
24	And one thing we need to also	24	THE CHAIRMAN:
25	understand under the executive order, what we	25	I think what I describe was Option
	Page 22		Page 24
1	get from the ITEP committee is not an approval	1	12, and she's on actually the final Option 13
2	or disapproval. It's a recommendation. The	2	that we ended up with. Thank you, Ms.
3	governor made it very clear that at the end of	3	Johnson. You're always, despite what I say,
4	the day, he is the one that will make a	4	you're free to correct me when I'm wrong.
5	decision as to which contracts get approved or	5	But I think this is going to give
6	disapproved, but he wants to know what the	6	voice to the governor's executive order. We
7	locals recommendation is. So what we believe	7	think it's a process that will work fairly
8	is this process that we devised here, we'll	8	seamlessly and we'll hear the recommendation
9	give the locals the time they need that will	9	from local government. But from an industry
10	get it as quickly as possible.	10	perspective to Ms. Porter's point, by our
11	One of the ones things we talked	11	calculations, this will knock at least three
12	about at one of our meetings, why can't they	12	to four weeks, maybe longer even, from the
13	be notified of the application immediately?	13	process that we're experiencing right now.
14	The reason we're not going to do that is we	14	And when you've come to the Board of Commerce
15	want to be sure there are no deficiencies in	15	& Industry and the Board of Commerce &
16	the application. The information is correct.	16	Industry either approval or disapproval, you
17	We need to send it to the to the department of	17	have your answer. The only next step is the
18	revenue or workforce commission just to make	18	governor. That's it. So those are things
19	sure that they have all the information they	19	that we believe carries out the spirit of the
20	have. If, for example, if there's a	20	executive order and accomplishes and meets a
21	manufacturing facility that does not have an	21	lot of things that we heard from stakeholders
22	established NAICS code. And for those of you	22	leading up to this.
23	don't know what that is, I'm not going to try	23	Is that fair, Ms. Porter?
24	to explain it to you today, but you have to	24	MS. PORTER:
25	have that sort of thing. And the way you get	25	That's very fair. And since the
	Page 23		Page 25
	<u>~</u>		

1			
	chairman stole my under thunder and covered	1	that we can at least have a code that everyone
2	that next slide, let's go to the next slide.	2	will agree with. Is that fair?
3	He talked about the benefits. That's what we	3	MS. PORTER:
4	were going to discuss there.	4	Fair.
5	Okay. So next we're talking about	5	THE CHAIRMAN:
6	LDR and LWC review. That's with ITEP Rule	6	Good.
7	549(A)(B).	7	MS. PORTER:
8	In addition to LDR's existing review	8	Next, we're going to move to ITEP
9	process should a company have no employees at	9	contract. And I will reiterate the
10	the time the project application is filed or	10	constitutional provision Article VII, Section
11	if the company is an emerging industry with no	11	21(F) provides that the exemption from ad
12	clearly defined qualifying NAICS code, LED may	12	valorem taxes of a new manufacturing
13	elect to provide a copy of the project	13	establishment or in addition to an existing
14	application to LWC for review to verify	14	manufacturing establishment shall be for an
15	assigned or anticipated NAICS code and	15	initial term of no more than five calendar
16	industry section classification. The project	16	years and may be renewed for an additional
17	application will be placed on the Board of	17	five years.
18	Commerce & Industry agenda for review unless	18	Section 587(A) provides that on
19	LED receives a letter of objection from LDR or	19	board approval of a project application and a
20	LWC. LWC has 14 days from the time of receipt	20	local ITEP committee's determination, if any,
21	of the project application to issue a letter	21	LED shall draft an ITEP exemption contract,
22	of objection. Should LWC take no action	22	setting forth terms and conditions which may
23	within those 14 days, the project application	23	include the following: An initial term of no
24	shall be deemed approved.	24	more than five calendar years, a term of
25	And the benefit of this process	25	removal for an additional five calendar years,
	Page 26		Page 28
1	change is inclusion of LWC for the review	1	the applicable ad valorem exemption
2	process. It removes the subjectivity in the	2	percentage, the effective date, which shall be
3	review of a NAICS code that may be provided or	3	the project start date as specified on the
4	maybe not provided in the project application.	4	project application, but no earlier than
5	THE CHAIRMAN:	5	
)			submission date of the project application.
6	Thank you, Ms. Porter.	6	submission date of the project application. And the effective date has changed for the
	Thank you, Ms. Porter. A NAICS code is essentially in	6 7	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the
6	A NAICS code is essentially in		And the effective date has changed for the
6 7	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which	7	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the
6 7 8 9	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular	7 8	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or
6 7 8 9 10	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will	7 8 9	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is
6 7 8 9	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem.	7 8 9 10	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if	7 8 9 10 11 12	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC process is important for a number of reasons,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC process is important for a number of reasons, but we also recognize that if you have a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: This only applies to projects that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC process is important for a number of reasons, but we also recognize that if you have a manufacturing NAICS code, you're going to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: This only applies to projects that have not commenced construction. So as a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC process is important for a number of reasons, but we also recognize that if you have a manufacturing NAICS code, you're going to qualify. If you meet the definition of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: This only applies to projects that have not commenced construction. So as a result, you know, if you the MCA's that no
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC process is important for a number of reasons, but we also recognize that if you have a manufacturing NAICS code, you're going to qualify. If you meet the definition of the constitution, you're going to qualify. But	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: This only applies to projects that have not commenced construction. So as a result, you know, if you the MCA's that no one liked, those are gone. The governor
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A NAICS code is essentially in this context, is a manufacturing code which kind of designates the particular manufacturing industry that the facility will be in. The problem is or not the problem. That's not the right word. The disconnect, if you will, is that the constitution has a very protracted and somewhat wandering definition of what manufacturing really is, and it's entirely possible that you can have a manufacturing facility that meets the definition under the constitution and it not have a NAICS code at this point. So the LWC process is important for a number of reasons, but we also recognize that if you have a manufacturing NAICS code, you're going to qualify. If you meet the definition of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And the effective date has changed for the exemption contract because currently the effective date would be December 31st of the calendar year in which the operation began or construction was complete. So whichever is less. But we're changing that now to reflect the project start date that's provided on the project operation. THE CHAIRMAN: Significantly here, if you started construction on the project, it's too late for ITEP. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: This only applies to projects that have not commenced construction. So as a result, you know, if you the MCA's that no

1 putting MCA's back into this, but this 1 be limited to the following: An ITEP contract 2 2 provision in of itself precludes MCA's as we project status update, a list os assets, if 3 formerly knew them for anybody who was around 3 any, related to the ITEP contract project that 4 more than eight years ago. So this is were placed into service during the prior 5 5 important. And what industry now will have -calendar year and eligible for the exemption. 6 6 they will have to determine when they want to The exemption years for assets eligible for 7 file the contract, and they'll have to be 7 the exemption. What should be noted here is 8 8 given the issues of disclosure, giving the that any asset, regardless of size, may 9 9 issues of the publicity that will come with an qualify for its own 10-year exemption as long 10 application, in as much as it is provided for 10 as the asset claimed is part of the originally 11 11 described project on the project application. the locals, it will have to determine what is 12 appropriate time to file their application. 12 An unplanned asset included on an APPR will be 13 13 We also understand from industry deemed ineligible for an exemption. And the 14 that ITEP is such an important incentive that 14 benefit of the APPR, it replaces the affidavit 15 they want to get that iron down as quickly as 15 of a final cost, the project completion 16 possible because it goes into the financial 16 report, which was the PCR, the phase 17 modeling as to whether the project is viable 17 application. It takes and alleviates all of 18 or not. But they're -- we've tried to make 18 those different forms, and its rolled into 19 this process as clean as possible. But we 19 this one form. 20 20 also had to protect the ability to get the THE CHAIRMAN: 21 information out of the locals. But we think 21 Okay. This is critical. For those 22 22 at the end of the day, this provides a good of you who have been doing this for a number 23 23 outline and, again, knocks off some time. of years, and for those of you who follow the 24 MS. PORTER: 24 ITEP agenda, you will see a contract number 25 Right. 25 with a letter A, a letter B, a letter C, a Page 30 Page 32 1 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 letter D, a letter H, ZZ, because in the past, 2 That was the mindset behind these 2 what we've always done and said, okay, you 3 3 guys, you file, you get your contract, and you processes. 4 One more thing. The thing Ms. 4 may have phase one, phase two, phase 2A, phase 5 5 Porter has described to you, the importance of 2B, and every one is a different thing that 6 the contract that has a five-year term and a 6 you have to track. No more. 7 7 five-year renewal term, that is essential to Now, when you described your 8 8 understanding this new program. But, Jerry, project, let's say for example, you've got an 9 it's always been that way. But yeah, it's a 9 existing project, Company ABC, they've decided 10 little bit different now, which we'll talk 10 they want to come in and completely refurbish 11 about here in just a minute. So pay attention 11 all their pipelines, pipe racks, and operator 12 to a contract that allows -- has an initial 12 stations. Okay. You come in, you file your 13 term and a reveal term of another five years. 13 contract, and you put all of that in your 14 Go ahead, Ms. Porter. 14 contract. And what will happen is during the 15 15 MS. PORTER: life of the project, once a year, on March 16 Annual Project Property Report, 16 31st, you will file one filing with LED that 17 APPR. ITEP Rule Section 559 provides that 17 will describe all the things that she just 18 companies or affiliates shall file an APPR 18 pointed out. This is where we are, this is 19 19 what we've done. These are the things that with LED on or before March 31st of each 20 calendar year following the Board of Commerce 20 have gone into service this past year and for 21 & Industry approval of the project 21 the tax assessors, they will now have -- the 22 22 application, and shall continue to file APPR will determine when the -- as you know, 23 annually until the project is complete within 23 when a project goes into service, the 24 the term of the ITEP exemption contract. 24 exemption on that process on that piece of

25

The APPR shall include, but may not

Page 33

equipment commences.

25

Page 31

	160 202		
1	MS. PORTER:	1	THE CHAIRMAN:
2	The following calendar year.	2	Correct.
3	THE CHAIRMAN:	3	MS. ARDOIN:
4	The following calendar year,	4	Ist here an extension?
5	exactly. So March 31st, the following	5	MS. PORTER:
6	calendar year, that piece of equipment is	6	Yes. You have to get your extension
7	exempt from taxation or 80% of it is exempt	7	in and request it prior to March 31st. And
8	from taxation and goes on. And so every year	8	we'll give you an extension to April 1, and
9	that from a tax assessor's perspective I	9	I'm just memorizing it from the rules we just
10	keep looking at Glen the tax assessor's	10	had. April 30th.
11	perspective, you look at one document for each	11	MS. ARDOIN:
12	project and it will tell you what you need to	12	So one month.
13	know for the following year as to which pieces	13	THE CHAIRMAN:
14	of equipment have gone into service.	14	One month.
15	MS. PORTER:	15	MS. JOHNSON:
16	And even for a status update as	16	The penalty that we have here is a
17	well.	17	late APPR filing shall be subject to
18	THE CHAIRMAN:	18	penalties. The penalty shall be the loss of
19	And you will also know and anybody	19	one year of exemption for each calendar year
20	who wants to look at the APPR's, you will see	20	or portion thereof that the filing is late.
21	where we are and where the project is. And	21	But companies can also make a special request
22	once you've signed your contract, if you're	22	of the board for reconsideration of above late
23	still in your project financing stage and you	23	filing.
24	haven't started construction, you'll file an	24	MS. PORTER:
25	APPR and said we're still in project Page 34	25	Right. Thank you.
1	financing, we haven't started construction.	1	THE CHAIRMAN:
2	And you'll file that each year until you get	2	And, guys, today, if you're familiar
3	started, and every year we'll have a	3	with the Board Commerce & Industry agenda,
4	project there will be one document rather	4	toward the end of the agenda, we always have
5	than a bunch of different document that	5	compliance issues, and those compliance issues
6	anybody can go to and look at a contract and	6	are about what? Jobs, and payroll, or late
7	see where it is on a year by year basis.	7	filings. Those are the only three things.
8	Mr. Miller?	8	Well, there's no more jobs and payroll
9	MS. ARDOIN:	9	requirements. Your one job you have one
10	So maybe I missed this? What	10	job, industry, file a report on March 31st.
11	happens if they don't do it? What happens if	11	And again, I'm just one vote on the board.
12	they don't file it?	12	But if you can't do that one job, shame on
13	MS. PORTER:	13	you. That's all you have to do.
14	There are penalties that you guys	14	Ms. Johnson.
15	would be deciding on. We'll bring it to the	15	MS. JOHNSON:
16	board to indicate that they are delinquent on	16	We also did choose the March 31st to
17	filing the APPR. They will either lose a year	17	line up with the assessor due date for LAT 5
18	exemption. It's something like if they're out	18	LAT 5A forms. Those are supposed to go
19	compliance now. But we would bring it before	19	together. We thought that was a good due
20	the board.	20	date.
21	MS. ARDOIN:	21	THE CHAIRMAN:
22	It doesn't disqualify them. It just	22	So I think that there's a strong
23	penalizes them?	23	it's kind of like April 15th. I really don't
24	MS. PORTER:	24	like April 15th, but I know that I have to do
25	It penalizes them. Page 35	25	something on April 15th, and I get it done or Page 37
I	rage 55		rage 37

ask for an extension. So we've streamlined a number of reports you have to file. We've given you what we believe is a very good timeline because it coincide with the other filings that you have to make. So we feed like this is a good way to keep everybody apprised of what's going on and for industry to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough? Ms. Ardoin. Ms. Ardoin. Ms. ARDOIN: Do they file it electronically or is it paper? Ms. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through PastLane Next Generation. So I think — I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. Ms. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Mrs. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have five different exemption periods because the report every year, correct? Ms. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually a go more than 10 reports because you're going to have love a very year, correct? Ms. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular project would almost actually year. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the periote. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like				
given you what we believe is a very good timeline because it coincide with the other filings that you have to make. So we feel like this is a good way to keep everybody apprised of what's going on and for industry to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough? Ms. Ardoin. Ms. Ardoin. Ms. ARDOIN: Do they file it electronically or is it paper? Ms. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think - I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. Ms. ROBIN: The CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? MR. MILLER: Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so you make the application, you have five different terms, you're going to have five different terms what happens today. It's not that different from what happens today. It's not w	1			·
timeline because it coincide with the other flings that you have to make. So we feel like this is a good way to keep everybody apprised of what's going on and for industry to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough? Ms. Ardoin. Ms. Ardoin. Ms. ARDON: Do they file it electronically or is it paper? Ms. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think - I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. Ms. ROBIN: THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Ms. MILLER: With the reporting with the APPR, so so a particular project would almost actually a go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular price is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get a flat they are a different that use this was a concept. If you re a different times, your'ge oing to have the excemption will		1 2	2	accountant. I'm just I do what I what.
5 filings that you have to make. So we feel 6 like this is a good way to keep everyboody apprised of what's going on and for industry to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough?			3	But trying to wrap my head around this,
6 like this is a good way to keep everybody apprised of what's going on and for industry to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough? 9	I	timeline because it coincide with the other	4	because this was a concept. If you have five,
apprised of what's going on and for industry to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough? make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? mos Any Olly I'll remember this. It go more than 10 reports because you're going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? mos Any ILLA: mos Any Olly I'll remember this. It go more than 10 reports because you're going to have five pieces of equipment that go into service at five different times, you're going to have five different times, you're son piece gos and the going to have five different times, you're son per load. It's different times, you're son per load and the going in the times, tour the different times, you're son per load and the going to have five different times, you're son for the different times, you're son for the different times, you're son for the semint what happens today. It's not that different times, you're son	5	filings that you have to make. So we feel	5	let's call it, five pieces of equipment or
to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair enough? Ms. Ardoin. Ms. Ardoin. Ms. ARDOIN: Do they file it electronically or is it paper? Ms. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think - I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. Ms. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. THE CHAIRMAN: Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have five different times, you're going to that five different times, you're going to have five different times, you're going to that five different times, you're going to that five different times, you're going to have five different times, you're going to that five different times, you're going to have five different times, you're going to that five different times, you're going to have five different times, you're going to because the different times, you're going to because the different times, you're going to that different times, you're going to because the different times, you're going to because the different times, you're going to thate five different times, you're going to thate five different times, you're going to thate five different times, you're going to thate the dedup. Try land by the vay, it's a 5-year exemption it is just thethe APPR will distate it. So it's one pice of paper when that exemption begins. The CHAIRMAN: The try	6	like this is a good way to keep everybody	6	five I'm sorry, Ms. Johnson no, let's
9 cnough? 10 Ms. Ardoin. 11 Ms. ARDOIN: 12 Do they file it electronically or is 13 it paper? 14 Ms. JOHNSON: 15 Everything right now is currently 16 filed electronically through FastLane Next 17 Generation. So I think - I mean, for this 18 future process, it will also be built in and 19 it will all be electronic. 20 Ms. ROBIN: 21 Trying to stay away manual. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 Any other questions? 24 Was something about the LAT 5. 25 With the reporting with the APPR, so 26 to thave possibly one, two, or three prior to to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? 26 Ms. VILLA: 27 Ms. MILLER: 28 Mg. MILLER: 39 Ms. WILLA: 40 Ms. Mill LER: 41 Ms. Mill LER: 42 Ms. Mill LER: 43 And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. 41 Ms. PORTER: 42 Ms. Mill LER: 43 Ms. Mill LER: 44 Ms. Mill LER: 45 Ms. Mill LER: 46 Ms. Mill LER: 47 Ms. Mill LER: 48 Ms. Mill LER: 49 Ms. Will LA: 40 Ms. Mill LER: 41 Ms. Mill LER: 41 Ms. Mill LER: 42 Ms. Mill LER: 43 Ms. Mill LER: 44 Ms. Mill LER: 45 Ms. Mill LER: 46 Ms. Mill LER: 47 Ms. Mill LER: 48 Ms. Mill LER: 49 Ms. Mill LER: 40 Ms. Mill LER: 41 Ms. Mill LER: 41 Ms. Mill LER: 41 Ms. Mill LER: 42 Ms. Mill LER: 43 Ms. Mill LER: 44 Ms. Mill LER: 45 Ms. Mill LER: 46 Ms. Mill Ms. Mill LER: 47 Ms. Mill LER: 48 Ms. Mill LER: 49 Ms. Mill LER: 40 Ms. Mill Ms. Mi	7	apprised of what's going on and for industry	7	don't do that. We'll use that to further
MS. ARDOIN: Do they file it electronically or is it paper? MS. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. MS. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. Trying to stay away manual. Trying to stay away manual. The CHAIRMAN: Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually d go more than 10 reports because you're going to more than 10 years, so the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: The man for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. The company when the contract, then it's only a 5-year exemption if for some reason BC&I chooses not to renew the contract, then it's only a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The company who comes in and they get started and they end poing to renew for? Well, I don't was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, or then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, or the only or saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MS. PORTER: Greation. So the interaction what happens today, the contraction. So the solution is and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, the this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, or the only the way, it's a 5-year comption. It's not a 10-year exemption. It's a 5-year exemption if for some reason BC&I chooses not to renew the contract, then it's only a 5-	8	to have an easy way to keep up with it. Fair	8	correct any confusion that I create.
Ms. Ardoin. Ms. ARDON: Do they file it electronically or is it paper? Ms. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think — I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. Ms. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. Trying to stay away manual. The CHAIRMAN: Ms. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually a go more than 10 reports because you're going to have five determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get start call at the 5-year member of the project. Ms. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: The Ms. MILLER: Massomething about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually a go more than 10 reports because you're going to have five different times, you're going to have the premember that in the different trom, had fankly, that's what happens today, but it's just the — the APPR will dictate it. And frankly, that's what happens today, but it's just the — the APPR will dictate it. So it's one piece of pieve when that exemption be that it's list it's only a 5-year exemption. It's not a 10-year exemption. It's not a 10-year exemption. It's not a 10-year exemption. If a 5-year exemption if for some reason BC&I chooses not to renew the contract, then it's only a 5-year exemption if for some reason BC&I chooses not to rene	9	enough?	9	But if you have five pieces of
Do they file it electronically or is it paper? MS. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think — I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. MS. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MR. PORTER: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. MR. MILLER: And then if you're souphould it happen? Shoshut	10		10	equipment that go into service at five
12 Do they file it electronically or is it paper? 13 it paper? 15 14 MS. JOHNSON: 14 MS. JOHNSON: 15 Everything right now is currently 16 Generation. So I think - J mean, for this 17 Generation. So I think - J mean, for this 18 future process, it will also be built in and 18 begins. 18 future process, it will also be built in and 19 it will all be electronic. 19 MS. ROBIN: 20 MS. ROBIN: 21 Trying to stay away manual. 21 Trying to stay away manual. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 Any other questions? 24 MR. MILLER: 25 Hopefully I'll remember this. It 25 With the reporting with the APPR, so 3 So a particular project would almost actually 4 go more than 10 reports because you're going 5 to have possibly one, two, or three prior to 6 the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? 9 MS. VILLA: 10 Yes. 11 The CHAIRMAN: 12 Yes. 10	11	MS. ARDOIN:	11	different times, you're going to have five
it paper? MS. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think — I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. MS. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? MR. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part to years. So the one contract can look like it's MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part to years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE	12	Do they file it electronically or is	12	different exemption periods because the
MS. JOHNSON: Everything right now is currently 16 filed electronically through FastLane Next 17 Generation. So I think I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. 18 future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. 19	13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	
15 Everything right now is currently 16 filed electronically through FastLane Next 17 Generation. So I think — I mean, for this 18 future process, it will also be built in and 19 it will all be electronic. 19	14		14	
filed electronically through FastLane Next Generation. So I think I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. MS. ROBIN: THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Hopefully I'll remember this. It go more than 10 reports because you're going for the first thing going in operation. But one you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: And MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: That is the most complicated thing filed electronical for this first in decrease. It will all be electronic. And by the way, it's a 5-year exemption. It's not a 10-year exemption. It's a 5-year exemption if for some reason BC&I chooses not to renew the contract, then it's only a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But theI mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they ced up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And then if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that				
17 Generation. So I think – I mean, for this future process, it will also be built in and it will all be electronic. 19				
tit will all be electronic. MS. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Hopefully I'll remember this. It Page 38 was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so 3 So a particular project would almost actually 4 go more than 10 reports because you're going 5 to have possibly one, two, or three prior to 6 the first thing going in operation. But once 7 you make the application, you have to do the 7 report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's 15 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 16 project. THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Any other questions of the APPR, so 3 BC&I chooses not to renew the contract, then it's only a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility u				-
19 it will all be electronic. 20 MS. ROBIN: 21 Trying to stay away manual. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 Any other questions? 24 MR. MILLER: 25 Hopefully I'll remember this. It 26 Page 38 27 With the reporting with the APPR, so 28 So a particular project would almost actually so more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? 28 MS. VILLA: 29 MS. VILLA: 30 MS. PORTER: 40 Was something about the LAT 5. 41 THE CHAIRMAN: 42 And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're a good actor and you do everything you're doing everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're a doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad		•		
MS. ROBIN: Trying to stay away manual. Try ing to stay away manual. Try is 5 C& choses not to renew the contract, then it's of so back exemption. MS. PORTER: Absolutely. The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The chair a 5-year exemption. MS. PORTER: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The C	1			
Trying to stay away manual. THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It Page 38 Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so 3 So a particular project would almost actually 4 go more than 10 reports because you're going 5 to have possibly one, two, or three prior to 6 the first thing going in operation. But once 7 you make the application, you have to do the 8 report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: 10				, ,
THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? MR. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It Page 38 Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MR. MILLER: Mosolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce to the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're a doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to s				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Any other questions? MR. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It Page 38 Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. MR. MILLER: Mand people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to renew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to renew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you not going to senew for? Well, Jen't have are you				
MR. MILLER: Hopefully I'll remember this. It Page 38 Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. PORTER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I vas prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: MS. PORTER: I THE CHAIRMAN: So a particular project would almost actually are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I vas prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a upoposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce Flexibility under the constitution to say on you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote,				
25 Hopefully I'll remember this. It Page 38 25 Absolutely. Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so 3 So a particular project would almost actually 4 go more than 10 reports because you're going 5 to have possibly one, two, or three prior to 6 the first thing going in operation. But once 7 you make the application, you have to do the 8 report every year, correct? 9 MS. VILLA: 9 If I have a company who comes in and they get 10 Yes. 11 MR. MILLER: 11 then this board and the governor has the 12 And then if you're saying that part 13 of the something goes in service, that 14 particular piece is going to only run the 10 15 years. So the one contract can look like it's 16 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 17 project. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 19 You got it. 19 You got it. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 And people keep asking, well, what 22 are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't 23 know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, 24 know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, 25 wound give to renew for? Well, I don't 26 know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, 26 the day, 27 And people keep asking, well, what 28 are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't 29 And the don't happen? Absolutely. But the1 20 mean, I got this question more times than I 21 was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you 22 determine when somebody gets renewed or not. 23 If lave a company who comes in and they get 24 to the this part of the day, 25 And that is a could it happen? Absolutely. 26 But the1 27 mean, I got this question more times than I 28 was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you 29 determine when somebody gets renewed or not. 29 If lave a company who comes in and they get 29 started and they end up being a bad actor, 21 then this board and the governor has the 21 flexibility under the constitution to say, 22 we're not going to keep doing this. And we 23 good actor and you do everything you're 24 supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce 25 lave are a supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce 26 Industry,		· •		I
Page 38 Was something about the LAT 5. With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually 4 go more than 10 reports because you're going 5 to have possibly one, two, or three prior to 6 the first thing going in operation. But once 7 you make the application, you have to do the 8 report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part 12 And then if you're saying that part 13 of the something goes in service, that 14 particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: And people keep asking, well, what are you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce You got it. THE CHAIRMAN: We're not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that				
With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: MS. PORTER: I I am so impressed. That is the most complicated thing That is the most complicated thing That is the most complicated thing To have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	23		23	
With the reporting with the APPR, so So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: MS. PORTER: I I am so impressed. That is the most complicated thing That is the most complicated thing That is the most complicated thing To have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you not going to renew for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	1	was something about the LAT 5	1	THE CHAIDMAN:
So a particular project would almost actually go more than 10 reports because you're going to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: MS. PORTER: That is the most complicated thing to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the have, or three prior to the have, or three prior to the have possibly one, two, or three prior to the have possibly one, two, or three prior to the have possibly one, two, or three prior to the have possibly one, two, or three prior to the have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going to never for? Well, I don't know yet. I mean, at the end of the day, could it happen? Absolutely. But the I mean, I got this question more times than I was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And then's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	1			
4 go more than 10 reports because you're going 5 to have possibly one, two, or three prior to 6 the first thing going in operation. But once 7 you make the application, you have to do the 8 report every year, correct? 9 MS. VILLA: 9 If I have a company who comes in and they get 10 Yes. 10 started and they end up being a bad actor, 11 then this board and the governor has the 12 And then if you're saying that part 13 of the something goes in service, that 14 particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's 15 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 16 project. 17 You got it. 19 You got it. 19 MS. PORTER: 20 That is the most complicated thing 21 I am so impressed. 21 I am so impressed. 21 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, 16 then this board and the governor has the 17 then this board and the governor has the 18 the this board and the governor has the 19 flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce 20 k. Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, 21 arm so impressed. 22 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 23 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 24 doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll 25 probably get renewed. But if you're a 26 bad 27 and that exemption only last for five years. 28 MR. MILLER: 29 And that's a great explanation. In, 20 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				
to have possibly one, two, or three prior to the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: Yes. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. Yes. That is the most complicated thing Ti have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're a doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And then if you're a per that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				
the first thing going in operation. But once you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: Yes. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: That is the most complicated thing Tight is possible and the application, you have to do the was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				
you make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: Yes. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You make the application, you have to do the report every year, correct? Was prepared for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get started and they end up being a bad actor, then this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce to doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll you got it. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: I may so impressed. I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: That is the most complicated thing to understand. If I have and this is real I'm You to the sampled for. Well, Jerry, how do you determine when somebody gets renewed or not. If I have a company who comes in and they get the transmit and they get then this board and the governor has the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're a supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce are you paying your taxes on time, if you're are you going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce are you paying your taxes on time, if you're are you going to doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, that is the most complicated thing are you paying your taxes on time, if you're and this doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're and that exemption only last for	1			I
report every year, correct? MS. VILLA: Yes. MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part flexibility under the constitution to say, of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: Ithen this board and the governor has the flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce flexibility under the constitution to say, we're not going to keep doing this. And we get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				
9 MS. VILLA: 10 Yes. 11 MR. MILLER: 12 And then if you're saying that part 13 of the something goes in service, that 14 particular piece is going to only run the 10 15 years. So the one contract can look like it's 16 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 17 project. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 19 If I have a company who comes in and they get 10 started and they end up being a bad actor, 11 then this board and the governor has the 12 flexibility under the constitution to say, 13 we're not going to keep doing this. And we 14 get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a 15 good actor and you do everything you're 16 supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce 17 project. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 19 You got it. 19 doing everything your taxes on time, if you're 19 doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll 20 MS. PORTER: 20 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 21 am so impressed. 21 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 MR. MILLER: 26 And that's a great explanation. In, 27 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		I
10 Yes. 11 MR. MILLER: 12 And then if you're saying that part 13 of the something goes in service, that 14 particular piece is going to only run the 10 15 years. So the one contract can look like it's 16 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 17 project. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 19 You got it. 10 started and they end up being a bad actor, 11 then this board and the governor has the 12 flexibility under the constitution to say, 13 we're not going to keep doing this. And we 14 get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a 15 years. So the one contract can look like it's 16 more than 10 years, but each piece of the 17 project. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 are you paying your taxes on time, if you're 19 You got it. 19 doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll 20 MS. PORTER: 20 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 21 I am so impressed. 22 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 MR. MILLER: 26 And that's a great explanation. In, 27 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				• •
MR. MILLER: And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR				
And then if you're saying that part of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. You got it. I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. That is the most complicated thing That is the most complicated thing This is the most constitution to say, The call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're as a pood actor and you do ev	1			, ,
of the something goes in service, that particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. You got it. You got it. I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. The CHAIRMAN: That is the most complicated thing The CHAIRMAN: The CH				_
particular piece is going to only run the 10 years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. That is the most complicated thing That is the most complicated thing That is real I'm The particular piece is going to only run the 10 I get that call at the 5-year mark. If you're a good actor and you do everything you're good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	1	• • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
years. So the one contract can look like it's more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. Is are you paying you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. That is the most complicated thing to understand. Is good actor and you do everything you're supposed to do, not just for Board of Commerce & Industry, but as far as DEQ is concerned, are you paying your taxes on time, if you're doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll probably get renewed. But if you're a bad actor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad		~ ~		
more than 10 years, but each piece of the project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: MS. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN:				• •
project. THE CHAIRMAN: You got it. MS. PORTER: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: I am so impressed. THE CHAIRMAN: THAT is the most complicated thing THAT is the most complicated thing THAT is the most complicated thing THE CHAIRMAN: THAT is the most complicated thing THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMA		· ·		
THE CHAIRMAN: 18 are you paying your taxes on time, if you're 19 You got it. 19 doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll 20 MS. PORTER: 20 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 21 I am so impressed. 21 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 MR. MILLER: 26 And that's a great explanation. In, 27 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I
You got it. 19 doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll 20 MS. PORTER: 20 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 21 I am so impressed. 21 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 If I have and this is real I'm 26 doing everything you're supposed to do, you'll 27 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 28 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 29 and that exemption only last for five years. 20 MR. MILLER: 21 And that's a great explanation. In, 22 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				
MS. PORTER: 20 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 21 I am so impressed. 21 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 If I have and this is real I'm 26 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 27 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 28 and that exemption only last for five years. 29 MR. MILLER: 20 probably get renewed. But if you're a bad 21 actor, we still have the freedom to say no, 22 and that exemption only last for five years. 23 And that's a great explanation. In, 24 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	1			
21 I am so impressed. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 If I have and this is real I'm 26 If I have a ctor, we still have the freedom to say no, and that exemption only last for five years. 26 MR. MILLER: 27 And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad				
THE CHAIRMAN: 22 and that exemption only last for five years. 23 That is the most complicated thing 24 to understand. 25 If I have and this is real I'm 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 and that exemption only last for five years. 28 MR. MILLER: 29 And that's a great explanation. In, 20 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	1			
That is the most complicated thing 23 MR. MILLER: 24 to understand. 24 And that's a great explanation. In, 25 If I have and this is real I'm 25 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	± 21	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24 to understand. 24 And that's a great explanation. In, 25 If I have and this is real I'm 25 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	I		22	and that exemption only last for five years
25 If I have and this is real I'm 25 quote, not being a good actor or being a bad	22			
	22 23	That is the most complicated thing	23	MR. MILLER:
Page 39 Page 41	22 23 24	That is the most complicated thing to understand.	23 24	MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In,
	22 23 24	That is the most complicated thing to understand. If I have and this is real I'm	23 24	MR. MILLER: And that's a great explanation. In, quote, not being a good actor or being a bad

1 actor, the locals have the opportunity to come 1 is status. But that will be the last APPR 2 2 at the point of the renewal and sit at our that's required to be filed. 3 3 desk right there and tell us why they are good And with each APPR that's file that 4 or bad. And should. That's always been in 4 represents assets and service, you will notice 5 play. We don't know if they all took 5 that if you have a 2026 -- March 31, 2026, 6 6 advantage of it. assets and service, then you know assuming 7 This is kind of one of those things 7 that you have a contract renewal. 2036 will 8 8 that is probably a longer term. The APPR, is be that 10 years. But you notice here that 9 9 there any way for us to work on the APPR and the renewal contract expiration date is 2034. 10 the LAT 5 being basically the same form so the 10 So that's what we mean when we indicate the 11 industry doesn't have to create the same 11 contract term does not equal the exemption 12 information laid out two different ways? And, 12 here. 13 I guess, that would be working with the 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 assessors. But it's a suggestion for going 14 Okay. That's at big concept. I 15 forward, not necessarily part of this rules. 15 need to call this guy out because Jimmy 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Leonard became for -- I'm not sure he wanted 16 17 Mr. Miller, you make an important 17 the job, but apparently got appointed by 18 point. Once these rules are adopted, staff 18 industry consultants to be the work mule for 19 has to completely re-write. The work only 19 industry consultants. And we went around and 20 20 begins for the staff. New forms, new around and around trying to wrap our head -- I 21 programming of FastLane. A lot of things have 21 had the same problem as Jimmy did. And I 22 22 think everyone did. But reality is, you're to happen to implement the rules. And so, you 23 23 know, the Board of Commerce & Industry and going to have at most a 10-year contract, and 24 this rules committee, we'll finish our work, 24 the 10 years will be over, but you could have 25 25 but the LED staff's work will have just begin. assets still receiving the exemption past the Page 42 Page 44 1 1 Ms. Porter, go ahead. end of the contract date. That's what this 2 MS. PORTER: 2 illustration is trying to convey, and that's 3 3 We're going to go with over example very different from what you see today. 4 here for the ITEP contract and APPR process 4 Let me do it this way. For those of 5 5 flow. So if you'll notice that we have a you who deal in the contracting world from a project start date of June 1, 2024, and 6 6 lawyer's perspective. You may sign a contract 7 7 remember the project start date is now the and it says, okay, the contract terminates on 8 8 contract effective date. Okay. We have a 12/31/2025. However, there's certain 9 project end date of 12/31/2026. So between 9 provisions that do not terminate with the 10 10 that period of time, you're going to have some termination of the contract. There may be 11 things going on, such as the submitting your 11 warranty provisions. There may be all sorts 12 APPR each year after the board approves the 12 of provisions of the contract, even though the 13 project application and your contract 13 contract is no longer in force and effect, 14 effective date of 6/1 and you will notice, 14 there are provisions that can extend beyond 15 15 December 31, 2024, 6/1/2024. The very next that contract. You see it in leases, you see 16 calendar year is when your initial APPR will 16 it in sales contracts. That's the same 17 be due on or before March 31, 2025. Okay. 17 concept here. The exemption will extend 18 APPR's they are due annually following Board 18 beyond the life of the contract in most cases. 19 19 Go ahead, Mr. Miller. of Commerce & Industry approval for the prior 20 calendar year until the project is complete. 20 MR. MILLER: 21 21 The project is projected as an end date of Okay. So APPR's will not be 22 required after that on those parts that are 12/31/2026. So you will notice that the final 22 23 APPR is filed on 3/31/2027 to report the prior 23 still being exempt? But a LAT 5 will have to 24 24 calendar year where the assets went into 25 service, which I'm assuming probably there or 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Page 43 Page 45

1	So the contract is over in let's	1	will give companies with existing contracts
2	call it 2033. But the property APPR's will	2	and opportunity to be on equal footing with
3	still need to be filed, won't they?	3	companies that may qualify under the 2024 ITEP
4	MS. PORTER:	4	rules.
5	Until the project is completed. Not	5	THE CHAIRMAN:
6	the contract is completed, but the project is	6	I will tell you, this one they had
7	completed. APPR's are filed until the project	l	to drag me kicking and screaming, because what
8	is completed.	8	I wanted was everybody to be under the 2024
9	MR. MILLER:	9	rules. Figure out some way to move 2017 and
10	And the project being completed is	10	2018 rules all under the 2024 rules. The
11	five years after it's in place? The	11	problem is in order to do that, every company
12	exemption.	12	under the 27 would and 28 would essentially
13	THE CHAIRMAN:	13	have to go back and file brand new
14	Yeah. I understand your question	14	applications because you have different forms,
15	now.	15	different reporting responsibilities in order
16	Yeah. You you will not have to file	16	to make that and we have contracts in
17	APPR's once the project is complete.	17	place. And so these contracts dictate what
18	MS. PORTER:	18	you have to do. We would have and so the
19	That's correct.	19	administration of that became so overwhelming
20	THE CHAIRMAN:	20	that even I bought into. But at least
21	Once everything has been put into	21	under if you're a company now under the
22	service, we don't need APPR's anymore.	22	2017 or 2018 rules, all you have to do is
23	MR. MILLER:	23	ask if these rules are passed, all you have
24	The LAT 5's have to keep going?	24	to do is ask, we file and amended contract
25	THE CHAIRMAN:	25	that takes out the jobs and payroll and those
	Page 46		Page 48
	6		
1	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go	1	respective board requirements. So you still
2	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what	1 2	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't
2 3	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption.		respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you.
2 3 4	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what	2 3 4	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER:
2 3 4 5	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct.	2 3 4 5	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those
2 3 4	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER:	2 3 4	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that companies with ITEP contracts existing under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your call.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that companies with ITEP contracts existing under 2017 and 2018, ITEP rules may opt out of jobs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your call. Okay. Go right ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that companies with ITEP contracts existing under 2017 and 2018, ITEP rules may opt out of jobs and payroll and related compliance components	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your call. Okay. Go right ahead. MS. PORTER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that companies with ITEP contracts existing under 2017 and 2018, ITEP rules may opt out of jobs and payroll and related compliance components by amending Exhibit A's to reflect zero jobs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your call. Okay. Go right ahead. MS. PORTER: Mega Mega projects, ITEP Rule
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that companies with ITEP contracts existing under 2017 and 2018, ITEP rules may opt out of jobs and payroll and related compliance components by amending Exhibit A's to reflect zero jobs and payroll regardless of whether the ITEP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your call. Okay. Go right ahead. MS. PORTER: Mega Mega projects, ITEP Rule Section 539(D)(2) provides that in exceptional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Yeah, the LAT 5's still have to go to the tax assessors so they still know what property is subject to exemption. MS. PORTER: Correct. MR. PORTER: And what the value is going to be when it comes on the roles? THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. Does that answer your questions? MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you. MR. PORTER: Opt out of existing jobs and payroll compliance and related compliance requirements. ITEP Rule 529E provides that companies with ITEP contracts existing under 2017 and 2018, ITEP rules may opt out of jobs and payroll and related compliance components by amending Exhibit A's to reflect zero jobs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respective board requirements. So you still have to file the forms, but at least you don't have those requirements on you. MR. MILLER: So that would eliminate all of those special provisions we're looking at the end of the meeting most of the compliance. THE CHAIRMAN: When we get into there should be no the only compliance issues we have will be late filing of their forms under '17 and '18 or late filing of the APPR. That ought to be it. Just for everybody listening, I feel sorry for the first company that comes up for compliance on failing to file the APPR if I'm still on the board. I'm not going to be patient. Fair warning. Or if you don't opt of the jobs and payroll. But that's your call. Okay. Go right ahead. MS. PORTER: Mega Mega projects, ITEP Rule

1 for an increased and valorem tax exemption 1 projects? If you meet -- average those -- say 2 2 rate beginning at 93% up to 100% if so you got three projects. And you -- they 3 recommended by the local ITEP Committee, or as 3 average \$500,000,000 in Cap-X. If you come in 4 otherwise approved by the governor. at a billion dollar project, 200% of the 5 5 And Section 541 provides a Mega average, then you have the opportunity for a 6 6 Project is a manufacturing establishment for Mega Project treatment. 7 expansion or addition project with capital 7 However, there are some parishes 8 8 where there haven't been any major projects expenditures meeting or exceeding the 9 9 following benchmarks in accordance with completed in the last 10 years. What do you 10 statewide data posted on LED's website to be 10 do then? Well, then you look at the major 11 projects within the redo, the regional updated manually. 11 12 Number 1, 200% of the 10-year parish 12 economic development organization. We have 13 averages for capital expenditures by completed eight of them around the state. You look at 13 14 by ITEP projects. If none, Number 2, 200% of 14 those. And, again, 200% of the average. And 15 the 10-year regional average for capital 15 believe it or not guys, we have regional expenditures by completed ITEP projects. A, 16 16 economic development organizations with no 17 in accordance with the geographic boundaries 17 manufacturing facilities within the last 10 18 of the eight regional economic development 18 years, then if you don't have that, you look 19 organizations. And if none, 3, 200% of the 19 at the statewide average. And so what we --20 20 10-year statewide average for capital again, we try to make this formulaic 21 expenditures by completed ITEP projects. 21 objective, not subjective. And then the ITEP 22 22 THE CHAIRMAN: committee can recommend or not, comes to Board 23 23 Okay. We kept hearing about -- and Commerce and Industry, recommend or not, and 24 24 my favorite example is if ABC Automobile we'll go to the governor and the governor will 25 Manufacturing Company shows up in your parish 25 be ultimately the arbiter of what kind of Page 52 1 1 and promises 5000 jobs, but they want a 100% exemption is granted. Is that fair? Do y'all 2 exemption, how do you get there under these 2 understand? That's the question. 3 3 rules? And so the concept of the Mega Project MS. PORTER: 4 is to give an opportunity for that project 4 Yes. 5 5 that everybody kind of agrees that it's a THE CHAIRMAN: 6 6 special circumstance for an exemption up to I know you do, Robin. If you don't, 7 7 100%. Again, to be determined by the we're all in trouble. We're all in trouble if 8 8 governor, but based on recommendations from you don't understand. 9 the local ITEP committee and the board 9 MR. MILLER: 10 10 commerce and industry. Just out of curiosity, do we know 11 Again, today, the way the rules 11 that statewide tenure average? Do we have 12 read, the rules already provide for a Mega 12 that number? 13 Project possibility of 93 to a 100%, but it 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 it's tied to a very low capital expenditure 14 Today we don't. We actually -- the 15 amount and job requirement. And so the 15 research is already -- has already been done. 16 problem with those static kind of numbers, MR. MILLER: 16 17 both Cap-x and jobs, is that in five years the 17 I was just wondering. Just curious. 18 numbers are meaningless. So instead of 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 19 19 putting numbers in there, we tried to come up The research has already been done. with a formula that can basically be nimble 20 20 When we started talking about that and putting 21 people to work, so a lot of this research is enough to not require an amendment of the 21 22 rules or, you know, why this number. So what 22 out there. 23 we're looking at is look at the projects that 23 But again, and let me say this for 24 24 have been finished in your parish in the last those of you who like to comment on respective 25 10 years. What is the Cap-x for those 25 rules, there's nothing magic about these Page 51 Page 53

discussed. If you can make a good case why it shouldn't be 200%, it ought to be higher or lower, come make your case. I'll listen to it. But, you know, 200% makes sense to me because it's an average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. Yes, ma'am. MS. VILLA: And my only comment that I would state if you look back at the years we would be looking at, the way that miscellaneous capital additions were done, you had an enormous amount of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those start to roll off, then your average is going to likely to go up just because of the number - the sheer number of MCA's that we have in the system still that are active. Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention. THE CHAIRMAN: And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years in Cameron? How many Projects have been completed and online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How many? Three? There are only - one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years in There's - now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the lower, and none that the up promulgation process by the end of the year, and hope to have a permanent final rule by April 2025. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. I want well, first of all, let's stop here. Any questions or comments from the committee about what we've heard. This is the end of the rules presentation itself. Any questions or comments from the committee about what we've heard. This is the end of the rules presentation itself. Any questions or comments from the committee about what we've heard. This is the end of the rules presentation itself. Any questions or comments from the committee about what we've heard. This is the end of the rules presentation, but there's a specific piece and we've talked about it. I don't know if this is the time to bring it up. Sea the time to brin				
shouldn't be 200%, it ought to be higher or to lic. But, you know, 200% makes sense to me because it's an average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. Yes, ma'am. MS. YILLA: The And my only comment that I would selooking at, the way that misscellaneous capital additions were done, you had an enormous amount of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those start to roll off, then your average is going to filkely to go up just because of the number the sheer number of MCA's that we have in the system still that are active. Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention. THE CHAIRMAN: And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the and many? Three? There are only one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana or northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana, There's now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula anier it's and hope to have a permanent final rule by April 2025. THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. I want well, first of all, let's stop here. Any questions or comments from the committee? Mr. Miller. MR. MILLER: Not necessarily about the prosentation, but there's a specific piece and we've talked about it. I don't know if this is the time to bring it up. But the actual local ITEP Committee indicates that the member I don't have it pulled up now, but the member is from parish government is elected by the council, and we believe that it was going to be the parish president or the prosentation itself. Any questions or comments from the committee? Mr. Miller. 15 Not necessarily about the presentation, but there's a specific piece and we've talked about it. I don't know if this is the time to bring it up. But the actual local ITEP Committee indicates that the was going to be the parish president or the province of the most active areas in the was going to be the parish	1	numbers. I mean, these were debated and		Moving forward, the Board of
4 lower, come make your case. I'll listen to 5 it. But, you know, 200% makes sense to me 6 because it's an average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. 3 Yes, ma'am. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: 8 And my only comment that I would 11 And my only comment that I would 12 state if you look back at the years we would 12 state if you look back at the years we would 13 be looking at, the way that miscellaneous 14 diminishes your average, right? So as those 17 start to roll off, then your average is going 16 likely to go up just because of the 18 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 18 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 18 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 18 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 18 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheet number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheet number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheet number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheet number of MCA's that we 19 number - the sheet number of MCA's that we 19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
5 i. But, you know, 200% makes sense to me because it's an average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. It's not 200% higher than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. It's not 200% higher than the great state it you look back at the years we would be looking at, the way that miscellaneous capital additions were done, you had an enormous amount of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention. 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 25 context, one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana. 7 There's – now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects a So basically, we would take the three that are in Cameron Parish from the fofficials there and average those. 21 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 25 MS. PORTER: 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MR. MILLER: 27 THE CHAIRMAN: 28 MR. MOSS: 29 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 MR. MILLER: 25 My the council, and be believe that it was going to be the parish president of the policy jury. 25 MS. PORTER: 27 The council and the president of the policy jury. 26 MR. MILLER: 28 MS. PORTER: 29 MS. PORTER: 29 THE CHAIRMAN: 2	1			
6 because it's an average. It's not 200% of the 7 than the greatest one. It's 200% of the 8 average. 9 Yes, ma'am. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 And my only comment that I would 12 state if you look back at the years we would 13 be looking at, the way that miscellaneous 14 capital additions were done, you had an 15 enormous amount of projects that occurred that 16 diminishes your average, right? So as those 17 start to roll off, then your average is going 18 to likely to go up just because of the 19 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 And, my only comment that I would 15 the looking at, the way that miscellaneous 16 diminishes your average is going 17 to likely to go up just because of the 18 number - the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 Id would that the committee about what we've 11 hand the committee about what we've 12 heard. This is the end of the rules 13 more more and of the rules 14 Comments from the committee about what we've 15 heard. This is the end of the rules 16 heard. This is the end of the rules 17 mest of the rules 18 to the committee? Mr. Miller. 18 is the time to bring it up. But the actual 19 local TIPE Committee indicates that the 19 local TI		•	4	
than the greatest one. It's 200% of the average. Average. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. MS. VII.J.A: And my only comment that I would be looking at, the way that miscellaneous capital additions were done, you had an to likely to go up just because of the mumber - the sheer number of MCA's that we have in the system still that are active. Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Perfect. I want - well, first of all, let's stop here. Any questions or comments from the committee about what we've comments from the committee? Mr. Miller. MR. MILLER: Not necessarily about the presentation, but there's a specific piece and we've talked about it. I don't know if this is the time to bring it up. But the actual local ITEP Committee indicates that the local TIEP Committee indicates that the lo	5		5	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
8 Perfect. I want well, first of 9 Yes, ma'am. 10 MS. VII.LA: 11 And my only comment that I would 12 state if you look back at the years we would 13 be looking at, the way that miscellaneous 14 capital additions were done, you had an 15 enormous amount of projects that occurred that 16 diminishes your average, right? So as those 17 start to roll off, then your average is going 18 to likely to go up just because of the 19 number the sheer number of MCA's that we 19 number the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guss, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 many? Three? There are only one of the 27 most active areas in the state, they've only 28 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 29 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 29 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 20 There's now, I think those numbers will go 20 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 21 formula is it will be flexible as projects 22 come online. 23 MR. MOSS: 24 Al. MoSS: 25 Okay. That was the questions. 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 THE CHAIRMAN: 28 All right. Great. Let's go on. 28 MR. MOSS: 29 Okay. That was the questions. 20 Thank you. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 MS. PORTER: 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 MR. MOSS: 26 Okay. That was the questions. 27 Thank you. 28 MS. PORTER: 28 Perfect. I want well, the action to comments from the committee about what we've head the tender. This is the end of the committee? Mr. Miller. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Not necessarily about the presentation itself. Any questions or comments from the committee? Mr. Miller. 29 Thank you. 20 Thank you. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 The CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Perfect. I want - well, first of the least. This is the end of the least. It would in the last of the least. This is the end of the committee? Mr. Miller. 20 NR. PORTER: 21 MR. MILLER: 22 Presentation	6	because it's an average. It's not 200% higher	6	April 2025.
9	7	than the greatest one. It's 200% of the	7	THE CHAIRMAN:
10 MS. VILLA:	8	average.	8	Perfect. I want well, first of
11 And my only comment that I would 12 state if you look back at the years we would 13 be looking at, the way that miscellaneous 14 capital additions were done, you had an 15 enormous amount of projects that occurred that 16 diminishes your average, right? So as those 17 start to roll off, then your average is going 18 to likely to go up just because of the 19 number — the sheer number of McA's that we 10 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 many? Three? There are only — one of the 27 most active areas in the state, they've only 28 had three completed in the last 10 years. No 29 many? Three? There are only — one of the 30 most active areas in the state, they've only 41 had three completed in the last 10 years. No 42 monthwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana or 43 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana 44 NR. MILLER: 45 Not necessarily about the 46 member : I don't know if this 46 is time to bring it up. But the actual 46 local TFEP Committee indicates that the 47 member — I don't have it pulled up now, but 48 the time to bring it up. But the actual 49 local TFEP Committee indicates that the 40 member — I don't have it pulled up now, but 41 the member : I don't have it pulled up now, but 42 the member : I don't have it pulled up now, but 43 the time member is from parish government is 44 elected by the council, and we believe that it 45 was going to be the parish president or the 46 president of the policy jury. 47 THE CHAIRMAN: 48 MR. MILLER: 49 Not necessarily about the 49 president of the policy it to bring that occurred the 49 was going to be the parish president or the 40 president of the policy jury. 40 THE CHAIRMAN: 41 In my world, it's up to the parish 41 to decide that. 42 MR. MILLER: 43 Well, I guess, that's why our point 44 is is. 45 In my world, it's up to the parish 46 to decide that. 47 In my world, it's up to the parish	9	Yes, ma'am.	9	all, let's stop here. Any questions or
tate if you look back at the years we would be looking at, the way that miscellaneous capital additions were done, you had an enormous amount of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those to likely to go up just because of the number the sheer number of MCA's that we have in the system still that are active. 19	10	MS. VILLA:	10	comments from the committee about what we've
to king at, the way that miscellaneous capital additions were done, you had an tent of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those start to roll off, then your average is going to likely to go up just because of the number the sheer number of MCA's that we have in the system still that are active. Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: How many? Three? There are only one of the most active areas in the last 10 years in Cameron? How many? Three? There are only one of the most active areas in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana or northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. There's now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects and we've talked about it. I don't know if this is the time to bring it up. But the actual local ITEP Committee indicates that the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member is from parish government is decicted by the council, and we believ	11	And my only comment that I would	11	heard. This is the end of the rules
14 capital additions were done, you had an enormous amount of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those 17 start to roll off, then your average is going 18 to likely to go up just because of the 19 number the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 many? Three? There are only one of the 27 most active areas in the state, they've only 28 many? Three's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 15 formula is it will be flexible as projects 21 Come online. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act 26 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act 27 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act 28 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act 29 Come mile. 30 Charps. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. We might be able to tell Lawrason Act.	12	state if you look back at the years we would	12	presentation itself. Any questions or
15 enormous amount of projects that occurred that diminishes your average, right? So as those 17 start to roll off, then your average is going 18 to likely to go up just because of the 19 number the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 had three completed and 3 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects come online. 12 MR. MOSS: 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the officials there and average them. A Mega Project will be 200% of that average. 14 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 15 Not necessarily about the presentation, but there's a specific piece and we've talked about it. I don't know if this is is the time to bring it up. But the actual 16 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member is from parish grow president to bring it up. But the actual 19 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member: afform paris it up. But the actual 19 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member: afform the actual 19 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member: afform the site time to bring it up. But the actual 19 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member: afform the actual 19 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member: afform the actual 19 local ITEP Committee indicates that the member is from parish grow president of the policy jury. THE CHAIRMAN: 11 In my world, it's up to the parish president of the parish president of the policy jury. 11 In my world, it's up to the parish precided that. 11 In my world, it's up to the parish 19 local ITEP Committee and 19 local	13	be looking at, the way that miscellaneous	13	comments from the committee? Mr. Miller.
16 diminishes your average, right? So as those start to roll off, then your average is going 17 to roll off, then your average is going 18 to likely to go up just because of the 19 number the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 many? Three? There are only one of the 27 most active areas in the state, they've only 28 many? Three? There are only one of the 38 most active areas in the 39 tup. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 310 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 311 MR. MOSS: 313 MR. MOSS: 314 So basically, we would take the 415 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 316 officials there and average them. A Mega Project will 317 Average them. A Mega Project will 318 be 200% of that average. 318 MR. MOSS: 319 Okay. That was the questions. 329 THE CHAIRMAN: 341 right. Great. Let's go on. 320 size of the 31 most active areas in the state of inches and average them. A Mega Project will 320 MR. MOSS: 320 MR. MOSS: 321 Okay. That was the questions. 321 THE CHAIRMAN: 323 the time to bring it up. But the actual 320 local TTEP Committee indicates that the member - I don't have it pulled up now, but the member is from parish government is elected by the council, and we believe that it was going to be the parish president of the policy jury. 322 THE CHAIRMAN: 341 MR. MILLER: 342 Was going to be the parish president of the policy jury. 342 THE CHAIRMAN: 343 MR. MILLER: 344 Was going to be the parish president of the policy jury. 345 THE CHAIRMAN: 345 MR. MILLER: 345 Well, I guess, that's why our point is is 345 MR. MILLER: 345 Well, I guess, that's why our point is sis 345 MR. MILLER: 345 Well, I guess, that I don't have it perished to decide that. 346 MR. MILLER: 345 MR. MILLER: 345 MR. MILLER: 345 MR. MILLER: 34	14	capital additions were done, you had an	14	MR. MILLER:
to likely to go up just because of the mumber – the sheer number of MCA's that we have in the system still that are active. have in the system still that are active. Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention. THE CHAIRMAN: And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the many? Three? There are only – one of the most active areas in the static to decide that. There's – now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects and MR. MOSS: MR. MILLER: Maybe we could say parish president, not someone from the council. MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER:	15	enormous amount of projects that occurred that	15	Not necessarily about the
18 to likely to go up just because of the number the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 most active areas in Cameron. Yeah. 27 How many projects have been completed and 30 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 31 most active areas in the state, they've only 42 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 34 in a morthwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana or 35 in Cameron? How 36 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana, 39 There's now, I think those numbers will go 36 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 37 formula is it will be flexible as projects 36 formula is it will be flexible as projects 47 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 37 fofficials there and average those. 30 MR. MOSS: 30 M	16	diminishes your average, right? So as those	16	presentation, but there's a specific piece and
19 number the sheer number of MCA's that we 20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 22 everyone's attention. 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 Page 54 Page 54 Page 54 I state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 25 How many projects have been completed and 30 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 11 come online. 12 MR. MOSS: 13 MR. MOSS: 13 MR. MOSS: 13 MR. MOSS: 13 MR. MOSS: 14 Average them. A Mega Project will 15 be 200% of that average. 15 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MOSS: 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 22 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 The CHAIRMAN: 27 The CHAIRMAN: 28 MR. MILLER: 29 MR. MOSS: 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Maybe we could say parish president, or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. 27 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 22 Thank you. 22 The CHAIRMAN: 23 The CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	17	start to roll off, then your average is going	17	we've talked about it. I don't know if this
20 have in the system still that are active. 21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 Page 54 1 state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 2 How many projects have been completed and 3 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 1 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 member I don't have it pulled up now, but the member is from parish government is elected by the council, and we believe that it was going to be the parish president of the policy jury. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 The CHAIRMAN: 28 MR. MILLER: 29 MS. PORTER: 29 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 THE CHAIRMAN: 28 Page 54 29 MR. MILLER: 29 MS. PORTER: 29 The CHAIRMAN: 21 the member is from parish president or the perish president of the policy jury. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 The CHAIRMAN: 28 Portes The president of the policy jury. 29 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 The CHAIRMAN: 20 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 22 The CHAIRMAN: 23 The CHAIRMAN: 24 The CHAIRMAN: 25 The CHAIRMAN: 26 The CHAIRMAN: 27 The CHAIRMAN: 28 Portes The president of the policy jury. 29 The CHAIRMAN: 29 The CHAIRMAN: 20 The C	18	to likely to go up just because of the	18	is the time to bring it up. But the actual
21 Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to 22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in 25 context, one of the most active areas in the 26 Page 54 1 State has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 2 How many projects have been completed and online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana, 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 the member is from parish govermment is eelected by the council, and we believe that it was going to be the parish president of the policy jury. THE CHAIRMAN: Page 56 In my world, it's up to the parish to decide that. MR. MILLER: Well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's why our point is is well, I guess, that's up to the parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish president, Jason Manola. He's requesting that the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, or his designee. Paris president, o	19	number the sheer number of MCA's that we	19	local ITEP Committee indicates that the
22 everyone's attention. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the Page 54 1 state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 2 How many projects have been completed and online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana or northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go luy. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the three that are in Cameron Parish from the officials there and average those. 15 MR. MOSS: 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will be 200% of that average. 29 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 22 elected by the council, and we believe that it was going to be the parish president or the prish president of the policy jury. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 context, one of the most active areas in the Page 54 10 In my world, it's up to the parish to decide that. 3 MR. MILLER: 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is	20	have in the system still that are active.	20	member I don't have it pulled up now, but
THE CHAIRMAN: And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the Page 54 State has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. How many projects have been completed and online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How many? Three? There are only one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana or northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. There's now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects come online. MR. MOSS: MR. MILLER: MR. PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: MR. PORTER: The comment from West Baton Rouge Parish the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MOS PORTER: THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: THE CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MOS Porter: MR. Moga Project will MR. MILLER: MR. Moga Project will MR. MILLER: MR. MOS Porter: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MOS Porter: MR. MOS Porter: MR. MILLER: MR. Moga Project will MR. MILLER: MR. Moga Project will MR. MILLER: MR. Mos Porter parish wo to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. We migh	21	Yeah. Yeah. So just wanted to bring that to	21	the member is from parish government is
And, guys, just to put this in context, one of the most active areas in the Page 54 1 state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 2 How many projects have been completed and 3 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the officials there and average those. 16 MR. MOSS: 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Thank you. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 24 president of the policy jury. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 THE CHAIRMAN: 28 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 The CHAIRMAN: 29 The CHAIRMAN: 20 Thank you. 20 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 21 The CHAIRMAN: 22 The CHAIRMAN: 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	22	everyone's attention.	22	elected by the council, and we believe that it
25 context, one of the most active areas in the Page 54 1 state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 2 How many projects have been completed and 3 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 THE CHAIRMAN: 28 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 THE CHAIRMAN: 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 All right. Great. Let's go on. 21 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I 28 received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let	23	THE CHAIRMAN:	23	was going to be the parish president or the
tstate has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. How many projects have been completed and online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How many? Three? There are only one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana or northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. There's now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects come online. MR. MOSS: So basically, we would take the three that are in Cameron Parish from the officials there and average those. THE CHAIRMAN: Average them. A Mega Project will be 200% of that average. MR. MOSS: MR. MILLER: Well, I guess, that's why our point is MS. PORTER: T'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I received, and Robby, let me know if this is the right. Meg Bankston with the parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. MR. MILLER: MR. MILL	24	And, guys, just to put this in	24	president of the policy jury.
1 state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah. 2 How many projects have been completed and 3 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 3 MR. MILLER: 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point 5 to decide that. MR. MILLER: 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point 5 is 6 MS. PORTER: 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point 5 is 7 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I received, and Robby, let me know if this is the reishet I president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. 6 MR. MILLER: 7 Maybe we could say parish president or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: 8 The CHAIRMAN: 9 The CHAIRMAN: 10 Thank you. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: 12 THE CHAIRMAN: 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 THE CHAIRMAN: 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 THE CHAIRMAN: 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 19 THE CHAIRMAN: 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	25		25	
2 How many projects have been completed and 3 online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How 4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects come online. 12 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 25 MS. PORTER: 3 to decide that. 3 MR. MILLER: 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that's why our point is 4 Well, I guess, that		Page 54		Page 56
online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How many? Three? There are only one of the most active areas in the state, they've only had three completed in the last 10 years. So imagine when you get to central Louisiana or northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. There's now, I think those numbers will go up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the formula is it will be flexible as projects come online. MR. MOSS: MR. MILLER: Well, I guess, that's why our point is MS. PORTER: I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I received, and Robby, let me know if this is the right. Meg Bankston with the parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish president, Jason Manola. He's requesting that the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. MR. MILLER: MMS PORTER: I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I received, and Robby, let me know if this is the right. Meg Bankston with the parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. MR. MILLER: MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: THE CHAIRMAN: Average them. A Mega Project will be 200% of that average. MR. MOSS: MR. MOSS: THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. That was the questions. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Great. Let's go on. MS. PORTER: We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	1	state has been Calcasieu and Cameron. Yeah.	1	In my world, it's up to the parish
4 many? Three? There are only one of the 5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 3 Well, I guess, that's why our point 5 is 6 MS. PORTER: 7 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I 7 received, and Robby, let me know if this is 9 9 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish 10 10 president of Louisiana just sitting along a 11 11 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 11 12 President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that 11 13 the language for home-ruled charter parishes 11 14 designate the parish president, not someone 15 15 from the council. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president 18 18 or his designee. Paris president, policy jury 19 19 president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Dokay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Well, I guess, that's why our point 27 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I 28 received, and Robby, let me know if this is 10 28 The right. Meg Bankston with the parish 10 29 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish 10 29 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish 10 20 President of Louisiana just sitting along a 11 20 Comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 10 21 President, Jason Manola. He's requestion 11 22 The CHAIRMAN: 23 Use The CHAIRMAN: 34 Well, I guess, that's why our point 12 35 In manil that I	2	How many projects have been completed and	2	to decide that.
5 most active areas in the state, they've only 6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. PORTER: 7 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I 8 received, and Robby, let me know if this is 9 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 10 president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 11 the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. 12 MR. MILLER: 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Maybe we could say parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. 14 MR. MILLER: 15 MR. MILLER: 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president of his is an e-mail that I 18 received, and Robby, let me know if this is the right. Meg Bankston with the parish president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 16 MR. MILLER: 18 MR. MOSS: 19 MR. MOSS: 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 That CHAIRMAN: 23 But, buys, this is the problem. Our rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 24 Charter. They can ignore it if they want to.	3	online in the last 10 years in Cameron? How	3	MR. MILLER:
6 had three completed in the last 10 years. So 7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 7 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I 8 received, and Robby, let me know if this is 9 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish 10 president of Louisiana just sitting along a 11 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 12 President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that 13 the language for home-ruled charter parishes 14 designate the parish president, not someone 15 from the council. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president 18 or his designee. Paris president, policy jury 19 president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Day the right. Great. Let's go on. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 MS. PORTER: 28 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 The received, and Robby, let me know if this is 20 The cuisiana just sitting along a 21 tomment from West Baton Rouge Parish 20 The right. Meg Bankston with the parish 21 President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that 22 The right. Meg Bankston with the parish 23 The right. Great Let's go on. 24 Charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	4	many? Three? There are only one of the		Well, I guess, that's why our point
7 imagine when you get to central Louisiana or 8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 27 I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I received, and Robby, let me know if this is received, and Robby, let me know if this is received, and Robby, let me know if this is received, and Robby, let me know if this is received, and Robby, let me know if this is received, and Robby, let me know if this is received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby, let me know if this is the received, and Robby let me know if this is the received, and Robby let is the received, and Robby let is the received, and Robby let is the language for home-rule designate the parish president, not someone from the council. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president or his designate, policy jury president, or there designee. 18 Or his designate, policy jury president, or there designee. 19 Distribution of the received in the right. In the right is the language for home-rule desi	5	most active areas in the state, they've only		is
8 northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana. 9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 25 MS. PORTER: 28 received, and Robby, let me know if this is 29 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish 20 president of Louisiana just sitting along a 21 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 21 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 22 President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that 23 the language for home-ruled charter parishes 24 designate the parish president, not someone 25 The CHAIRMAN: 26 MR. MILLER: 27 Maybe we could say parish president 28 or his designee. Paris president, policy jury 29 president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 But, buys, this is the problem. Our 22 rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to 23 that committee. We can't. They're home rule 24 charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	6		6	MS. PORTER:
9 There's now, I think those numbers will go 10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Three is in think those numbers will go 10 the right. Meg Bankston with the parish 10 president of Louisiana just sitting along a 11 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 12 President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that 13 the language for home-ruled charter parishes 14 designate the parish president, not someone 15 from the council. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president 18 or his designee. Paris president, policy jury 19 president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 But, buys, this is the problem. Our 22 rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to 23 that committee. We can't. They're home rule 24 charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	7	imagine when you get to central Louisiana or	7	I'm sorry, this is an e-mail that I
10 up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the 11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 20 In Ms. PORTER: 20 president of Louisiana just sitting along a comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 21 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 22 president, Jason Manola. He's requesting that the language for home-ruled charter parishes designate the parish president, not someone from the council. 21 MR. MILLER: 22 MR. MILLER: 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	8	northwest Louisiana, Northeast Louisiana.	8	received, and Robby, let me know if this is
11 formula is it will be flexible as projects 12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 21 Comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 26 comment from West Baton Rouge Parish 27 President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that 28 the language for home-ruled charter parishes 29 designate the parish president, not someone 20 MR. MILLER: 21 Maybe we could say parish president 22 or his designee. Paris president, policy jury 23 president, or there designee. 24 The CHAIRMAN: 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	9	There's now, I think those numbers will go	9	the right. Meg Bankston with the parish
12 come online. 13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 21 Or his designet. Jason Manola. He's requesting that the language for home-ruled charter parishes the language for home-ruled charter parish the language for home-ruled charter parish president, not someone 15 from the council. MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president or his designee. Paris president parish president and the language for home-ruled charter parish president parish preside	10	up. Again, to me, that's the beauty of the	10	president of Louisiana just sitting along a
13 MR. MOSS: 14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 21 So basically, we would take the 24 designate the parish president, not someone 15 three language for home-ruled charter parishes 14 designate the parish president, not someone 15 from the council. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Designate the parish president, not someone 21 MR. MILLER: 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 Unit of the council. 24 Charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	11	formula is it will be flexible as projects	11	comment from West Baton Rouge Parish
14 So basically, we would take the 15 three that are in Cameron Parish from the 16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 21 Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 designate the parish president, not someone 15 from the council. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 Maybe we could say parish president or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 But, buys, this is the problem. Our rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 26 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	12	come online.	12	President, Jason Manola. He's requesting that
three that are in Cameron Parish from the officials there and average those. THE CHAIRMAN: Average them. A Mega Project will be 200% of that average. MR. MILLER: THE CHAIRMAN: THAT CHAIRMAN: THE C	13	MR. MOSS:	13	the language for home-ruled charter parishes
16 officials there and average those. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MR. MILLER: 27 MR. MILLER: 28 MR. MILLER: 29 MR. Miller: 20 MR. Miller: 20 MR. Miller: 21 Maybe we could say parish president or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. 29 THE CHAIRMAN: 20 But, buys, this is the problem. Our rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	14	So basically, we would take the	14	
17 THE CHAIRMAN: 18 Average them. A Mega Project will 19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Maybe we could say parish president or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 But, buys, this is the problem. Our rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	15	three that are in Cameron Parish from the	15	
Average them. A Mega Project will be 200% of that average. MR. MOSS: Okay. That was the questions. Thank you. Thank you. The CHAIRMAN: All right. Great. Let's go on. MS. PORTER: 18 or his designee. Paris president, policy jury president, or there designee. THE CHAIRMAN: But, buys, this is the problem. Our rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to charter. They can ignore it if they want to. We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	16	officials there and average those.	16	MR. MILLER:
19 be 200% of that average. 20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 29 president, or there designee. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 But, buys, this is the problem. Our 22 rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to 23 that committee. We can't. They're home rule 24 charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	17	THE CHAIRMAN:	17	Maybe we could say parish president
20 MR. MOSS: 21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 But, buys, this is the problem. Our 22 rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to 23 that committee. We can't. They're home rule 24 charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	18	Average them. A Mega Project will	18	or his designee. Paris president, policy jury
21 Okay. That was the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 21 But, buys, this is the problem. Our rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	19	be 200% of that average.	19	president, or there designee.
Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Great. Let's go on. MS. PORTER: 22 rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to that committee. We can't. They're home rule charter. They can ignore it if they want to. We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	20	MR. MOSS:	20	THE CHAIRMAN:
23 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 that committee. We can't. They're home rule 24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 25 MS. PORTER: 24 charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	21	Okay. That was the questions.	21	
24 All right. Great. Let's go on. 24 charter. They can ignore it if they want to. 25 MS. PORTER: 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	22	Thank you.	22	rules can't tell Orleans Parish who to send to
25 MS. PORTER: 25 We might be able to tell Lawrason Act	23	THE CHAIRMAN:	23	that committee. We can't. They're home rule
	24	All right. Great. Let's go on.		• •
Page 55 Page 57	25	MS. PORTER:	25	We might be able to tell Lawrason Act
				-

1	municipality. I don't know. So I don't think	1	get that you don't want to step in their
2	we, Board of Commerce & Industry, or our rules	2	business, but the parish president in home
3	ought to be dictating to the local government	3	rule charters have been elected by the whole
4	how they need to compose their ITEP committee.	4	parish to be the chief executive officer of
5	That's what's why I go back to my earlier	5	the parish already.
6	comment.	6	THE CHAIRMAN:
7	To me, it's real simple. The	7	And that makes perfect sense. In a
8	parish the parish votes on Jane Doe to be	8	home rule charter parish, for example, Caddo
9	their representative to the ITEP. If they	9	Parish Commission, parish president is
10	want that to be the parish president or	10	selected by the commission. Not by election
11	somebody, that's their call. But they picked	11	by the people. Bossier Parish is the same way
12	that person and they authorize that person to	12	now that I'm thinking about it.
13	be a member of the ITEP committee. The city	13	Every home rule charter for those
14	does the same thing. The school board does	14	of you that have no idea what we're talking
15	the same thing. The sheriff, well, he is it,	15	about I was city attorney for a while, so I
16	you know. And then they figure out how they	16	know a little bit of what I speak. Home rule
17	set meetings, they figure out how they make	17	charter basically means you're a municipality
18	decisions. I have one question. Well, is it	18	or your parish government, rather than have a
19	unanimous or is it majority? That's y'all	19	police jury form of government for the
20	problem. Y'all got to figure that out. I'm	20	parishes or a Lawrason Act form of government
21	not going to come into your house and tell you	21	for the municipalities, you have written your
22	how to make decisions. Y'all got to figure	22	own constitution. You have planned your own
23	that out yourself. You know, this local input	23	plan of government. The default in Louisiana
24	stuff, that's hard, y'all. You got to do it.	24	for parishes are policy jury where every
25	Robin, you're trying to tell me	25	member represents a ward or a portion of the
	Page 58		Page 60
1	comathing	1	
I	sometime.	I	parish, and they are answerable only to the
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	something. MS. PORTER:	1 2	parish, and they are answerable only to the folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act
2	MS. PORTER:	2	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act
2 3	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule	2 3	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities.
2 3 4	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected	2 3 4	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the
2 3 4 5	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority	2 3 4 5	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they
2 3 4 5 6	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in	2 3 4	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws.	2 3 4 5 6 7	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER: Your argument is absolutely on mark.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45 days run, it's deemed approved. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER: Your argument is absolutely on mark. There's no doubt about it. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45 days run, it's deemed approved. The recommendation is there. So the only the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER: Your argument is absolutely on mark. There's no doubt about it. I think personally, I don't have our council, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45 days run, it's deemed approved. The recommendation is there. So the only the reason I say is if I've got a sneaky suspicion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER: Your argument is absolutely on mark. There's no doubt about it. I think personally, I don't have our council, I'm fairly confident with a vote for me would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45 days run, it's deemed approved. The recommendation is there. So the only the reason I say is if I've got a sneaky suspicion that there's a project that you really don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER: Your argument is absolutely on mark. There's no doubt about it. I think personally, I don't have our council, I'm fairly confident with a vote for me would be the one. Not every parish council and every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45 days run, it's deemed approved. The recommendation is there. So the only the reason I say is if I've got a sneaky suspicion that there's a project that you really don't like. If somebody comes in and decides to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. PORTER: Well, under our proposal rule 551(A)(2)(A), representatives shall be elected by each local government entity by a majority vote at a publicly being conducted in accordance with open meetings laws. THE CHAIRMAN: So they get to decide who it is. MR. MILLER: I love that. Will you go over there and tell them that about everything they do. THE CHAIRMAN: As long as you come with me. MR. MILLER: Absolutely. THE CHAIRMAN: Honestly MR. MILLER: Your argument is absolutely on mark. There's no doubt about it. I think personally, I don't have our council, I'm fairly confident with a vote for me would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	folks that elect them. And the Lawrason Act is the same type thing for the municipalities. But if the parish or municipality has the wherewithal to form their own government, they then dictate who is the chief executive officer. It may be the parish president. It may be a city manager. It may be a hired person. There are some who have played with the idea, but nobody in Louisiana has adopted it. But the point is, every parish and municipality can be different. And so they're going to have to figure out how they want to participate, how they want to play. And, guys, one of the things is manage expectations. Do you know the only time that the ITEP Committee will really be relevant is if there's a project you really don't like. If it's a project you like, 45 days run, it's deemed approved. The recommendation is there. So the only the reason I say is if I've got a sneaky suspicion that there's a project that you really don't

1	example, I don't think we have anybody in that	1 2	should there be changes in cost?
2	realm in Louisiana yet. But if they want to		THE CHAIRMAN:
3	do that and nobody wants it, I have a feeling	3	Ms. Johnson, don't sit down, get
4	how to figure out how to play nice together.	4	back here in front of your mic. You're our
5	I think, you know, you would think you'll	5	resident dealing in the weeds dealing with
6	figure out. We all have to put down our	6	this stuff.
7	swords and figure out how to stop this. I	7	MS. BOATNER:
8	think it's a practical matter. I wouldn't	8	I mean, the affidavit of final cost
9	fret over this a lot unless there is a project	9	we can amend if we need to. So I just want to
10	you really, really don't like. That's just	10	make sure that we have still have that
11	practicality.	11	capability with the APPR.
12	Any other questions from the	12	THE CHAIRMAN:
13	committee? What about from the public?	13	That's an excellent question. Any
14	Yes, ma'am, Ms. Boatner, please come	14	comments from Ms. Porter?
15	forward. Please state your name and your	15	MS. PORTER:
16	MS. BOATNER:	16	My understanding is, and Kristen is
17	Rhonda Boatner, Didier Consultants.	17	the expert, but if it is still within the same
18	First off, I'd like to thank LED,	18	scope, than you should be able to do that.
19	the committee, everybody that's done this hard	19	THE CHAIRMAN:
20	work to try and get this to a point where we	20	Do we need to provide for that
21	can all agree and be happy and help companies	21	ability in the rules? Ms. Stephanie?
22	and attract business here.	22	MS. LeGRANGE:
23	My first question is related to the	23	Hey, guys, Stephanie LeGrange,
24	APPR. I did see in the rules that we are able	24	deputy general counsel for LED.
25	to amend it if there are assets that are	25	I think that was a discussion point
	Page 62		Page 64
1	disposed of, but sometimes we have had	1	for the working group. And I think as it's
2	companies that, yeah, by March 31st they may	2	currently drafted, it doesn't have a specific
3	have what they think is an asset listing, but	3	provision to amend, just for cost. And I
4	then they may reallocate some costs or	4	think the rationale from staff on that was
5	whatever and that number changes. So will we	5	that LED at that point in time isn't
6	have the opportunity to provide an amended	6	necessarily concerned with cost. That might
7	APPR for that reason?	7	be something for the assessors, but not for
8	THE CHAIRMAN:	8	LED until the affidavit of final cost, I
9	Why couldn't that just be in the	9	believe, until the final cost are in. But the
10	next year it's APPR? Why does it need to	10	final cost.
11	happen?	11	THE CHAIRMAN:
12	MS. BOATNER:	12	Ms. Johnson?
13	So is it a cumulative number then?	13	MS. JOHNSON:
14	Because if they change that number like,	14	So I think it's not the cost that
15	let's say they complete assets this year and	15	we're worried with since this has always been
16	we submit the APPR in March and it's for	16	an asset-based program. So we had discussed
17	\$500,000, and then in July of next year, they	17	allowing amended APPR's in the same manner as
18	true up some numbers, and now the new total is	18	we have amended AFC's right now to include
19	\$600,000. If we submit it the following	19	additional assets with the correct taxing
20	March	20	years so they don't either receive too little
21	THE CHAIRMAN:	21	of an exemption term or too much of an
22	I see your point.	22	exemption term.
23	MS. BOATNER:	23	THE CHAIRMAN:
24	So that would be one of my questions	24	Have we provided for the amendment
25	is there capabilities to revise the APPR	25	in the rules or do we need to?
	Page 63		Page 65

1	MS. JOHNSON:	1	April 30th for the prior year.
2	I have to double check that, but	2	THE CHAIRMAN:
3	I'll make a note.	3	Okay. So if you did a contract
4	THE CHAIRMAN:	4	obligation a contract amendment in May, you
5	Will take that as okay. I think	5	would not have to file anything for that year.
6	I see the point.	6	If you amended your contract in May of 2025,
7	MS. BOATNER:	7	then no jobs and payroll for May. If you do
8	Well, and if we're trying to be in	8	it does that make sense? You're looking at
9	line with what's submitted to the assessor, we	9	me puzzled. You're not convinced.
10	want to make sure that we have matching	10	MR. MILLER:
11	numbers as well.	11	Can I ask I know we can't because
12	THE CHAIRMAN:	12	the rules aren't final. If the rules were
13	That makes sense to me.	13	final today and they can get it done before
14	MS. BOATNER:	14	the end of the year, would they file for the
15	My other question is, should a	15	'24 or they would be exempt for '24?
16	company opt out of, and I say "should," I	16	THE CHAIRMAN:
17	can't imagine a company that wouldn't. But	17	Yeah, it's not going to happen
18	should a company decide to opt out of the jobs	18	before the end of 2024, but, yeah,
19	and payroll components under the 2017 and 2018	19	theoretically. If you get the contract and
20	rules, when would it be effective? So let's	20	ask for your amendment, which I want to
21	say we presented our request to the board in	21	suggest that all of you have your request for
22	June. Would it be prospective for that year	22	amendment ready to file as soon as the
23	or for the next year? So if we did it in '25,	23	board as soon as the rules are in place, as
24	would we still be responsible for filing the	24	soon as that contract and amendment is
25	2025 compliance and it would be effective for	25	approved by the board and it's signed by
	Page 66		Page 68
1	2026?	1	and I would say I also know you have the
2	THE CHAIRMAN:	2	issue of getting a contract signed by the
3	I'm doing this off the top of my	3	governor's office. There's always a delay in
4	head, so all of the scribners of the new rules	4	there. I would suggest that they make the
5	correct me. To me, it would be retroactive to	5	retro activity to the approval of the no,
6	the amendment to the amended contract. You	6	it has to be the contract itself. It has to
7	have the responsibility until the contract is,	7	be still the governor still has to accept
8	in fact, amended. So it would have to be	8	the amendment.
9	approved by the board and would be applicable	9	Anne, you're shaking your head at
10	to that point forward.	10	me? Mr. Leonard?
11	However, Ms. Porter and Ms. Johnson,	11	MR. LEONARD:
12	and Ms. Stephanie, did we provide for retro	12	[Inaudible.]
13	activity before the date of the contract? I	13	THE CHAIRMAN:
14	don't think we did I think it's a legal	14	This ain't as easy as it looks,
15	matter. A contract is a contract until it's	15	guys. I promise you.
16	changed. So that would be my gut check.	16	Mr. Leonard?
17	MS. BOATNER:	17	MR. LEONARD:
18	So if you make a request mid year	18	Jimmy Leonard with Advantous
19	and it's approved, you're still responsible	19	Consulting.
20	for filing that year, but any year after would	20	Currently, if a company wants to
21	be	21	amend its Exhibit A so long as the approval
22	THE CHAIRMAN:	22	occurs in the year, that is the year of the
23	When is the payroll jobs and	23	effective date.
24	payroll report due?	24	THE CHAIRMAN:
25	MS. BOATNER:	25	You don't have to wait for the
	Page 67		Page 69

1	governor's signature?	1	maintenance as establishment.
2	MR. LEONARD:	2	THE CHAIRMAN:
3	No, I'll have to wait until the	3	Is that it?
4	governor's signature.	4	MS. BOATNER:
5	THE CHAIRMAN:	5	That's all I have.
6	If that's been the custom	6	THE CHAIRMAN:
7	MS. LEONARD:	7	Thank you.
8	So in '25, the compliance due for	8	Mr. Broderick, come on up.
9	'25 would be due in '26, but you would not	9	MR. BRODERICK:
10	have compliance obligations in '25, '26, '27.	10	Jesse Broderick with Sumit Credits.
11	But you would owe '24's compliance in '25	11	I also want to thank you for taking the time
12	regardless of when you made your request.	12	and really hard with everyone's amendments. I
13	THE CHAIRMAN:	13	think we are really getting close to being
14	If you get it amended in '25, you	14	where we need to be.
15	owe no compliance reporting for '26.	15	But my question dovetails off of
16	MR. MILLER:	16	what Ms. Boatner said. That exact same
17	The expectation is everyone's going	17	language is in the additions to manufacturing
18	to get it done in '25.	18	as if it's eligible, but it's also in Section
19	THE CHAIRMAN:	19	453(A)(B) as ineligible. I just feel like
20	Correct.	20	there needs to be some clarification because
21	MR. MILLER:	21	it's confusing. Is it eligible or is it not
22	So then you'll report '24, and then	22	eligible?
23	your compliance fees for jobs will be over and	23	THE CHAIRMAN:
24	payroll will be over during '25 and you're	24	We're intending to make it eligible.
25	done.	25	If we have a clean up, we need to make, if you
	Page 70		Page 72
1	MR. LEONARD:	1	would get with Ms. LeGrange and help identify,
2	The conversation is to align it with	2	because that, Jesse, just made an excellent
3	the way the board passed a resolution as to	3	point. We're real good, but we may not be
4	when the amendments to Exhibit A could occur,	4	perfect. And if y'all see these kind of
5	and that was the year in which the approval	5	inconsistencies, we don't want to create the
6	occurred.	6	inconsistencies. It's happened by oversight,
7	THE CHAIRMAN:	7	not by, you know, intent. So if you see those
8	Thank you for the clarification.	8	kind of things that we need to straighten out,
9	Another question, Ms. Boatner?	9	let's notify us and we can get done.
10	MS. BOATNER:	10	Ms. Porter?
11	One more question.	11	MS. PORTER:
12	In the draft rules, Section 541(2),	12	Also, I want to remind everyone.
13	there's some definitions. It says maintenance	13	Like, if you happen to go home and read it
14	capital required environmental upgrades	14	more, you can always send your comments,
15	capital upgrades and replacement reports	15	feedback, recommendations to
16	required in the rehabilitation and restoration	16	itepcomments@la.gov.
17	of an establishment to conserve as nearly and	17	THE CHAIRMAN:
18	as long as possible. The original condition	18	Excellent point. Thank you for
19	shall qualify as an addition to a	19	saying that, Ms. Porter. Make sure nobody
20	manufacturing establishment.	20	missed that. If you get away from this
21	I just want to make sure I	21	meeting or if you're watching this meeting or
22	understand that, because historically any	22	listening to this meeting or hearing about
23	maintenance capital really didn't qualify. So	23	this meeting and you wait you've had an
24	this is just strictly for the rehabilitation	24	opportunity to look and are the new rules,
25	and restoration of equipment, not general	25	the redline rules on the website now?
23	Page 71	25	Page 73
1	- "5" / 1		- 450 75

1	MS. PORTER:	1	The other one was there has been
2	Yes.	2	confusion in the past as we've tried to work
3	THE CHAIRMAN:	3	around the definition of rehabilitation and
4	So if anybody wants to get a copy of		restoration. You know, just to kind of say
5	the recommended redline rules as they are	5	the rehabilitation is defined as the extensive
6	written today, they're on the website the	6	renovation of a building or a project that is
7	ITEP rules website. If you have comments that	7	intended to cure obsolescence or to repurpose
8	you want to make, you can do it through that	8	a facility. And in the past, there's been
9	portal, but we ask that you make them quickly	9	some question about is it only available for
10	because we want to get the approval process	10	buildings, or is it supposed to be available
11	started on this initiated quickly. We're	11	for, you know, equipment as well or a plant or
12	going to talk about that schedule here in just	12	an industrial manufacturer.
13	a minute.	13	THE CHAIRMAN:
14	But so, if you haven't had an	14	In my world, it's everything. But I
15	opportunity to or if you've gotten through	15	don't want to just give you a flippant answer
16	this meeting today and you're going, okay. I	16	on that. Because again, the philosophy is we
17	kind of understand now. Let me go back and	17	don't want to be encourage that's not the
18	read the rules and see how it works. We	18	right way to say this.
19	welcome your comments. We want we need to	19	We don't want the exemption program
20	hear it, especially if you see, as Jesse did,	20	to discourage maintenance. We don't like
21	an inconsistency. We want to get those fixed.	21	deferred maintenance. My personal experience
22	MR. BRODERICK:	22	is when you have a facility that you're not
23	Thank you. I had two other items if	23	taking care of it, you're going to get to a
24	that's okay.	24	point no return where you end up shutting it
25	THE CHAIRMAN:	25	down because it's too expensive to fix it and
	Page 74		Page 76
1	Go right ahead.	1	bring it back online. So we want to encourage
1 2	Go right ahead. MR. BRODERICK:	1 2	bring it back online. So we want to encourage ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear
2	MR. BRODERICK:	2	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear
2 3	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it	2 3	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building,
2 3 4	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible	2 3 4	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language.
2 3 4 5	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the	2 3 4 5	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand	2 3 4 5 6	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN: So basically we're not talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time. THE CHAIRMAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN: So basically we're not talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Broderick.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN: So basically we're not talking about FF&E as much as we're talking about manufacturing-related moveable equipment such	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Broderick. Anyone else? Yes, sir, Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN: So basically we're not talking about FF&E as much as we're talking about manufacturing-related moveable equipment such as bulldozers, forklifts, et cetera, et	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Broderick. Anyone else? Yes, sir, Mr. Waguespack.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN: So basically we're not talking about manufacturing-related moveable equipment such as bulldozers, forklifts, et cetera, et cetera. I see. Good catch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Broderick. Anyone else? Yes, sir, Mr. Waguespack. MR. WAGUESPACK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BRODERICK: Similarly, there is you know, it talks about movable property being ineligible under the ineligible section such as the office furniture and fixtures. I understand that. I get that. Later on it talked about how removable property is eligible if it remains on the site. And I assume that you're thinking forklifts, you know, certain things that are that aren't involved with manufacturing. So my suggestion would be under removable property that's ineligible, maybe be a little bit more clear to specify that's not manufacturing-type equipment. Or see if there's a way before tightening that up a little bit. THE CHAIRMAN: So basically we're not talking about FF&E as much as we're talking about manufacturing-related moveable equipment such as bulldozers, forklifts, et cetera, et	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ongoing rehabilitation. So if it's not clear that it applies to more than just building, then provide us some suggestive language. Because it is at least I can only speak for Jones, but I think it's the working groups mindset that we want to encourage keeping all this stuff off up. MR. BRODERICK: And I think that kind of answers the question around the restoration. And my understanding is that the restoration is geared more towards building and structures are already too old and you're looking to kind of bring those back on versus a rehabilitation where it's curing obsolescence. That's very helpful. I thank you very much. Those are all of my questions at this moment in time. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Broderick. Anyone else? Yes, sir, Mr. Waguespack.

1 Ilike to, first of all, say I know 3 how hard every anybody worked on this project. 4 Il's complicated changes hard. I'm not sure 5 we streamlined it very much in the sense I 6 think we may have put the burden on the 6 assessor sort of. And I say that because how 8 will we with the APPR, how are we going to 9 know what assets? Is there going to be an 10 asset number? 'That's going to be a difficult 11 thing. If you provide a number, I don't know 12 how were going to keep track of that, 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 15 going to be a one-page document 15 that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's 16 going to what document are you getting 17 today? It's all the equipment, right? 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 22 Miller's question. To the extent that we can 23 mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to 24 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. 26 my to work with the assessor as we develop 27 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 28 for the APPR. Bot to your point, it's only 29 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 29 maybe we can work with your - the tax 30 assessor association to help us give you 31 median concern that I have is that, you 40 know, the companies would just give us that 51 median concern that I have is that, you 52 not triving to dothis in the dark. 53 No, I think that she on the first and this is beautiful to the dark of the one on trying to do this in the dark. 54 Niller something we can work with the The TixA Secsor Association is that I just that I just don't some thing we well develop a form that gives you the data you need. 54 MR. WAGUESPACK: 55 A Malth that you one was such detail as you need is what 56 I know that's the working group's attitude. 55 For the APPR looks like. The rule provides 56 For the APPR. Books like. The rule provides 57 maybe we can work with the assessor as we develop 68 my for the APPR looks like. The rule provides 58 my for the A				N. W. G. W. G. W. G. W.
1	1			
It's complicated changes hard. I'm not sure we streamlined it very much in the sense I think we may have put the burden on the sassessor sort of. And I say that because how will we with the APPR, how are we going to know what assets? Is there going to be an asset number? That's going to be a dillicult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know how we're going to keep track of that. 10	1	-		, ,
we streamlined it very much in the sense I think we may have put the burden on the assessor sort of. And I say that because how will we — with the APPR, how are we going to be an asset number? That's going to be a difficult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know how there going to keep track of that. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN				=
think we may have put the burden on the sassess or sor of . And I say that because how will we with the APPR, how are we going to know what assest? Is there going to be an asset number? That's going to be a difficult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know how we're going to keep track of that. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 It's going to be a one-page document 15 that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's 16 going to be a one-page document 17 today? It's all the equipment, right? 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 21 give you as much detail as you need is what: 1 I know that's the working group's attitude. 22 maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we 3 try to work with the assessor as we develop 4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 5 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 20 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 3 assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. 10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 28 sheet, we companies would just give us that 29 one number rather than break it down. Of 20 course in today's world, all those different 21 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 22 seets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 THE CHAIRMAN: 27 That's that's that's would just give us that 28 one on a bipartisan. 29 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 THE CHAIRMAN: 20 THE TAX Assessor Association and make sure we develop a form that gives you the deata you 21 The Tax Assessor's Association and make sure we develop a form that gives you the data you 2		1		<u> </u>
assessor sort of. And I say that because how will we - with the APPR, how are we going to know what assets? Is there going to be an asset number? Thar's going to be a difficult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know 12 how we're going to keep track of that. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: This going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to e- what document are you getting today? It's all the equipment, right? MR. WAGUESPACK: Normally, we get a LAT form. THE CHAIRMAN: TExactly. I think that goes to Mr. The Tax Assessor's Association and make sure we develop a form that gives you the data you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: And we may have some suggestions from The Assessor's Association, also. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: This chair and the tax commission. I think that's substitude. The Tax Assessor's Association and make sure we develop a form that give you on the data you need. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: This chair and that ax commission. I think that's the working group's attitude. The Tax Assessor's Association and make sure we develop a form that give you the data you need. THE CHAIRMAN: The Tax Assessor's Association and make sure we develop a form that give you the data you need. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: The Tax Assessor's Association and make sure we develop a form that give you the data you need. THE CHAIRMAN: This Hat the we develop at the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your - the tax assessor association to help us give you as much detail as you need is what - try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR is a good document. And so mayb		<u> </u>		
will we — with the APPR, how are we going to know what assets? Is there going to be an asset number? That's going to be a difficult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know how we're going to keep track of that. THE CHAIRMAN: If's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to what document are you getting today? It's all the equipment, right? MR. WAGUESPACK: Normally, we get a LAT form. MRIBER CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Normally, we get a LAT form. THE CHAIRMAN: MR. WAGUESPACK: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Sugue so was much detail as you need weel on the waster of the APPR looks like. The rule provides of the APPR looks like. The rule provides of the APPR looks like. The rule provides of maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank wa don't hold the key to truth at wisdom here, so we need all the help we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that with that we so that a will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and The LAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that with the work in get in the past, we had one document, right? MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't kn				
9 know what assets? Is there going to be an asset number? That's going to be a difficult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know how we're going to keep track of that. 12				
asset number? That's going to be a difficult thing. If you provide a number, I don't know thing. If you provide a number, I don't know thing. If you provide a number is develop a form that gives you the data you develop a form that gives you the data you need. THE CHAIRMAN: It's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to what document are you getting today? It's all the equipment, right? MR. WAGUESPACK: Normally, we get a LAT form. Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. Miller's question. To the extent that we can give you as much detail as you need is what I know that's the working group's attitude. Take want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what hat APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: And we may have some suggestions THE CHAIRMAN: We welcome it. MR. WAGUESPACK: And the tax commission. I think it is about to be done on a bipartisan. Yeah, we don't hold the key to truth a twisdom here, so we need all the help we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself. Jones. Page 78 Page 78 The CHAIRMAN: So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. If we wall that the bottom is the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the contract and a number at the bottom — the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you she will be very to can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: So I thin	1			
thing. If you provide a number, I don't know how we're going to keep track of that. THE CHAIRMAN: It's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to be a one-page document are you getting today? It's all the equipment, right? MR. WAGUESPACK: MR.				=
12 how we're going to keep track of that. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 It's going to be a one-page document 15 that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's 16 going to what document are you getting 17 today? It's all the equipment, right? 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 19 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 22 Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to give you as much detail as you need is what yage vou as much detail as you need is what yampbe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. 10 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 Was WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you had a number of the value at the bottom. Alot of times, you hand, all those different concract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. Alot of times, you sehedule. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 MR. WAGUESPACK: 15 MR. WAGUESPACK: 16 MR. WAGUESPACK: 17 HE CHAIRMAN: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 And the tax commission. I think it's shout to be done on a bipartisan. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 22 Mad the tax commission. I think at wits the tax commission. I think at wits done to don't hold he key to truth at wisdom here, so we need all the help we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, Jones. 10 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 The CHAIRMAN: 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 MR. WAGUESPACK: 15 MR. WAGUESPACK: 16 MR. WAGUESPACK: 17 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 And the tax commission. I think at wistom here, so we need all the h				
THE CHAIRMAN: It's going to be a one-page document that that hat hat hat hat hat hat hat	1			=
14 It's going to be a one-page document that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's 15 going towhat document are you getting 16 today? It's all the equipment, right? 17 today? It's all the equipment, right? 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 19 And the tax commission. I think 19 It's about to be done on a bipartisan. 19 Yeah, we don't hold the key to truth 20 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. 24 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. 25 I know that APPR form. And I'll tell you, 26 maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 27 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 28 maybe we can work with your the tax 29 assessor association to help us give you a 39 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 19 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 WR. WAGUESPACK: 11 was 40 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 19 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 was 40 median concern that I have is that, you 11 know - I've been around a long time. And in 41 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time. 25 Individual look like at the end of the day. 25 Individual look like at the end of the day. 26 Individual look like at the end of the day. 27 Individual look like at the end of the day. 28 Individual look like at the end of the day. 29 Individual look like at the end of the day. 29 Individual look like at the end of the day. 29 Individual look like at the end of the day. 29 Individual				
that just has \$5,000,000 on it? No. It's going to what document are you getting to today? It's all the equipment, right? 17 today? It's all the equipment, right? 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 19 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 21 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 22 Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Jones. Page 80 1 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, as much detail as you need six what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Jones. Page 80 1 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, as try to work with the assessor as we develop 4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 5 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 6 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 10 median concern that I have is that, you 11 median concern that I have is that, you 12 know Tve been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of 20 course in today's world, all those different 21 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 19 long time. 25 Inam Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time. 25 Inam Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.				
16 going to what document are you getting today? It's all the equipment, right? 17				
today? It's all the equipment, right? MR. WAGUESPACK: Normally, we get a LAT form. THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to 24 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 I Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, 25 maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we 35 try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: THE CHAIRMAN: So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could — I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: But it into an AI program. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, we don't hold the key to truth at wisdom here, so we need all the help we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, Jones. Page 80 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: That you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: We welcome it. MR. WAGUESPACK: The CHAIRMAN: We welcome it. MR. WAGUESPACK: The CHAIRMAN: We welcome it. MR. WAGUESPACK: The CHAIRMAN: We walt to be done on a bipartisan. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: We walt to be done on a bipartisan. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: We walt to be done on a bipartisan. THE CHAIR		·		·
18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 Normally, we get a LAT form. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 22 Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. 26 Page 78 1 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you know. I've been around a long time. And in the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the to contract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you to mumber rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. 26 Think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. 3 This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could - I wish I knew how to do it. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: 3 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 The CHAIRMAN: 5 This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could - I wish I knew how to do it. 4 With the APPR to a provide set that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 THE CHAIRMAN: 6 THE CHAIRMAN: 7 THE CHAIRMAN: 8 THE CHAIRMAN: 9 This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could - I wish I know how to do it. 9 This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could - I wish				
THE CHAIRMAN: THE CH		, , , , ,		
THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to give you as much detail as you need is what— I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 I Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your—the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Yeah, I think that's the only—the median concern that I have is that, you know—I've been around a long time. And in the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you nenumber rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, we don't hold the key to truth at wist we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit we don't hold the key to truth at wit wit wit a twistom here, so we need all the help we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, Jones. Page 80 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that we that Help we nean that hat feedback from your groups, then I think that we tanget that feedback from your groups, then I think that we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, Jones. Page 80 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that we tanget hat feedback from your groups, then I think that we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, Jones. So I think that, yeah, if we can				
21 Exactly. I think that goes to Mr. 22 Miller's question. To the extent that we can 23 mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to 24 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. 26 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, 27 maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we 28 at try to work with the assessor as we develop 38 document that APPR looks like. The rule provides 49 document that provides you the information you need. 40 maybe we can work with your the tax 41 assessor association to help us give you a 49 document that provides you the information you need. 40 med. 41 MR. WAGUESPACK: 42 THE CHAIRMAN: 43 try to work with the assessor as we develop 44 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 45 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 46 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 47 maybe we can work with your the tax 48 assessor association to help us give you a 49 document that provides you the information you need. 40 median concern that I have is that, you 41 know I've been around a long time. And in 41 the past, we had one document, right? It was 41 the contract. You had a number of the 42 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 43 try to work with the assessor as we develop 44 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 55 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 66 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 67 maybe we can work with your the tax 68 assessor association to help us give you a 69 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 10 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 asset				
Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to give you as much detail as you need is what I've been around a long time. Miller's question. To the extent that we can mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to 23 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 1 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop 4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 5 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 median concern that I have is that, you 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know - I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the contract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 20 course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.				•
mirror the LAT form with the APPR. We want to give you as much detail as you need is what I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, 2 maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we 3 try to work with the assessor as we develop 4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 6 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 6 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 wish I could I wish I knew how to with the past, we had one document, right? It was 11 the past, we had one document, right? It was 12 the contract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 Right, right, right. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time. 25 Is not a one read all the help we can get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, 24 twisled my at wisled my at wisled my aget. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, 25 Jones. Page 78 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. The CHAIRMAN: The call my and it will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. The CHAIRMAN: The call my and it will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: The call my and it will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: The call my and it will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: The call my and it will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: The call my and it will	1			
24 give you as much detail as you need is what 25 I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 1 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, 2 maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we 3 try to work with the assessor as we develop 4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 5 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 6 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 18 get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, 24 Jones. Page 80 1 So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. The CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: 10 get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, 1 data the all shave and that we and get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: 10 get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself, 1 that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: The CHAIRMAN: 10 get. Mr. Miller is going pak for yoursen, leaded that wall that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: The CH	1	÷		-
25 I know that's the working group's attitude. Page 78 1 Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop 4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 5 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 6 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 10 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 wish I could I wish I knew how to 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 wish I could I wish I knew how to 12 book. But I just don't know how to do it. 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. 10 Kight, right. 10 Jones. 10 Jones. 10 Jones. 11 Jones 10 Jones. 12 Jones. 14 Jones And I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. 14 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 MR. WAGUESPACK: 15 Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and 17 I'm still trying to understand most of it. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: 11 Wish I could I wish I knew how to 10 it. 19 Jones. 19				_
Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you know I've been around a long time. And in the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time. That eedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: MR. WAGUESPACK: THE CHAIRMAN: So I think that, yeah, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: Waguespack, if we can get that feedback from your groups, then I think that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: THE CHAIRMAN: So I that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: THE CHAIRMAN: So I that will be very, very helpful. MR.		·		get. Mr. Miller is going speak for yourself,
Is we want that APPR form. And I'll tell you, maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: MR. WAGUESPACK: This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, please come forward. MS. CARLSON: I am Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana. THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.	25		25	
maybe the solution, Mr. Waguespack, is that we try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and T'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you know I've been around a long time. And in the past, we had one document, right? It was that will be very, very helpful. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: Wafuespack: THE CHAIRMAN: But it into an AI program. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, right. No telling what it would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, please come forward. MS. CARLSON: I am Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.		Page 78		Page 80
try to work with the assessor as we develop what the APPR looks like. The rule provides for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: Ithink that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you know I've been around a long time. And in the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.				
4 what the APPR looks like. The rule provides 5 for the APPR. But to your point, it's only 6 useful if the APPR is a good document. And so 7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 25 Right, right, right. 26 MR. WAGUESPACK: Thank you very much. And I know how hard this is because I've read through it, and 1'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: 10 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 Wish I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 MR. WAGUESPACK: 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 Write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. 15 MR. WAGUESPACK: 16 Write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. 17 MR. WAGUESPACK: 18 Wagues and one read and you get it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 THE CHAIRMAN: 10 Wagues and the because I've read through it, and 11 Wash I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. 11 Wash I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 Wash I could I wish I knew how to write rules where you				
for the APPR. But to your point, it's only useful if the APPR is a good document. And so maybe we can work with your the tax assessor association to help us give you a document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you hard this is because I've read through it, and I'm still trying to understand most of it. THE CHAIRMAN: TH				· · · · ·
6useful if the APPR is a good document. And so6hard this is because I've read through it, and7maybe we can work with your the tax7I'm still trying to understand most of it.8assessor association to help us give you a8THE CHAIRMAN:9document that provides you the information you9This is not a one read and you get10need.10it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to11MR. WAGUESPACK:11write rules where you can do it with a pop-up12Yeah, I think that's the only the12book. But I just don't know how to do it.13median concern that I have is that, you13MR. WAGUESPACK:14know I've been around a long time. And in14But it into an AI program.15the past, we had one document, right? It was15THE CHAIRMAN:16the contract. You had a number of the16Yeah, right. No telling what it17contract and a number at the bottom the17would look like at the end of the day.18value at the bottom. A lot of times, you18Any other questions? Yes, ma'am,19please come forward.20MS. CARLSON:21course in today's world, all those different21I am Lady Carlson with Together22assets get broken out as to the depreciation22Louisiana.23schedule.23THE CHAIRMAN:24THE CHAIRMAN:24Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a25Right, right, right. </td <td></td> <td><u> •</u></td> <td></td> <td></td>		<u> •</u>		
7 maybe we can work with your the tax 8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 Schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 27 I'm still trying to understand most of it. 28 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 This is not a one read and you get it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to 10 write rules where you can do it with a pop-up 12 book. But I just don't know how to do it. 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 But it into an AI program. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 Yeah, right. No telling what it 17 would look like at the end of the day. 18 Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, 19 please come forward. 20 MS. CARLSON: 21 I am Lady Carlson with Together 22 Louisiana. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 long time.			5	
8 assessor association to help us give you a 9 document that provides you the information you 10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 Schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 Write rules where you can do it with a pop-up 10 it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to 11 write rules where you can do it with a pop-up 12 book. But I just don't know how to do it. 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 But it into an AI program. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 Yeah, right. No telling what it 17 would look like at the end of the day. 18 Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, 19 please come forward. 19 please come forward. 20 MS. CARLSON: 21 I am Lady Carlson with Together 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 Schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 THE CHAIRMAN: 26 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 27 long time.		_	6	_
document that provides you the information you need. MR. WAGUESPACK: Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you he past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the rother than value at the bottom. A lot of times, you he know, the companies would just give us that cone number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different selection. MR. WAGUESPACK: MR. WAGUESPACK: But it into an AI program. THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, right. No telling what it would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, please come forward. MS. CARLSON: I am Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana. THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.	7	maybe we can work with your the tax	7	I'm still trying to understand most of it.
10 need. 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 wish I could I wish I knew how to 11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 26 It wish I could I wish I knew how to 26 write rules where you can do it with a pop-up 27 book. But I just don't know how to do it. 28 MR. WAGUESPACK: 29 But it into an AI program. 20 HE CHAIRMAN: 21 Wash, right. No telling what it 22 would look like at the end of the day. 23 Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, 24 please come forward. 25 MS. CARLSON: 26 I am Lady Carlson with Together 27 Louisiana. 28 THE CHAIRMAN: 29 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 29 long time.	1		8	THE CHAIRMAN:
11 MR. WAGUESPACK: 12 Yeah, I think that's the only the 13 median concern that I have is that, you 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 21 write rules where you can do it with a pop-up book. But I just don't know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: 11 But it into an AI program. 12 Yeah, right No telling what it would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, please come forward. MS. CARLSON: 1 am Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 22 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.			9	, ,
Yeah, I think that's the only the median concern that I have is that, you the know I've been around a long time. And in the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different cases get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. Yeah, right know how to do it. MR. WAGUESPACK: Has But it into an AI program. THE CHAIRMAN: Would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, please come forward. MS. CARLSON: I am Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana. THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a Right, right, right. Right, right, right. Louisiane.	10	need.	10	it. I wish I could I wish I knew how to
median concern that I have is that, you 13 MR. WAGUESPACK: 14 know I've been around a long time. And in 15 the past, we had one document, right? It was 16 the contract. You had a number of the 17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 18 MR. WAGUESPACK: 19 But it into an AI program. 14 But it into an AI program. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 Yeah, right. No telling what it 17 would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, 19 please come forward. 20 MS. CARLSON: 21 I am Lady Carlson with Together 22 Louisiana. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 long time.	11	MR. WAGUESPACK:	11	write rules where you can do it with a pop-up
14know I've been around a long time. And in14But it into an AI program.15the past, we had one document, right? It was15THE CHAIRMAN:16the contract. You had a number of the16Yeah, right. No telling what it17contract and a number at the bottom the17would look like at the end of the day.18value at the bottom. A lot of times, you18Any other questions? Yes, ma'am,19know, the companies would just give us that19please come forward.20one number rather than break it down. Of20MS. CARLSON:21course in today's world, all those different21I am Lady Carlson with Together22assets get broken out as to the depreciation22Louisiana.23schedule.23THE CHAIRMAN:24THE CHAIRMAN:Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a25Right, right, right, right.25long time.	12	Yeah, I think that's the only the	12	=
the past, we had one document, right? It was the contract. You had a number of the contract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.	13	median concern that I have is that, you	13	MR. WAGUESPACK:
the contract. You had a number of the to contract and a number at the bottom the value at the bottom. A lot of times, you know, the companies would just give us that one number rather than break it down. Of course in today's world, all those different assets get broken out as to the depreciation schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: Right, right, right. 16 Yeah, right. No telling what it would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, please come forward. MS. CARLSON: I am Lady Carlson with Together Louisiana. THE CHAIRMAN: Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a long time.	14	know I've been around a long time. And in	14	
17 contract and a number at the bottom the 18 value at the bottom. A lot of times, you 19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right. 21 would look like at the end of the day. Any other questions? Yes, ma'am, 19 please come forward. 20 MS. CARLSON: 21 I am Lady Carlson with Together 22 Louisiana. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 long time.	15	the past, we had one document, right? It was	15	THE CHAIRMAN:
18value at the bottom. A lot of times, you18Any other questions? Yes, ma'am,19know, the companies would just give us that19please come forward.20one number rather than break it down. Of20MS. CARLSON:21course in today's world, all those different21I am Lady Carlson with Together22assets get broken out as to the depreciation22Louisiana.23schedule.23THE CHAIRMAN:24THE CHAIRMAN:24Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a25Right, right, right.25long time.	16	the contract. You had a number of the	16	Yeah, right. No telling what it
19 know, the companies would just give us that 20 one number rather than break it down. Of 21 course in today's world, all those different 22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 29 please come forward. 20 MS. CARLSON: 21 I am Lady Carlson with Together 22 Louisiana. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 long time.	17	contract and a number at the bottom the	17	would look like at the end of the day.
20one number rather than break it down. Of20MS. CARLSON:21course in today's world, all those different21I am Lady Carlson with Together22assets get broken out as to the depreciation22Louisiana.23schedule.23THE CHAIRMAN:24THE CHAIRMAN:24Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a25Right, right, right.25long time.	18	value at the bottom. A lot of times, you	18	Any other questions? Yes, ma'am,
21course in today's world, all those different21I am Lady Carlson with Together22assets get broken out as to the depreciation22Louisiana.23schedule.23THE CHAIRMAN:24THE CHAIRMAN:24Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a25Right, right, right.25long time.	19	know, the companies would just give us that	19	please come forward.
22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 22 Louisiana. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 long time.	20	one number rather than break it down. Of	20	MS. CARLSON:
22 assets get broken out as to the depreciation 23 schedule. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 25 Right, right, right. 22 Louisiana. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 long time.	21	course in today's world, all those different	21	I am Lady Carlson with Together
23 schedule. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 Right, right. 25 long time.			22	-
24 THE CHAIRMAN: 24 Lady Carlson, I hadn't seen you in a 25 Right, right. 25 long time.	23		23	
25 Right, right, right. 25 long time.	24			
			25	
		Page 79		

4	MG GARLGON	1	0
1	MS. CARLSON:	1	project?
2	I know. It's been a while.	2	THE CHAIRMAN:
3	THE CHAIRMAN:	3	Only if they've not started
4	Have you missed me?	4	construction.
5	MS. CARLSON:	5	MR. LAVERGNE:
6	I have. And they took our favorite	6	They have not started construction.
7	restaurant in New Roads.	7	THE CHAIRMAN:
8	THE CHAIRMAN:	8	Theoretically they could come and
9	Indeed. They took it away, didn't	9	apply under the 2024 rules and just not
10	they?	10	proceed under the old contract. So, yes,
11	MS. CARLSON:	11	theoretically that if they've not started.
12	They took it away.	12	If they started construction, it's too late.
13	Just for clarity, except for Mega	13	MR. LAVERGNE:
14	Projects, is the split still 80/20?	14	They have not started construction.
15	THE CHAIRMAN:	15	THE CHAIRMAN:
16	Yes, ma'am. Yeah, 80/20 stays	16	Theoretically they could.
17	there. The only thing that changes from the	17	MR. LAVERGNE:
18	'17-'18 rules is jobs and payroll go away.	18	Theoretically they could. That was
19	But the numbers the 80/20 stays.	19	my question.
20	MS. CARLSON:	20	THE CHAIRMAN:
21	And then for clarity, because I'm a	21	Indeed. Thank you for being here.
22	little confused about the opt out. Is there	22	Any other questions or comments.
23	still a requirement for jobs?	23	Ms. Boatner.
24	THE CHAIRMAN:	24	MS. BOATNER:
25	No. The jobs and payroll will both Page 82	25	To his point Rhonda Boatner, Page 84
1	go away according to the executive order.	1	Diedier Consultants.
		_	T 1: : : : : T 0: T 00
2	It's great to see you again.	2	To his point, it says in Section 539
3	MS. CARLSON:	3	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the
3 4	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you.	3 4	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall
3 4 5	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN:	3 4 5	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed
3 4 5 6	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at	3 4 5 6	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects
3 4 5 6 7	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I	3 4 5 6 7	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st,
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson.	3 4 5 6 7 8	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all. My question is, and I keep going	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are some projects out there that have just been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all. My question is, and I keep going back to it and going back to it is we've got	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are some projects out there that have just been have incurred delay after delay,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all. My question is, and I keep going back to it and going back to it is we've got two contracts that have already been approved	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are some projects out there that have just been have incurred delay after delay, and they're going to be outside the two-year
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all. My question is, and I keep going back to it and going back to it is we've got two contracts that have already been approved by the governor and the whole nine yards.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are some projects out there that have just been have incurred delay after delay, and they're going to be outside the two-year default period. If they've asked for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all. My question is, and I keep going back to it and going back to it is we've got two contracts that have already been approved by the governor and the whole nine yards. They're 80/20 projects. Can they go and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are some projects out there that have just been have incurred delay after delay after delay, and they're going to be outside the two-year default period. If they've asked for extensions, they may be outside that extension
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CARLSON: Good to see you. THE CHAIRMAN: We would run into each other at Satterfield's on weekends. My wife and I would look up and go, that's Lady Carlson. Any other questions or comments. Yes, sir. MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. How are y'all doing today? THE CHAIRMAN: We're great. Thank you for being here. MR. LAVERGNE: Thank y'all. My question is, and I keep going back to it and going back to it is we've got two contracts that have already been approved by the governor and the whole nine yards.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement and purpose, Section C(2), the provision set forth in this subchapter shall not apply to projects with advances filed prior to February 21, 2024, or ITEP projects approved by the board prior to February 21st, 2024. So if projects have been previously approved by the board, then these rules are they saying they shouldn't apply to them? Are they not eligible? THE CHAIRMAN: That was not our intent. I know that. Ms. LeGrange, are you seeing help me. MS. BOATNER: And my question is because there are some projects out there that have just been have incurred delay after delay, and they're going to be outside the two-year default period. If they've asked for

and start the process over because they 1 1 number that felt right. If y'all have 2 2 haven't started construction? I'm a little discussion about that, we're open to it. 3 3 confused by this. Maybe I'm not reading it Any other questions or comments? 4 correctly. 4 Okay. Hearing none. 5 5 MS. LeGRANGE: What I'd like to do now, let's talk 6 6 about the process of moving forward. Ms. I think it's a great question. I 7 think as it's drafted, that exception, let's 7 LeGrange, I probably need you at the table 8 8 call them old rule projects, allows them to now. 9 9 just amend their jobs and payroll requirements The question becomes, then how do we 10 under the exhibit. That is the only thing 10 get this process moving. The Administrative 11 they're amending down to zero. They still 11 Procedures Act is the -- is our rule book for 12 have to comply with the old '17-'18 rules. So 12 doing that. And Ms. LeGrange, and forgive me, 13 13 in your situation, if you have a project that I don't know if I prepared you to talk about 14 wants to proceed under the new rules, they 14 this, but I think you can do it off the top of 15 would have to cancel out and reapply as Mr. your head because we've talked about it 15 16 Jones just said. 16 enough. But kind of walk the committee 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 17 through what the process is from this point 18 18 So I think the question then forward. 19 becomes, I guess, do we need to look at the 19 MS. LeGRANGE: 20 20 language that she cited to change the -- I Sure. From this point, it's really 21 think would the process then be is that you 21 whether this rules committee wants to 22 first have to cancel your old contract and 22 authorize the department to proceed to publish 23 23 then apply under the new rules. I think that a notice of intent or whether you want to 24 would have to be the process. Does that make 24 present a final rule for the entire BC&I board 25 that makes sense? 25 to bless. But in either way, whoever you want Page 88 Page 86 1 1 MS. BOATNER: the authority to reside with, the department 2 2 And one more comment on the Mega will have to start by the 20th of any month. 3 3 Projects. I do appreciate the consideration So let's say the determination here today is 4 for the 200%, because as part of the initial 4 yes, LED proceed with these or substantially 5 5 consultants group that drafted the rules, we the same as these, we would send it to the 6 always felt like an arbitrary number was not 6 register and they'd be published in December. 7 7 fair to say a Jackson Parish or Union Parish If you maybe want another around of revisions 8 8 or whatever, because, you know, if you put a or tweaks of fine tuning, then that would go 9 billion dollar threshold, they will never have 9 into December and publish in January. 10 a Mega Project based on their history. So I 10 THE CHAIRMAN: 11 want to thank everybody that worked on that, 11 So right now, in order for us --12 12 because I think that does help those rural let's back up so everybody gets this in 13 parishes. 13 context. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: 14 So let's say that we're able to 15 To your point, when you get outside 15 approve this -- theoretically we're able ti 16 of the river parishes, there's not a lot of 16 approve rules between now and November the 17 manufacturing going on. And so it's just the 17 20th. The first publication would be --18 way it is. You get north of Alexandria, the 18 MS. LeGRANGE: 19 19 number drops precipitously. So we try to make December 20th. 20 it very local centric, if you will. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 21 MS. BOATNER: December 20th. And from the process 22 22 So thank you. moving forward after December 20th would be we 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 would have to have a public hearing on the 24 24 rules within 45 days. Oh, absolutely. 25 Again, the 200% percent, that was a 25 MS. LeGRANGE: Page 87 Page 89

2 January with frame. 2 January with frame shows the publish the rules of the rules				
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And and then what happens once we have the public hearing? MS. LeGRANGE: Then I think we have a public bearing. And as the department does it in all of its rule promulgation, I think the rules ocommittee and LED would review any additional revisions need to be made. If they don't or if there is no comments or if it's just everybody's in support of them is drafted, we have a public hearing and there are maybe to proceed and we can get then final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say we have a public hearing and there are maybe lelieves further revisions are made, then that's going to add another 60 to 90 days because we have to revise it and then publish an An OI within, I think it's, like, April or we're outside that six months covered under these cond cheregency rule. If there is a – inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: It we are inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: The chair is a doo, at it and look at our numbers and go okay, you know, so, wa the fiscal impact of the changes, you're proposing? So that's the reason it gives them some time to look at it. THE CHAIRMAN: This is not any state money. There should be very little fiscal note. MS. LeGRANGE: And I think it's a requirement under the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. I get it. Jeg it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA.	1			corresponding fiscal note that we can send
Okay. And and then what happens once we have the public hearing? MS. LeGRANGE: Then I think we have a public hearing. And as the department does it in all of its rule promulgation, I think the rules committee and LED would review any additional comments and decide whether any additional comments or if it's just committee and LED would be very little fiscal note. MS. LeGRANGE: And I think it's a requirement under the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: I get it. I get it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The soner we can approve the rules have been provided today, the better. The question because where the rules would because where the rules would and then publish at again and have another feat on 90 days. The comments or it is an any late for the rason it is the fiscal impact to the scale and the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		9
5 once we have the public hearing? 6 MS. LeGRANGE: 7 Then I think we have a public 8 hearing. And as the department does it in all 9 of its rule promulgation. I think the rules 10 committee and LED would review any additional 11 crevisions need to be made. If they don't or 13 if there's no comments and decide whether any additional 12 revisions need to be made. If they don't or 13 if there's no comments or if it's just 14 everybody's in support of them is dralted, we 15 proceed and we can get them final in March or 16 April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say 17 we have a public hearing and there are maybe 18 ideas that are presented, the committee really 19 believes further revisions are made, then 20 that's going to add another 60 to 90 days 21 because we have to revise it and then publish 22 it again and have another hearing. And then 23 we're outside that six months covered under 24 the second emergency rule. 25 If then gets complicated because 26 Page 90 27 there is a – inherently emergency rules is 28 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 29 measure, and they're not supposed to go on 29 indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 29 emergency rule period, we will have to publish 20 an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or 21 May we're going to have to proceed with 22 so in order for the new rules to go 23 into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner 24 very outside the six months covered under 25 complicated be go ahead to publish these 26 rules as is or with any additions that we want 27 to make to them in the immediate future, if – 28 to make to them in the immediate future, if – 29 let's say that we can't get it done by 20 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 21 days late. If we can't get it done by 22 Correct 23 And the reason for that is 24 complete of the	3	THE CHAIRMAN:	3	
MS. LeGRANGE: Then I think we have a public bearing. And as the department does it in all of its rule promulgation, I think the rules on think the rules of its rule promulgation, I think the rules on the the resis in an other. This is not any state money. There should be very little fiscal note. MS. LeGRANGE: This is not any state money. There should be very little fiscal note. MS. LeGRANGE: The CHAIRMAN: I get it. I get it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: I get it. I get it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that rigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need what are your supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 There is a inherently emergency rules supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at capture the rules	4	*	4	
Then I think we have a public hearing. And as the department does it in all of its rule promulgation. I think the rules committee and LED would review any additional revisions need to be made. If they don't or if there's no comments or if it's just everybody's in support of them is drafted, we proceed and we can get them final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say we have a public hearing and there are maybe ideas that are presented, the committee really believes further revisions are made, then that's going to add another 60 to 90 days because we have to revise it and then publish the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because Page 90 I there is a inherently emergency rules is emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Iget it. I get it. 1 get it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: Iget it. I get it. 1 get it. Okay. So back to the committee then's the dileman we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question because we have to revise it and then publish that rigger, or do you want to wait until the the second emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish something. Whether it's just the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: Iget it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee the APA. The they's the dileman we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question because we have to revise it and then publish that trigger, or do you want to wait until the coupled today. The better. The question that the second emergency rules in supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they'e not supposed to be used. I	5	<u> </u>	5	
hearing. And as the department does it in all of its rule promulgation, I think the rules of its rules as is or with any additions at the reason for that is away san the reason for that is away sor fit rule, we have to also work on a sorb rile resource. THE CHAIRMAN: This is not any state money. There should be very little fiscal note. MS. LaGRANGE: And I think it's a requirement under the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: I get it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling to the second emergency rule. I there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency approve the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 90 This rules committee is authorized to give	6	MS. LeGRANGE:	6	proposing? So that's the reason it gives them
of its rule promulgation, I think the rules committee and LED would review any additional revisions need to be made. If they don't or if there's no comments or if it's just everybody's in support of them is drafted, we proceed and we can get them final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say we have a public hearing and there are maybe ideas that are presented, the committee really believes further revisions are made, then that is a going to add another 60 to 90 days believes further revisions are made, then the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because we have to revise it and then publish an indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to proceed with soomer may be at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: 15 This is not any state money. There should be very little fiscal note. MAI LegranGE: And I think it's a requirement under the APA. 16 April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say that even prosted and we can get them final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? 10 THE CHAIRMAN: 11 THE CHAIRMAN: 12 The committee and promulgational that was when the interimediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 to make to them in the immediate future, if—10 t	7	Then I think we have a public	7	some timet to look at it.
committee and LED would review any additional comments and decide whether any additional revisions need to be made. If they ofth or or if there's no comments or if it's just the everybody's in support of them is drafted, we proceed and we can get them final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say the way a upblic hearing and there are maybe ideas that are presented, the committee really believes further revisions are made, then the selicoves further revisions are made, then believes further revisions are made, then then yellow believes further revisions are made, then then yellow that's going to add another 60 to 90 days the sective of the solid that six months covered under the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because Page 90 I there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency are supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at least got to start the final process. I there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at least got to start the final process. I there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at least got to start the final process. I there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency at least got to start the final process. I there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency rule period, we will have to publish and NOI within, I think it's, like, April or the provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rule	1		8	THE CHAIRMAN:
comments and decide whether any additional revisions need to be made. If they don't or if there's no comments or if it's just 13 if there's no comments or if it's just 14 everybody's in support of them is drafted, we 15 proceed and we can get them final in March or 16 April. Now, the kick up in that is, lef's say 16 April. Now, the kick up in that is, lef's say 16 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 40 and the many additions that we want to revise it in to make to them in the immediate future, if 17 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 40 and sold the reason for that is 20 of the rule, we have to also work on a 25 of comments, we can supposed to be used. When the reises of the rules was to a short or complicated. But once we have to a sold the accomplicated because 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 25 or comments, we can approve the rules that have been proceed and there are maybe 16 Nake. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would a that rigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would and the scooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would and the authorized to go wou want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would and the authorized to give and the authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? It there is a inherently emergency rule is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 that the give provided today, that trigger, or do you want to wait tuntil the first part of December where the rules would and the authorized to give LED the ability t	9	of its rule promulgation, I think the rules	9	This is not any state money. There
revisions need to be made. If they don't or if there's no comments or if it's just the everybody's in support of them is drafted, we proceed and we can get them final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say that are presented, the committee really believes further revisions are made, then believes further revisions are made, then that's going to add another 60 to 90 days because we have to revise it and then publish it again and have another hearing. And then we're outside that six months covered under the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because there is a inherently emergency rule is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency are emergeny rule period, we will have to publish something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: And I think it's a requirement under the APA. THE CHAIRMAN: I get it. I get it. I get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until anot then be given provided today, the better. The question provided today, the better. The question provided today, the better. The question provided today, the tetter. The question provided today, the tetter. The question provided today until	10	committee and LED would review any additional	10	•
there is a inherently emergency rule. there is a inherently emergency rules is emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to tstart the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: 15		comments and decide whether any additional	11	MS. LeGRANGE:
there is a — inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency rule emergency rule period, we will have to publish emergency rule part least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: I get it. 1 get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need — what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 90 there is a — inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish as something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: I get it. 1 get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need — what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 90 there is a — inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on an All the authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: I get it. 1 get it. Okay. So back to the committee then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today publish the would into then be presented a		revisions need to be made. If they don't or	12	And I think it's a requirement under
15 proceed and we can get them final in March or April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say 16 April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say 17 we have a public hearing and there are maybe 18 ideas that are presented, the committee really 19 believes further revisions are made, then 20 that's going to add another 60 to 90 days 21 because we have to revise it and then publish 22 it again and have another hearing. And then 23 we're outside that six months covered under 24 the second emergency rule. 25 It then gets complicated because 26 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 27 measure, and they're not supposed to go on 28 indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 29 emergency rule period, we will have to publish 29 at least got to start the final process. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 we give LED the go ahead to publish these 24 rules as is or with any additions that we want 25 to make to them in the immediate future, if - 26 let's say that we can't get it done by 27 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 28 days late. If we can't get it done by 29 published would be January the 20th? 20 published would be January the 20th? 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 22 Correct. 23 And the reason for that is 24 complicated. But once we have to also work on a 25 or metals that it will be 26 of the rule, we have to also work on a 26 then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The 30 sone we can approve the rules that have been 31 provided today, the better. The question 32 becomes, are you comfortable today pote on by one you or oby our mant erige the other unter sooner we can approve the rules that have been 32 that's given to dod now it until the 34 first part of December where the rules would 35 not then be presented and promulgated until 36 January 20th? I just need what are your 37 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a 38 maturity togo ahead and publish? 39 MS. LeGRANGE: 30 The Tules committee authorized to give LED 31 the ability to go ahead and publish? 30 motion, you remember a couple of mon	13	if there's no comments or if it's just	13	
April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say we have a public hearing and there are maybe ideas that are presented, the committee really believes further revisions are made, then that's going to add another 60 to 90 days because we have to revise it and then publish gray that gain and have another hearing. And then the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because Page 90 I there is a — inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish emergency rule period, we will have to publish something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if— let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all — if the rules committee cally then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we dan approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you confortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need — what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 There's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we are in. The toay you coult today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would	14	everybody's in support of them is drafted, we	14	
then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The sooner we can approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question because we have to revise it and then publish it again and have another hearing. And then we're outside that six months covered under the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because Page 90 there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency masure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or Amay we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go are give LED the go ahead to publish these are give LED the go ahead to publish these are give LED them and they we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a 25 to be cause an approve the rules that have been provided today, the better. The question becomes, and provided today, the better. The question becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would onto then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAI	15	proceed and we can get them final in March or	15	I get it. I get it. I get it.
ideas that are presented, the committee really believes further revisions are made, then 20 believes further revisions are made, then 21 believes further revisions are made, then 22 that's going to add another 60 to 90 days 20 becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of December where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 thoughts? What are your f	16	April. Now, the kick up in that is, let's say	16	Okay. So back to the committee
believes further revisions are made, then that's going to add another 60 to 90 days 20 because we have to revise it and then publish 21 tiagain and have another hearing. And then 22 tiagain and have another hearing. And then 23 we're outside that six months covered under 24 the second emergency rule. 25 It then gets complicated because 25 Tit then gets complicated because 26 Page 90 1 there is a inherently emergency rules is 27 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 28 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 29 measure, and they're not supposed to go on 29 tin indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 29 at least got to start the final process. 29 at least got to start the final process. 29 at least got to start the final process. 29 at least got to start the final process. 29 at least got to start the final process. 29 at least got to start the final process. 20 at least got to start the final process. 20 are give LED the go ahead to publish these 21 to make to them in the immediate future, if 16 let's say that we can't get it done by 20 published would be January the 20th? 20 published would be January the 20th? 20 MS. LeGRANGE: 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 22 Correct. 22 MAC the rules as is or with any additions that we want 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 22 Correct. 22 And the reason for that is 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 25 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	17	we have a public hearing and there are maybe	17	then. Here's the dilemma we are in. The
that's going to add another 60 to 90 days because we have to revise it and then publish ti again and have another hearing. And then the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because Page 90 there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? becomes, are you comfortable today pulling that trigger, or do you want to wait until the first part of poecember where the rules would not then be presented and promulgated until January 20th? I just need what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 couple of different directions we can go. This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. THE CHAIRMAN: Just for the record, that was when Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to down the nill tries. The CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN:	18	ideas that are presented, the committee really	18	sooner we can approve the rules that have been
because we have to revise it and then publish it again and have another hearing. And then we're outside that six months covered under the second emergency rule. 1 the second emergency rule. 2 It then gets complicated because Page 90 1 there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in	19	believes further revisions are made, then	19	provided today, the better. The question
22 it again and have another hearing. And then 23 we're outside that six months covered under 24 the second emergency rule. 25 It then gets complicated because 26	20	that's going to add another 60 to 90 days	20	becomes, are you comfortable today pulling
23 we're outside that six months covered under 24 the second emergency rule. 25 It then gets complicated because Page 90 1 there is a inherently emergency rules is 25 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 3 measure, and they're not supposed to go on 4 indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 5 emergency rule period, we will have to publish 6 an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or 7 May we're going to have to proceed with 8 something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've 9 at least got to start the final process. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: 10 So in order for the new rules to go 11 into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner 13 we give LED the go ahead to publish these 14 rules as is or with any additions that we want 15 to make to them in the immediate future, if 16 let's say that we can't get it done by 17 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 18 days late. If we can't get it done by 19 November 20th, then earliest that it will be 10 published would be January the 20th? 21 Correct. 22 Correct. 23 not then be presented and promulgated until 24 January 20th? I just need what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 25 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 26 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a page 92 27 This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. THE CHAIRMAN: Just for the record, that was when Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: MS. LeGRANGE: THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I Was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set	21	because we have to revise it and then publish	21	that trigger, or do you want to wait until the
the second emergency rule. It then gets complicated because There is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? January 20th? I just need what are your thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 couple of different directions we can go. This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. THE CHAIRMAN: Just for the record, that was when Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, or comments, we can set up a meeting next	22	it again and have another hearing. And then	22	first part of December where the rules would
25 It then gets complicated because Page 90 1 there is a inherently emergency rules is 2 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 3 measure, and they're not supposed to go on 4 indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 5 emergency rule period, we will have to publish 6 an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or 7 May we're going to have to proceed with 8 something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've 9 at least got to start the final process. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: 10 THE CHAIRMAN: 11 So in order for the new rules to go 11 In thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 1 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 1 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 1 thoughts? What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 1 couple of different directions we can go. 1 This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 Just for the record, that was when Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: 1 And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: 1 THE CHAIRMAN: 1 What are your feelings? There's a Page 92 This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: 1 Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. THE CHAIRMAN: 1 What are your feelings? There's a page 92 This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: 1 We saw a couple of different directions we can go. This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: 1 Was gone so, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you alli fthe rules committee wants to take a couple of days to l	23	we're outside that six months covered under	23	not then be presented and promulgated until
there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if to make to them in the immediate future, if to make to them in the immediate future, if November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: THE CHAIRMAN: TH	24	the second emergency rule.	24	January 20th? I just need what are your
there is a inherently emergency rules is supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if tel's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? Minder was in charge. THE CHAIRMAN: THE	25		25	
2 supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency 3 measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 5 emergency rule period, we will have to publish 6 an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or 7 May we're going to have to proceed with 8 something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've 9 at least got to start the final process. 9 at least got to start the final process. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: 10 Just for the record, that was when 11 So in order for the new rules to go 12 into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner 13 we give LED the go ahead to publish these 14 rules as is or with any additions that we want 15 to make to them in the immediate future, if 16 let's say that we can't get it done by 16 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 18 days late. If we can't get it done by 19 November 20th, then earliest that it will be 20 published would be January the 20th? 20 MS. LeGRANGE: 21 This rules committee is authorized to give LED the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. THE CHAIRMAN: Just for the record, that was when Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN: The committee was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next		Page 90		Page 92
measure, and they're not supposed to go on indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that emergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or Any we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if role it's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? Mind the ability to go ahead and publish? MS. LeGRANGE: Yes. The full board addressed a motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules committee. THE CHAIRMAN: Just for the record, that was when Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN:	1	there is a inherently emergency rules is	1	couple of different directions we can go.
4 indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that 5 emergency rule period, we will have to publish 6 an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or 7 May we're going to have to proceed with 8 something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've 9 at least got to start the final process. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: 10 So in order for the new rules to go 11 into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner 12 we give LED the go ahead to publish these 13 we give LED the go ahead to publish these 14 rules as is or with any additions that we want 15 to make to them in the immediate future, if 16 let's say that we can't get it done by 17 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 18 days late. If we can't get it done by 19 November 20th, then earliest that it will be 10 published would be January the 20th? 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 22 The CHAIRMAN: 3 THE CHAIRMAN: 4 MS. LeGRANGE: 5 Yes. The full board addressed a 6 motion, you remember a couple of months ago, and the authority resides now with this rules 6 committee. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: 9 THE CHAIRMAN: 10 Just for the record, that was when 11 Mr. Miller was in charge. 12 MS. LeGRANGE: 13 And it was a quick meeting. Very 4 quick. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 THE CHAIRMAN: 17 Was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give 18 you credit for that, Robby. 19 Dublished would be January the 20th? 20 But the point is we can move forward 21 today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the 22 rules committee wants to take a couple of days 23 And the reason for that is 24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 26 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	2	supposed to be used, you know, as an emergency	2	This rules committee is authorized to give LED
semergency rule period, we will have to publish an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if— let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? Mr. Miller was in charge. Mr. Miller was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: The CHAIRMAN	3	measure, and they're not supposed to go on	3	the ability to go ahead and publish?
an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? May we're going to have to proceed with THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAI	4	indefinitely. So if we extend beyond that	4	MS. LeGRANGE:
May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? May we're going to have to proceed with something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAI	5	emergency rule period, we will have to publish	5	Yes. The full board addressed a
something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've at least got to start the final process. THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRM	6	an NOI within, I think it's, like, April or	6	motion, you remember a couple of months ago,
THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMA	7	May we're going to have to proceed with	7	and the authority resides now with this rules
THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: So in order for the new rules to go into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? The CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIRMAN	8	something. Whether it's perfect or not, we've	8	committee.
11 So in order for the new rules to go 12 into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner 13 we give LED the go ahead to publish these 14 rules as is or with any additions that we want 15 to make to them in the immediate future, if 16 let's say that we can't get it done by 17 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 18 days late. If we can't get it done by 19 November 20th, then earliest that it will be 19 published would be January the 20th? 20 published would be January the 20th? 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 22 Correct. 23 And the reason for that is 24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 10 Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: 11 Mr. Miller was in charge. MS. LeGRANGE: 12 MS. LeGRANGE: 13 And it was a quick meeting. Very 4 quick. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. 20 But the point is we can move forward 21 today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days 23 to look at this given today's presentation, 24 absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	9	at least got to start the final process.	9	THE CHAIRMAN:
into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner we give LED the go ahead to publish these to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six ladys late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the Correct. And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a MS. LeGRANGE: 13 And it was a quick meeting. Very THE CHAIRMAN: 15 THE CHAIRMAN: 16 Was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. 20 But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the 21 Correct. 22 Tules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, 24 absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	10	THE CHAIRMAN:	10	Just for the record, that was when
we give LED the go ahead to publish these rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And it was a quick meeting. Very quick. THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions of the rule, we have to also work on a	11	So in order for the new rules to go	11	Mr. Miller was in charge.
rules as is or with any additions that we want to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by That's what Mr. Miller did while I November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is Complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a 14 quick. THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rule, we have to also work on a 25 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	12	into effect as quickly as possible, the sooner	12	MS. LeGRANGE:
to make to them in the immediate future, if let's say that we can't get it done by November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a THE CHAIRMAN: That's what Mr. Miller did while I was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	13	we give LED the go ahead to publish these	13	And it was a quick meeting. Very
16 let's say that we can't get it done by 17 November 20th, which by the way, is only six 18 days late. If we can't get it done by 19 November 20th, then earliest that it will be 19 published would be January the 20th? 20 published would be January the 20th? 21 MS. LeGRANGE: 22 Correct. 23 And the reason for that is 24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 26 That's what Mr. Miller did while I 27 was gone. So, in any event, which I think was 28 actually a very, very good move. So I give 29 you credit for that, Robby. 20 But the point is we can move forward 21 today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the 22 rules committee wants to take a couple of days 23 to look at this given today's presentation, 24 absorb it, see if you have any other questions 25 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	14	rules as is or with any additions that we want	14	quick.
November 20th, which by the way, is only six days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a 17 was gone. So, in any event, which I think was actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	15	to make to them in the immediate future, if	15	THE CHAIRMAN:
days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a 18 actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. 20 But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, 24 absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	16	let's say that we can't get it done by	16	That's what Mr. Miller did while I
days late. If we can't get it done by November 20th, then earliest that it will be published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a 18 actually a very, very good move. So I give you credit for that, Robby. But the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	17	·	17	was gone. So, in any event, which I think was
published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a Dut the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	18	days late. If we can't get it done by	18	actually a very, very good move. So I give
published would be January the 20th? MS. LeGRANGE: Correct. And the reason for that is complicated. But once we have the substance of the rule, we have to also work on a Dut the point is we can move forward today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the rules committee wants to take a couple of days to look at this given today's presentation, absorb it, see if you have any other questions or comments, we can set up a meeting next	19	·	19	you credit for that, Robby.
MS. LeGRANGE: 21 today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the 22 rules committee wants to take a couple of days 23 And the reason for that is 24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 26 today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the 27 rules committee wants to take a couple of days 28 to look at this given today's presentation, 29 absorb it, see if you have any other questions 20 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	20		20	
Correct. 22 rules committee wants to take a couple of days 23 And the reason for that is 24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 26 rules committee wants to take a couple of days 27 to look at this given today's presentation, 28 absorb it, see if you have any other questions 29 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	21		21	today or kind of a 1(a) if you all if the
And the reason for that is 23 to look at this given today's presentation, 24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 28 to look at this given today's presentation, 29 absorb it, see if you have any other questions 20 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	22	Correct.	22	
24 complicated. But once we have the substance 25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 26 complicated. But once we have the substance 27 absorb it, see if you have any other questions 28 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	23	And the reason for that is	23	=
25 of the rule, we have to also work on a 25 or comments, we can set up a meeting next	24	complicated. But once we have the substance	24	
Page 91 Page 93	25	=	25	* * *
		Page 91		Page 93

1 week -- the first part of next week. That 1 In the afternoon. Actually -- yes, 2 2 would literally be a hopefully relatively in the afternoon. Actually, though, yeah, 3 short meeting that would a motion and second 3 Monday is probably better. 4 and any discussion about any changes. That 4 THE CHAIRMAN: 5 5 Monday is better. Okay. Then I would then give Ms. LeGrange the opportunity 6 6 to start that process. Or we can wait and would say that we will set a meeting for 7 give you time to do further work, and we could 7 Monday afternoon. LED staff will find us a 8 do that and have such a meeting in the first 8 room. I'm sorry? Yes, Ms. Simmons, LED staff 9 9 part of December. So that's kind of our will find us a room to meet in. 10 options right now. 10 I would anticipate -- one of the 11 Ms. Ardoin. 11 things that I want us to do, Ms. LeGrange and 12 MS. ARDOIN: 12 Ms. Porter, is the questions and issues that 13 were raised by Ms. Boatner and Mr. Broderick So if we give them the go ahead and 13 14 we have a meeting -- to make the changes and 14 today, we need to take a look at those between 15 we have a meeting next week, they make any 15 now and Monday afternoon. And if we need to 16 changes we discussed or some tweaks? 16 make any further revisions, I want to see 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 17 those revisions by Monday afternoon. Robin is 18 They would have to get them done and 18 looking at me. 19 get them informed to be able to be filed by 19 MS. LeGRANGE: 20 20 the 20th. So we're talking about a meeting, Behalf of staff, if we're meeting 21 that would probably have to happen on Monday 21 Monday afternoon and you would like, and I 22 or Tuesday if we do that so that the staff --22 understand your expectation to see a revised, 23 23 Robin is looking at me like, what the heck ar then I think we would respectfully suggest any 24 you doing, Jerry. Guys, I've been telling 24 comments in writing to us. You know, by 25 y'all we're going to push this thing. The 25 Friday at noon or pretty soon for us to be Page 94 Page 96 1 days are the days and the calendar is the 1 able to make those effective changes. 2 calendar. I don't get to change it. I don't 2 THE CHAIRMAN: 3 care that the governor's called a special 3 That's fair. Here's the -- y'all 4 session. I didn't do that. He also told us 4 have had the rules for two weeks. The 5 to get these rules in place. So, you know, 5 committee has had the rules for a couple of 6 it's called moving it at the speed of 6 weeks. So I think that -- I'm hopeful that 7 business, v'all. 7 your suggested revisions will be minimal, 8 8 So what is the pleasure of the because I think we would have gotten them 9 committee today? Mr. Miller could me on a 9 before now if they were, you know, really 10 Monday afternoon? 10 critical. But I also recognize that there are 11 MR. MILLER: 11 stakeholders that may want to, now that the 12 I would like to take at least a day 12 presentation has been made, may want to make 13 or so to consult with the people in the 13 comment, but it's on you to get it to us 14 industry in my area just to have this. I 14 quickly. And if stakeholders want to be here 15 mean, if I need to come back on Monday or 15 next Monday afternoon to make further comment, 16 Tuesday, so be it. 16 we'll take comment at that time as well. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: 17 But, guys, here's the problem. If 18 What about you, Ms. Ardoin? I know 18 we don't do it between now and November 20th, 19 your calendar with teaching is what it is, but 19 we run the risk of pushing it out to the point 20 I don't remember it. 20 where being able to extend the 2024 emergency 21 MS. ARDOIN: 21 rule becomes very complicated and perhaps 22 Monday or Tuesday is fine. 22 impossible. And so then we create a whole new 23 THE CHAIRMAN: 23 dilemma of now we've got limbo. And I think 24 In the afternoon? 24 that's the lava pit we're trying to avoid as 25 MS. ARDOIN: 25 much as anything. Page 95 Page 97

1	All right. So watch to the rules	1 REPORTER'S PAGE
2	committee watch your e-mails for a let's	2
3	call it I'm going to call it 1:30 Monday	3 I, KRISTIE GARRISON, Certified Court
4	afternoon. Ms. Simmons and group will find us	4 Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, the
5	a room. It may be in a closet some place, but	5 officer as defined in Rule 28 of the Federal Rules
6	we will figure it out. And at that point, the	6 of Civil Procedure and/or Article 1434(B) of the
7	goal will be to give LED staff the go ahead to	7 Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, before whom this
8	publish the revised rules as is or as may be	8 proceeding was taken, do hereby state on the Record:
9	amended on Monday afternoon.	9 That due to the interaction in the
10	All right. Any questions or	10 spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes
11	comments? Yes, ma'am, Ms. Carlson. Wait	11 () have been used to indicate pauses, changes in
12	until you get to the mic, please.	12 thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper
13	MS. CARLSON:	13 method for a Court Reporter's transcription of
14	Lady Carlson with Together	14 proceeding, and that the dashes () do not indicate
15	Louisiana.	15 that words or phrases have been left out of this
16	And just for the record, we do think	16 transcript;
17	there needs to be a job requirement.	17 That any words and/or names which could not
18	THE CHAIRMAN:	18 be verified through reference material have been
19	I understand that.	19 denoted with the phrase "(spelled phonetically)."
20	MS. CARLSON:	20
21	I just needed to put that on the	21
22	record.	22
23	THE CHAIRMAN:	23
24	I understand Ms. Carlson, but the	24
25	governor disagrees. I serve at the pleasure	25
	Page 98	Page 100
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of somebody, I presume the governor. All right. Thank you so much. Anything else for the good of the order today? From the committee? From the public? I hear a motion to adjourn? We have a motion from Mr. Moss. Second from Mr. Miller. All in favor say, "Aye." (All respond.) We are adjourned. Thank you very much. (Meeting concluded on/or about 3:05.)	forficer before whom this testimony was taken, do hereby certify that to whom the oath was administered, after having been duly sworn by me upon authority of R.S. 37:2554, did testify as hereinbefore set forth in the foregoing 100 pages; That this testimony was reported by me in the steno mask reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board; That I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board; That I am not related to counsel or the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter. December 2, 2024, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
21		18 19
22		20
23		21
24		22 KRISTIE GARRISON, CCR
25		24 CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
1 / 1		
23	Page 99	25 Page 101

	I	I	ı
&	15 12:12	2036 44:7	4
& 1:8 4:4 20:11	15th 37:23,24	20th 89:2,17,19	4 2:6 6:12
22:22 25:15,15	37:25	89:21,22 91:17	45 19:23,25
26:18 31:21	17 49:11 82:18	91:19,20 92:24	20:1 21:20
37:3 41:17	86:12	94:20 97:18	22:3,21 61:19
42:23 43:19	18 49:12 82:18	21 10:3 28:11	89:24
56:2 58:2	86:12	85:6	453 72:19
	180 10:12 11:1	21st 85:7	
1	18th 5:15 10:24	24 10:5 68:15	5
1 1:10 2:4	1:30 98:3	68:15 70:22	5 37:17 39:1
19:18 36:8	2	24's 70:11	40:19,21,23
43:6 50:12	2 49:24 50:14	24-23 10:5	41:14 42:10
93:21	59:4 71:12	25 66:23 70:8,9	45:23
10 32:9 39:4,14	85:3 101:17	70:10,11,14,18	5's 46:24 47:1
39:16 40:20	200 50:12,14,19	70:24	5,000,000 78:15
44:8,23,24	, , ,	26 70:9,10,15	500,000 63:17
50:12,15,20	52:4,14 54:3,5	27 48:12 70:10	500,000,000
51:25 52:9,17	54:6,7 55:19	28 48:12 100:5	52:3
55:3,6	87:4,25	2a 33:4	5000 51:1
100 2:7 50:2	2017 47:21	2b 33:5	529e 47:19
51:1,7,13	48:9,22 66:19	3	539 49:24 85:2
101:7	2018 47:21		541 50:5 71:12
10th 10:20	48:10,22 66:19	3 2:5 50:19	547 13:22 18:6
12 6:15 18:17	2024 1:9 10:3,5	3/31/2027	549 26:7
18:24 25:1	43:6,15 48:3,8	43:23	551 19:18 59:4
12/31/2025	48:10 68:18	30th 36:10 68:1	559 31:17
45:8	84:9 85:6,8	31 43:15,17	587 28:18
12/31/2026	97:20 101:17	44:5	5a 37:18
43:9,22	2025 43:17	31st 29:8 31:19	6
12th 5:14,14	56:6 66:25	33:16 34:5	6/1 43:14
13 25:1	68:6	36:7 37:10,16	6/1/2024 43:15
14 1:9 26:20,23	2026 44:5,5	63:2	60 90:20
1434 100:6	67:1	37:2554 101:6	
101:14	2033 46:2	3:05 99:11	600,000 63:19 617 1:10
14th 4:3	2034 44:9		01/ 1:10
	1	l .	1

[80 - amending]

8	acronyms	administered	ago 9:17 30:4
	12:25	101:6	93:6
80 34:7	act 7:20 22:19	administration	agree 28:2
80/20 82:14,16	57:25 60:20	9:22 16:5	62:21
82:19 83:24	61:2 88:11	48:19	agreements
9	acted 101:13	administrative	21:12
9 12:13	action 26:22	7:19 10:8	agrees 51:5
90 18:11,12	active 54:20,25	88:10	ahead 31:14
19:9,10,11	55:5	adopted 15:4	43:1 45:19
90:20	activity 67:13	42:18 61:10	49:21 75:1
93 50:2 51:13	69:5	advance 18:16	91:13 93:3
a	actor 41:10,15	18:20 20:18	94:13 98:7
abc 33:9 50:24	41:21,25 42:1	22:11	ai 81:14
ability 22:19	actual 56:18	advanced	ain't 69:14
30:20 64:21	actually 24:11	13:21 14:6	alexandria
93:3 101:10	25:1 39:3	advances 85:5	87:18
able 17:4 20:25	53:14 93:18	advantage 42:6	align 71:2
57:25 62:24	96:1,2	advantous	alignment 10:7
64:18 89:14,15	ad 28:11 29:1	69:18	alleviates 32:17
94:19 97:1,20	add 90:20	advisory	allow 17:18
above 36:22	addition 26:8	101:14	19:1
absent 3:7 4:16	28:13 50:7	afc's 65:18	allowing 65:17
absolutely	71:19	affidavit 32:14	allows 31:12
40:25 41:5	additional 11:1	64:8 65:8	86:8
59:16,20 87:24	28:16,25 65:19	affiliates 31:18	amend 17:4,5
absorb 93:24	90:10,11	afternoon 4:2	62:25 64:9
accept 69:7	additions 54:14	4:10 9:1 12:3	65:3 69:21
accompanied	72:17 91:14	95:10,24 96:1	86:9
101:2	address 14:25	96:2,7,15,17,21	amended 48:24
accomplishes	addressed	97:15 98:4,9	63:6 65:17,18
25:20	12:17 93:5	agenda 6:13	67:6,8 68:6
accordance	addresses 15:2	20:5 24:23	70:14 98:9
50:9,17 59:7	adjourn 99:5	26:18 32:24	amending
accountant	adjourned 99:9	37:3,4	47:23 86:11
40:2			

[amendment - asset]

amendment	appearances	40:16 42:8,9	91:6
19:1 51:21	2:5 3:1	43:4,12,16,23	ar 94:23
65:24 67:6	applicable 16:9	44:1,3 49:12	arbiter 52:25
68:4,20,22,24	29:1 67:9	49:16 62:24	arbitrary 87:6
69:8	applicants 10:8	63:7,10,16,25	ardoin 3:6 4:17
amendments	application	64:11 78:8,23	4:18 5:22,25
7:11 71:4	12:14,18,20	79:1,4,5,6 80:3	35:9,21 36:3
72:12	13:18,20,22,23	appr's 34:20	36:11 38:10,11
amount 16:16	14:4,6,16 15:3	43:18 45:21	94:11,12 95:18
51:15 54:15	16:23 18:8,9	46:2,7,17,22	95:21,25
anne 3:13 8:18	18:10,15,19	65:17	area 95:14
9:1 69:9	19:6,7,19,23	appreciate 4:5	areas 54:25
annual 13:16	20:4,4,10,14,15	87:3	55:5
31:16	21:14,15 22:14	apprised 38:7	argument
annually 31:23	23:13,16 26:10	appropriate	59:20
43:18	26:14,17,21,23	30:12	article 28:10
answer 22:4,4	27:4 28:19	approval 12:10	100:6 101:14
22:8 25:17	29:4,5 30:10	23:1 25:16	asked 6:18,23
47:10 76:15	30:12 31:22	28:19 31:21	85:23
answerable	32:11,17 39:7	43:19 69:5,21	asking 41:2
61:1	43:13	71:5 74:10	assessor 37:17
answers 77:10	applications	approve 5:16	66:9 78:1,7
anticipate 56:3	48:14	12:22 89:15,16	79:3,8 80:10
96:10	applies 29:21	92:18	83:13
anticipated	77:3	approved	assessor's
26:15	apply 84:9 85:5	10:25 23:5	15:16 34:9,10
anybody 30:3	85:11 86:23	26:24 50:4	80:15
34:19 35:6	appoint 22:9	61:20 67:9,19	assessors 15:1
62:1 74:4 78:3	appointed	68:25 83:22	16:6 33:21
anymore 20:19	44:17	85:7,10	42:14 47:2
46:22	appr 13:18	approves 43:12	65:7
apa 92:13	31:17,18,25	april 10:5 36:8	asset 32:8,10
apart 7:3	32:12,14 33:22	36:10 37:23,24	32:12 63:3
apparently	34:25 35:17	37:25 56:6	65:16 78:10
44:17	36:17 39:2	68:1 90:16	

[assets - boatner]

assets 14:13,14	automobile	55:14 60:17	beyond 45:14
32:2,6 43:24	50:24	75:20	45:18 91:4
44:4,6,25	available 19:19	basis 35:7	big 44:14
62:25 63:15	76:9,10	baton 1:11	bilateral 18:13
65:19 78:9	average 50:15	57:11 101:17	billion 52:4
79:22	50:20 52:1,3,5	bc&i 10:9,13	87:9
assigned 26:15	52:14,19 53:11	10:19,24 12:22	bipartisan
association	54:6,8,16,17	13:16,19 40:22	80:20
22:6,7,8 79:8	55:16,18,19	88:24 92:4	bit 31:10 60:16
80:10,15	averages 50:13	beauty 55:10	75:15,18
assume 75:10	avoid 97:24	began 29:9	bless 88:25
assuming 20:6	aye 6:5,7 99:7	beginning	board 1:8 3:2
43:25 44:6	b	12:13 13:24	4:4 6:2 13:15
attachments		18:18 50:2	20:5,11,15,23
14:8	b 19:18 26:7	begins 19:24	22:7,17,22
attend 21:1	32:25 72:19	40:18 42:20	24:23 25:14,15
attendance 4:5	100:6	behalf 96:20	26:17 28:19
attention 31:11	back 10:3 21:5	believe 16:13	31:20 35:16,20
54:22	30:1 48:13	23:7 25:19	36:22 37:3,11
attestation	54:12 58:5 64:4 74:17	38:3 47:25	41:11,16 42:23
14:10	77:1,15 83:21	52:15 56:22	43:12,18 49:1
attitude 78:25	83:21 89:12	65:9	49:17 51:9
attorney 60:15	92:16 95:15	believes 90:19	52:22 56:1
attract 62:22	backyard	benchmarks	58:2,14 66:21
audience 3:19	20:21	50:9	67:9 68:23,25
august 5:14	bad 41:10,20	beneficial	71:3 85:7,10
6:15	41:25 42:4	20:16	88:24 93:5
authority 22:16	baines 3:15	benefit 14:5	101:12,14
22:18 89:1	bankston 57:9	26:25 32:14	boatner 3:20
93:7 101:6	based 6:19 7:12	benefits 26:3	62:14,16,17
authorize	11:6 51:8	best 9:22	63:12,23 64:7
22:10 58:12	65:16 87:10	101:10	66:7,14 67:17
88:22	basically 6:19	better 92:19	67:25 71:9,10
authorized	6:24 15:7	96:3,5	72:4,16 84:23
93:2	42:10 51:20		84:24,25 85:18

[boatner - chairman]

	T	1	
87:1,21 96:13	business 60:2	54:14 71:14,15	19:4 21:3
bombs 61:25	62:22 95:7	71:23	24:13,24 26:1
book 81:12	buys 57:21	caption 2:4	27:5 28:5
88:11	c	care 76:23 95:3	29:14,20 31:1
booted 17:9	c 32:25 85:3	carlson 3:24	32:20 34:3,18
bossier 60:11	caddo 60:8	81:20,21,24	36:1,13 37:1
bottom 79:17	calcasieu 55:1	82:1,5,11,20	37:21 38:22
79:18	calculations	83:3,8 98:11	39:18,22 41:1
bought 48:20	25:11	98:13,14,20,24	42:16 44:13
boundaries	calendar 28:15	carries 6:11	45:25 46:13,20
50:17	28:24,25 29:9	25:19	46:25 47:9,14
bounds 15:8	31:20 32:5	case 54:2,4	48:5 49:8
box 12:14	34:2,4,6 36:19	cases 45:18	50:22 53:5,13
boxes 12:19	43:16,20,24	catch 75:24	53:18 54:23
brand 48:13	95:1,2,19	ccr 1:24 101:23	55:17,23 56:7
break 79:20	call 4:6,7 40:5	central 55:7	56:25 57:20
bring 22:21	41:14 44:15	centric 87:20	59:8,13,17
35:15,19 54:21	46:2 49:20	certain 45:8	60:6 63:8,21
56:18 77:1,15	58:11 86:8	75:11	64:2,12,19
broderick 3:22	98:3,3	certificate	65:11,23 66:4
72:8,9,10	called 95:3,6	101:1	66:12 67:2,22
74:22 75:2,25	cameron 55:1,3	certification	68:2,16 69:13
77:9,21 96:13	55:15 83:12	101:2	69:24 70:5,13
broken 79:22	cancel 85:25	certified 100:3	70:19 71:7
build 15:7,12	86:15,22	101:4,24	72:2,6,23
building 76:6	canceled 18:15	certify 101:5	73:17 74:3,25
77:3,13	18:20	cetera 75:23,24	75:19 76:13
buildings 76:10	cap 51:17,25	cfo 9:2	77:20 78:13,20
built 38:18	52:3	chairman 3:3	79:24 80:6,16
bulldozers	capabilities	4:1,12 5:4,5,20	80:21 81:8,15
75:23	63:25	5:24 6:8 8:22	81:23 82:3,8
bunch 35:5	capability	9:25 10:21	82:15,24 83:5
burden 78:6	64:11	11:13 12:23	83:15 84:2,7
burning 7:20	capital 50:7,13	13:5,11 14:17	84:15,20 85:13
	50:15,20 51:14	16:12 17:6,25	86:17 87:14,23
	,		

[chairman - company]

	1	I	1
89:10,20 90:3	cited 86:20	52:3 54:4	43:19 51:10
91:10 92:8,14	city 22:18	55:12 58:21	52:23 56:2
93:9,15 94:17	58:13 60:15	59:14 62:14	58:2
95:17,23 96:4	61:8	72:8 81:19	commission
97:2 98:18,23	civil 100:6,7	84:8 95:15	13:2 23:18
change 14:5,19	101:14	comes 22:13	24:1,19,22
14:19 17:2	claimed 32:10	41:9 47:8	60:9,10 80:19
20:12 27:1	clarification	49:15 52:22	committee 4:4
63:14 86:20	71:8 72:20	61:24	6:2,6 8:9 9:6
95:2	clarity 82:13,21	comfortable	10:10,13,19,25
changed 14:24	classification	92:20	11:15,22 12:21
29:6 67:16	26:16	coming 21:8	19:16,20 20:1
changes 7:17	clean 30:19	commenced	21:22 22:10,19
10:19 12:8	72:25	29:22	23:1 24:6,6,18
63:5 64:1 78:4	clear 23:3	commences	42:24 50:3
82:17 92:5	75:15 77:2	33:25	51:9 52:22
94:4,14,16	clearly 26:12	commend 9:8	56:2,10,13,19
97:1 100:11	close 72:13	comment 53:24	57:23 58:4,13
changing 29:11	closet 98:5	54:11 57:11	61:17 62:13,19
charge 93:11	code 14:3 23:22	58:6 87:2	88:16,21 90:10
charter 57:13	26:12,15 27:3	97:13,15,16	90:18 92:16
57:24 60:8,13	27:7,8,19,22	comments 6:1	93:2,8,22 95:9
60:17	28:1 100:7	6:3 7:9 8:13	97:5 98:2 99:4
charters 60:3	101:14	11:25 56:10,13	committee's
chase 22:1	codes 13:12	64:14 73:14	19:22 20:3
check 66:2	coincide 38:4	74:7,19 83:9	28:20
67:16	collaborating	84:22 88:3	communication
chief 60:4 61:6	11:2	90:11,13 93:25	18:14
choose 37:16	combination	96:24 98:11	companies
chooses 40:22	13:21	commerce 1:8	31:18 36:21
circumstance	combining 14:6	4:4 13:15	47:20 48:1,3
51:6	come 11:16	20:11 22:22	62:21 63:2
circumstances	13:12 25:14	25:14,15 26:18	79:19
17:2 49:25	30:9 33:10,12	31:20 37:3	company 17:11
	42:1 51:19	41:16 42:23	18:10,14 26:9

[company - council]

2611.22.0	70.4		50.0.1.5.10.0.1
26:11 33:9	compose 58:4	constitutional	68:3,4,6,19,24
41:9 48:11,21	concept 40:4	28:10	69:2,6 79:16
49:15 50:25	44:14 45:17	construction	79:17 84:10
66:16,17,18	51:3	13:24 18:18	86:22
69:20	concern 79:13	29:10,16,22	contracting
complete 29:10	concerned	34:24 35:1	45:5
31:23 43:20	20:20 41:17	84:4,6,12,14	contracts 23:5
46:17 63:15	65:6	86:2	45:16 47:20
completed 46:5	concerning	consult 95:13	48:1,16,17
46:6,7,8,10	22:3	consultants	83:22 85:25
50:13,16,21	concerns 20:25	44:18,19 62:17	contractual
52:9 55:2,6	concluded	85:1 87:5	101:13
completely	99:11	consulting	conversation
7:15 33:10	condensed	69:19	71:2
42:19	12:11	context 27:8	convey 45:2
completes	condition 71:18	54:25 89:13	convinced 68:9
19:21	conditions	continue 31:22	copy 26:13
completion	28:22	continued	74:4
32:15	conducted 59:6	10:23	correct 18:18
compliance	confident 59:23	contract 13:16	18:21 19:14
35:19 37:5,5	conflicts 5:10	13:19 16:8	23:16 25:4
47:18,18,22	confused 82:22	28:9,21 29:7	36:2 39:8 40:8
49:7,10,16	86:3	30:7 31:6,12	46:19 47:5
66:25 70:8,10	confusing	31:24 32:1,3	65:19 67:5
70:11,15,23	72:21	32:24 33:3,13	70:20 90:1
101:11,13	confusion 40:8	33:14 34:22	91:22 101:9
complicated	76:2	35:6 39:15	correctly 86:4
39:23 78:4	conserve 71:17	40:22 43:4,8	corresponding
90:25 91:24	consideration	43:13 44:7,9	92:1
97:21	87:3	44:11,23 45:1	cost 32:15 64:1
comply 86:12	considered	45:6,7,10,12,13	64:8 65:3,6,8,9
components	15:19	45:15,18 46:1	65:10,14
7:24 47:22	constitution	46:6 47:25	costs 63:4
66:19	27:13,18,24	48:24 67:6,7	council 9:9
	41:12 60:22	67:13,15,15	22:18 56:22

[council - desk]

57:15 59:22,24	d	deborah 3:16	76:3
counsel 12:4		december 29:8	definitions
64:24 101:15	d 2:1 33:1	43:15 89:6,9	71:13
couple 93:1,6	49:24	89:19,21,22	delay 69:3
93:22 97:5	dark 80:5	92:22 94:9	85:21,21,21
course 8:12	dashes 100:10	101:17	delinquent
79:21	100:14	decide 57:2	35:16
court 100:3,13	data 50:10	59:9 66:18	denoted 100:19
101:4,24	80:11	90:11	department
covered 21:16	date 14:3 18:25	decided 24:14	8:24 10:11
26:1 90:23	19:2,3 29:2,3,5	33:9	13:1,7 20:7
create 10:11	29:6,8,12	decides 61:24	23:17 24:18,21
40:8 42:11	37:17,20 43:6	deciding 35:15	88:22 89:1
73:5 97:22	43:7,8,9,14,21	decision 20:3	90:8
credit 93:19	44:9 45:1	21:17 23:5	depreciation
credits 72:10	67:13 69:23	decisions 22:11	79:22
critical 32:21	dates 14:3	58:18,22	deputy 9:2
97:10	16:21 17:1,17	decrease 16:16	64:24
cumulative	day 19:23	deemed 14:1	deq 41:17
63:13	21:21 23:4	26:24 32:13	describe 15:11
cure 18:11 76:7	30:22 41:4	61:20	15:24 24:25
cured 18:12	81:17 95:12	default 60:23	33:17
curing 77:16	daylight 7:21	85:23	described
curiosity 53:10	days 10:12 11:1	deferred 76:21	16:19 31:5
curious 53:17	18:11,12 19:9	deficiencies	32:11 33:7
current 12:12	19:10,11,25	18:4,5,9 19:5	description
currently 12:7	20:1 22:3,21	23:15	14:2,12 15:5,9
12:10 18:16	26:20,23 61:20	deficiency	15:13
19:1 20:10	89:24 90:20	18:11,12	designate 57:14
29:7 38:15	91:18 93:22	defined 16:11	designates 27:9
65:2 69:20	95:1,1	16:14 26:12	designee 57:18
custom 70:6	deadlines 17:9	76:5 100:5	57:19
	deal 45:5	101:13	desire 7:13,17
	dealing 64:5,5	definition	desk 42:3
	debated 21:5 54:1	27:14,18,23	
	J4.1		

[despite - enforcement]

1 4 25 2	101 00 17	70.14.16.70.6	00 4 45 10
despite 25:3	dilemma 92:17	78:14,16 79:6	effect 45:13
detail 78:24	97:23	79:9,15	91:12
details 12:19	diminishes	doe 58:8	effective 10:12
determination	54:16	doing 32:22	29:2,6,8 43:8
28:20 89:3	direction 101:9	41:13,19 67:3	43:14 66:20,25
determine 30:6	directions 93:1	83:14 88:12	69:23 97:1
30:11 33:22	disagrees 98:25	94:24	eight 9:17 30:4
41:8	disapproval	dollar 52:4	50:18 52:13
determined	23:2 25:16	87:9	either 25:16
51:7	disapproved	double 66:2	35:17 65:20
develop 79:3	23:6	doubt 59:21	88:25
80:11	disclosure 30:8	dovetails 72:15	elect 26:13 61:2
development	disconnect	draft 7:15,16	elected 56:22
1:7 9:3 50:18	27:12	28:21 71:12	59:4 60:3
52:12,16	discourage	drafted 65:2	election 60:10
devised 23:8	76:20	86:7 87:5	electronic
dictate 40:16	discourse	90:14	38:19
48:17 61:6	100:10	drag 48:7	electronically
dictated 21:23	discuss 26:4	drops 87:19	38:12,16
dictating 58:3	discussed 54:2	due 37:17,19	eligible 32:5,6
didier 62:17	65:16 94:16	43:17,18 67:24	49:25 72:18,21
diedier 85:1	discussion	70:8,9 100:9	72:22,24 75:9
difference 20:9	64:25 88:2	duly 101:6	85:12
differences 7:3	94:4	e	eliminate 49:5
different 12:16	discussions	e 2:1,1 57:7	emergency
19:17 31:10	7:12	98:2	10:6,12 11:1
32:18 33:5	disposed 63:1	earlier 29:4	90:24 91:1,2,5
35:5 40:11,12	disqualify	58:5	97:20
40:15 42:12	35:22		emerging 26:11
45:3 48:14,15	distinction	earliest 91:19	employees 26:9
61:12 79:21	14:15	early 16:23 easier 16:7	encourage
93:1	distinctions		76:17 77:1,7
difficult 22:4	16:2	easy 38:8 69:14 economic 1:7	ended 25:2
78:10	document		enforcement
	34:11 35:4,5	9:2 50:18 52:12,16	15:14

[engineer - fairly]

engineering 51:5 17:15 87:13 enormous everyb 54:15 90:14 entertain 5:15	62:19 1 89:12 oody's 4 one's 2 70:17	28:11,21 29:1 29:7 31:24 32:5,6,7,9,13 33:24 35:18 36:19 40:12,13 40:17,20,20,21	expert 64:17 expiration 44:9 expires 18:24 explain 23:24 explanation 41:24
17:15 87:15 enormous everyb 54:15 90:14 entertain 5:15 everyo 11:18 54:22	1 89:12 oody's 4 one's 2 70:17	29:7 31:24 32:5,6,7,9,13 33:24 35:18 36:19 40:12,13	expires 18:24 explain 23:24 explanation 41:24
enormous everyb 54:15 90:14 entertain 5:15 everyb 11:18 54:22	oody's 4 one's 2 70:17	32:5,6,7,9,13 33:24 35:18 36:19 40:12,13	explain 23:24 explanation 41:24
54:15 90:14 everyon 11:18 54:22	4 one's 2 70:17	33:24 35:18 36:19 40:12,13	explanation 41:24
entertain 5:15 everyo 11:18 54:22	one's 2 70:17	36:19 40:12,13	41:24
11:18 54:22	2 70:17	,	
		TU.1/,2U,2U,21	exploration
	<u> </u>	40:23 41:22	18:17
	ce 20:2	44:11,25 45:17	extend 45:14
entity 59:5 exact		46:12 47:3	45:17 91:4
environmental 72:10		50:1 51:2,6	97:20
	y 16:8	53:1 65:21,22	extension 36:4
	21:4	76:19	36:6,8 38:1
A		exhibit 47:23	85:24
	0 78:21	69:21 71:4	extensions
	ole 14:23	86:10	85:24
, , ,		existing 7:16	extensive 76:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50:24	26:8 28:13	extensive 76.3 extent 15:14
73.10,22 70.11 43.3 78:17 60:8		33:9 47:17,20	16:17 78:22
	ling 50:8	48:1	
		expansion 50:7	f
essential 31:7 excense 73:2.		expansion 50.7	f 28:11
	82:13	70:17 96:22	facilities 13:25
		expectations	52:17
established except		61:16	facility 23:21
23:22 except		expenditure	27:10,17 76:8
	ed 29:25	51:14	76:22
		expenditures	fact 67:8
'	ive 6:20	50:8,13,16,21	failing 49:16
·		expensive	fair 8:17 17:22
	5 25:6,20	76:25	17:24 25:23,25
	· ·		28:2,4 38:8
		experience 76:21	49:18 53:1
_	ot 34:7,7 3 68:15		87:7 97:3
everybody 45:23	3 00.13	experiencing 25:13	fairly 22:5 25:7
13.13 30.0		23.13	59:23

[familiar - framework]

familiar 12:25	38:2,12 44:3	fiscal 92:1,2,5	foregoing
37:2	46:16 48:13,24	92:10	101:7
far 7:2 10:22	49:2,16 68:5	five 8:2 28:15	forgive 88:12
41:17	68:14,22	28:17,24,25	forklifts 75:11
fastlane 38:16	filed 13:23	31:6,7,13 40:4	75:23
42:21	18:20 26:10	40:5,6,9,10,11	form 32:19
favaloro 3:17	38:16 43:23	41:22 46:11	42:10 60:19,20
favor 6:4 99:7	44:2 46:3,7	51:17	61:5 78:19,23
favorite 50:24	85:5 94:19	fix 19:12 76:25	79:1 80:11
82:6	filing 33:16	fixed 19:9,10	format 101:11
february 10:3	35:17 36:17,20	74:21	formed 10:14
85:6,7	36:23 49:11,12	fixtures 75:6	formerly 30:3
federal 100:5	66:24 67:20	flexibility	forms 14:7
fee 14:4 18:9	filings 37:7	17:21 41:12	32:18 37:18
feedback 10:15	38:5	flexible 55:11	42:20 48:14
20:19 22:2	final 25:1 32:15	flippant 76:15	49:2,11
73:15 81:2	43:22 56:5	floor 1:10	formula 51:20
feel 38:5 49:14	64:8 65:8,9,10	flow 43:5	55:11
72:19	68:12,13 88:24	flowchart	formulaic
feeling 62:3	90:15 91:9	12:11	52:20
feelings 92:25	financial 30:16	focus 14:9	forth 21:5
fees 70:23	financing 17:14	focused 11:2	28:22 85:4
felt 87:6 88:1	34:23 35:1	folks 61:2	101:7
fewer 14:7	find 14:22 96:7	follow 32:23	forward 11:16
ff&e 75:21	96:9 98:4	following 28:23	15:4 42:15
figure 11:24	fine 15:1 89:8	31:20 32:1	56:1 62:15
15:19 48:9	95:22	34:2,4,5,13	67:10 81:19
58:16,17,20,22	finish 7:12	43:18 50:9	88:6,18 89:22
61:13 62:4,6,7	42:24	63:19	93:20
98:6	finished 51:24	foot 15:8	four 8:2,2 21:2
file 18:25 21:14	first 9:16 39:6	footing 48:2	25:12
21:18 30:7,12	49:15 56:8	footprint 15:16	frame 90:2
31:18,22 33:3	62:18,23 78:2	15:21 16:1	framework
33:12,16 34:24	86:22 89:17	force 45:13	17:10
35:2,12 37:10	92:22 94:1,8		

[frank - groups]

frank 3:17	given 30:8 38:3	going 8:18 11:8	73:3 75:24
frankly 40:14	93:23	11:12 13:10	79:6 83:4
free 25:4	gives 20:23	15:4,6,11,12,18	93:18 99:3
freedom 41:21	80:11 92:6	15:21 16:3,4,7	gotten 74:15
fret 62:9	giving 30:8	16:13 18:3	97:8
friday 96:25	glen 34:10	19:8,15 20:15	government
front 64:4	glenn 3:23	20:18,21,22	21:7 22:2 25:9
full 93:5	77:25	21:16 22:15	56:21 58:3
furniture 75:6	go 8:16 12:18	23:14,23 24:11	59:5 60:18,19
further 40:7	12:19 13:10	24:17 25:5	60:20,23 61:5
90:19 94:7	14:13 24:1,5,7	26:4 27:22,24	government's
96:16 97:15	24:11,17 26:2	27:25 28:8	21:20
future 38:18	31:14 35:6	38:7 39:4,6,14	governor 6:20
91:15	37:18 39:4	40:11 41:3,13	10:4 21:20,21
g	40:10 43:1,3	42:14 43:3,10	23:3 25:18
garrison 1:24	45:19 47:1	43:11 44:23	29:24 41:11
100:3 101:4,23	48:13 49:21	46:24 47:7	50:4 51:8
geared 77:13	52:24 54:18	49:17 54:17	52:24,24 69:7
general 64:24	55:9,24 58:5	56:23 58:21	83:23 98:25
71:25	59:11 73:13	61:13 68:17	99:1
	74:17 75:1	70:17 74:12,16	governor's
generation 38:17	82:18 83:1,8	76:23 78:8,9	25:6 69:3 70:1
	83:24 89:8	78:10,12,14,16	70:4 95:3
geographic 50:17	91:3,11,13	80:24 83:20,21	granted 53:1
	92:4 93:1,3	85:22 87:17	great 41:24
getting 7:21 22:15 69:2	94:13 98:7	90:20 91:7	55:24 83:2,16
72:13 78:16	goal 7:17,22	92:3 94:25	86:6
80:8	8:11 11:20	98:3	greater 14:9
give 6:13,19	17:7,10 98:7	good 4:2,10	greatest 54:7
23:9 25:5 36:8	goals 16:15	8:10 9:1 12:3	group 6:13
48:1 51:4	goes 20:10 24:3	15:1 19:5 28:6	21:6,23 65:1
76:15 78:24	30:16 33:23	30:22 37:19	87:5 98:4
	34:8 39:13	38:3,6 41:15	group's 78:25
79:8,19 91:13	40:13 78:21	41:25 42:3	groups 77:6
93:2,18 94:5,7		47:15 54:2	81:2
94:13 98:7			

[guess - industry]

grange 42.12	hoon 12.17	hone 5.10 56.5	improceed
guess 42:13 57:4 86:19	hear 13:17	hope 5:12 56:5	impressed 39:21
	20:19,24 25:8	80:3	
guidance 10:9	74:20 99:5	hopeful 97:6	inaudible 69:12
guidelines	heard 20:17	hopefully 38:25	incentive 30:14
101:11	21:7 22:2	94:2	include 14:1
gut 67:16	25:21 56:11	hours 8:3 9:10	27:25 28:23
guy 44:15	hearing 6:4	9:11	31:25 65:18
guys 21:21 33:3	50:23 73:22	house 58:21	included 32:12
35:14 37:2	88:4 89:23	hud 3:10	inclusion 27:1
52:15 54:24	90:5,8,17,22	i	inconsistencies
61:15 64:23	heck 94:23	idea 60:14	73:5,6
69:15 94:24	held 1:9	61:10	inconsistency
97:17	help 15:2 62:21	ideas 90:18	74:21
h	73:1 79:8	identify 73:1	increase 16:17
h 33:1	80:23 85:16	ignore 57:24	increased 50:1
handle 11:16	87:12	illustration	incurred 85:21
happen 33:14	helpful 16:4	45:2	indefinitely
41:5 42:22	77:17 81:3	imagine 55:7	91:4
63:11 68:17	hereinbefore	66:17	indicate 35:16
73:13 94:21	101:7	immediate	44:10 100:11
happened 6:14	hey 64:23	91:15	100:14
73:6	hi 9:1	immediately	indicated 20:17
happening 7:4	higher 54:3,6	23:13	indicates 56:19
happening 7.4 happens 17:13	highlighting	impact 92:5	industrial
17:21 35:11,11	12:8	implement	76:12
40:14,15 90:4	hired 61:8	42:22	industries
happy 62:21	historically	importance	20:12
hard 9:20	71:22	31:5	industry 1:8
	history 87:10		4:5 6:18 7:7,13
58:24 62:19	hold 80:22	important	9:13,24 10:15
72:12 78:3,4	home 57:13,23	14:10,21 16:2	10:17 11:3
81:6	60:2,8,13,16	27:20 30:5,14	13:15 15:23
head 40:3	73:13	42:17	16:5 21:10
44:20 67:4	honestly 59:18	impossible	22:23 25:9,15
69:9 88:15		97:22	25:16 26:11,16
			, -

[industry - know]

26:18 27:10	interested	itepcomments	66:1 67:11
30:5,13 31:21	10:10 101:16	73:16	jones 3:3 4:11
37:3,10 38:7	interject 11:11		10:21 77:6
41:17 42:11,23	intimately 9:7	j	80:25 86:16
43:19 44:18,19	involved 9:7,18	jackson 87:7	july 10:20
51:10 52:23	75:12	james 77:25	63:17
56:2 58:2	iron 30:15	jane 58:8	june 43:6 66:22
95:14	issue 21:4	january 89:9	jury 22:7,17
ineligible 32:13	26:21 69:2	90:2 91:20	56:24 57:18
72:19 75:4,5	issued 10:4	92:24	60:19,24
75:14	issues 21:9 24:3	jason 57:12	k
information	30:8,9 37:5,5	jeff 10:4	
6:22 17:20	49:10 96:12	jerry 3:3 4:11	keep 34:10 38:6
18:10 19:6	ist 36:4	31:8 41:7	38:8 41:2,13
21:12,19 22:22	item 6:12	94:24	46:24 78:12
23:16,19 24:7	items 74:23	jesse 3:22 72:10	83:20
30:21 42:12	itep 9:10 10:6	73:2 74:20	keeping 77:7
79:9 80:4	10:25 11:5	jimmy 3:21	kept 50:23
informed 94:19	12:5,9,21	44:15,21 69:18	key 80:22
inherently 91:1	13:21 19:16,20	jml 10:4	kick 90:16
initial 14:11	19:22,25 20:2	job 37:9,10,12	kicking 48:7
28:15,23 31:12	22:10,11 23:1	44:17 51:15	kind 6:13 27:9
43:16 87:4	24:5,6,18 26:6	98:17	37:23 42:7 51:5 16 52:25
initiated 74:11	28:8,20,21	jobs 37:6,8	51:5,16 52:25
input 58:23	29:17 30:14	47:17,21,23 48:25 49:19	73:4,8 74:17
inside 15:20	31:17,24 32:1	51:1,17 66:18	76:4 77:10,14 88:16 90:1
installation	32:3,24 43:4	67:23 68:7	93:21 94:9
13:25	47:19,20,21,24	70:23 82:18,23	knew 30:3
intended 76:7	48:3 49:23	82:25 86:9	81:10
intending	50:3,14,16,21	johnson 3:14	knock 25:11
72:24	51:9 52:21	18:22,23 24:9	knock 25:11 knocks 30:23
intent 73:7	56:19 58:4,9	24:10,16 25:3	know 6:14 9:16
85:14 88:23	58:13 61:17	36:15 37:14,15	10:21 15:15,17
interaction	74:7 85:6	38:14 40:6	15:21 16:24,24
100:9		64:3 65:12,13	17:13 19:8

[know - long]

20:22 21:8,9 21:11,13,25 23:6,23 29:23 33:22 34:13,19 laid 42:12 landry 10 language 72:17 77:	:4 19:21 20:1 57:13 26:12,19 28:21	liked 29:24 likely 54:18 limbo 97:23 limited 32:1
23:6,23 29:23 language 33:22 34:13,19 72:17 77:	57:13 26:12,19 28:21 4 31:19 33:16	limbo 97:23
33:22 34:13,19 72:17 77:	4 31:19 33:16	
·		limited 32.1
	12.25 56.2	111111teu 32.1
37:24 41:4 86:20	42.23 30.2	line 37:17 66:9
42:5,23 44:6 lapse 19:2	4 62:18 64:24	list 6:19 32:2
47:2 51:22 lat 37:17,1	65:5,8 80:3	listen 54:4
53:6,10 54:5 39:1 42:1	0 89:4 90:10	listening 49:14
56:17 57:8 45:23 46:	24 91:13 93:2	73:22
58:1,16,23 47:1 78:1	9,23 96:7,8 98:7	listing 63:3
60:16 61:16 late 29:16	led's 50:10	literal 15:5
62:5 68:11 36:17,20,	22 left 100:15	literally 8:3
69:1 73:7 75:3 37:6 49:1	1,12 legal 15:5,9,12	94:2
75:11 76:4,11 84:12 91:	18 67:14	little 31:10
78:2,9,11,25 lava 97:24	legislative 92:2	60:16 65:20
79:14,19 81:5 lavergne 3	3:25 legrange 3:11	75:15,18 82:22
81:12 82:2 83:11,12,	18 64:22,23 73:1	86:2 92:10
85:14 87:8 84:5,13,1	7 85:16 86:5	local 10:15
88:13 91:2 lawrason	57:25 88:7,12,19	11:3 12:21
92:4 95:5,18 60:20 61:	2 89:18,25 90:6	19:16,20,22,25
96:24 97:9 laws 59:7	91:21 92:11	20:2 21:7,20
knowing 20:20 lawyer 40	:1 93:4,12 94:5	22:2 24:17
kristen 18:19 lawyer's	5:6 96:11,19	25:9 28:20
18:23 64:16 ldr 12:21,	25 leonard 3:21	50:3 51:9
kristie 1:24 20:7 26:6	,19 44:16 69:10,11	56:19 58:3,23
100:3 101:4,23 ldr's 26:8	69:16,17,18	59:5 87:20
kristin 3:14 leaders 9:	14 70:2,7 71:1	locals 20:11,13
kudos 9:17 leading 25	5:22 letter 20:6	20:25 23:7,9
kyle 3:7 4:15 leaps 15:8	26:19,21 32:25	24:4,20 30:11
l leases 45:	15 32:25,25 33:1	30:21 42:1
la.gov. 73:16 led 6:23,2	5 7:5 33:1	located 15:7
labelle 1:10 7:6,14,23	8:12 letti 3:6 4:17	long 6:15 8:3
lady 3:24 81:21 8:15 10:6	,9,14 life 17:13,21	9:7 15:24
81:24 83:8 10:17,20	12:4 33:15 45:18	16:19 32:9
98:14 12:20 14:	8	59:14 69:21

[long - meeting]

71:18 79:14	101:4,14,17	42:17 48:16	march 31:19
81:25	love 59:11	52:20 54:2,4	33:15 34:5
longer 17:14,16	low 51:14	58:17,22 64:10	36:7 37:10,16
25:12 42:8	lower 54:4	66:3,10 67:18	43:17 44:5
45:13	lwc 13:1,12	68:8 69:4	63:2,16,20
look 6:21 34:11	20:8 26:6,14	71:21 72:24,25	90:15
34:20 35:6	26:20,20,22	73:19 74:8,9	mark 41:14
39:15 51:23	27:1,19,25	80:4,10 86:24	59:20
52:10,13,18	m	87:19 91:15	mask 101:8
54:12 73:24	m 2:1	94:14,15 96:16	matching 66:10
81:17 83:8	ma'am 5:6 54:9	97:1,12,15	material
86:19 92:3,3,7	62:14 81:18	makes 54:5	100:18
93:23 96:14	82:16 98:11	60:7 66:13	matter 62:8
looking 34:10	made 6:25 7:5	86:25	67:15 101:16
49:6 51:23	7:9 10:17 19:2	making 9:21	mca's 29:23
54:13 68:8	19:19 23:3	manage 17:21	30:1,2 54:19
77:14 94:23	70:12 73:2	61:16	mean 38:17
96:18		manager 61:8	41:4,6 44:10
looks 15:21	90:12,19 97:12	manifest 11:5	54:1 64:8
69:14 79:4	magic 53:25 mail 57:7	manner 65:17	95:15
lose 35:17	mails 98:2	manola 57:12	meaningless
loss 36:18		manual 38:21	51:18
lot 8:1 9:20	maintenance	manually 50:11	means 5:9 14:6
14:21 22:2	71:13,23 72:1	manufacturer	60:17
25:21 42:21	76:20,21	76:12	measure 91:3
53:21 62:9	major 7:24	manufacturing	median 79:13
79:18 87:16	12:8 52:8,10	15:25 23:21	meet 17:9
louisiana 1:6,7	majority 58:19	27:8,10,15,17	22:19 27:23
1:11 7:19 9:2	59:5	27:22 28:12,14	52:1 96:9
13:1 20:7	make 5:8 10:20	50:6,25 52:17	meeting 4:6
21:15 22:6	11:21 14:15	61:25 71:20	6:16,17,25 7:5
55:7,8,8 57:10	16:7 21:17	72:17 75:13,16	10:22 12:22
60:23 61:10	22:11 23:4,18	75:22 87:17	20:12 21:1
62:2 81:22	24:22 27:25	map 15:9	49:7 50:8
98:15 100:4,7	30:18 36:21		73:21,21,22,23
	38:5 39:7		

[meeting - noi]

74:16 93:13,25	70:16,21 80:24	motion 5:16,19	nearly 71:17
94:3,8,14,15,20	93:11,16 95:9	5:21 6:10 93:6	necessarily
96:6,20 99:11	95:11,10 93.9	94:3 99:5,6	42:15 56:15
meetings 5:17	miller's 21:7	movable 75:4	65:6
8:2 9:11,12	78:22	move 11:15	need 17:17
23:12 58:17	mindset 31:2	28:8 48:9	22:24 23:9,17
59:7	77:7	93:18,20	24:5 34:12
meets 25:20	minimal 97:7	moveable	44:15 46:3,22
27:17	minute 31:11	75:22	58:4 63:10
meg 57:9	74:13	moved 17:1,17	64:9,20 65:25
mega 49:23,23	minutes 5:13	moves 17:1,17	72:14,25 73:8
49:25 50:5	5:15,16	moving 56:1	74:19 78:24
51:3,12 52:6	mirror 78:23	88:6,10 89:22	79:10 80:4,12
55:18 82:13	miscellaneous	95:6	80:23 86:19
87:2,10	54:13	mule 44:18	88:7 90:12
member 8:9	missed 35:10	municipal	92:24 95:15
22:10 56:20,21	73:20 82:4	14:25 15:2	96:14,15
58:13 60:25	modeling 30:17	22:6	needed 98:21
members 3:2,9	moment 14:18	municipalities	needs 72:20
memorizing	22:1 77:19	60:21 61:3	98:17
36:9	monday 94:21	municipality	never 87:9
method 100:13	95:10,15,22	58:1 60:17	new 10:8 15:3
101:8	96:3,5,7,15,17	61:4,12	19:16 28:12
mic 64:4 98:12	96:21 97:15	n	31:8 42:20,20
mid 67:18	98:3,9		48:13 63:18
miller 3:4 4:21	money 92:9	n 2:1,1,1 naics 13:12	67:4 73:24
4:22 35:8	month 36:12,14	14:3 23:22	82:7 83:25
38:24 39:11	89:2		86:14,23 91:11
41:23 42:17	months 11:2	26:12,15 27:3	97:22
45:19,20 46:9	18:17,24 90:23	27:7,19,22 name 8:23	nice 62:4
46:23 47:12	93:6	62:15	nimble 51:20
49:4 53:9,16	moss 3:5 4:25	names 100:17	nine 83:23
56:13,14 57:3	5:1,18,21	nauseam 21:6	nods 80:8
57:16 59:10,15	55:13,20 99:6	nda 21:11,16	noi 91:6
59:19 68:10		nua 21.11,10	

[nominated - oversight]

nominated	79:17,20 87:6	oh 87:24	opt 47:17,21
14:25	87:19 88:1	okay 15:20	49:18 66:16,18
nondisclosure	numbers 51:16	16:9 26:5	82:22
21:11	51:18,19 54:1	32:21 33:2,12	option 24:25
noon 96:25	55:9 63:18	43:8,17 44:14	25:1
normally 78:19	66:11 82:19	45:7,21 49:21	options 94:10
north 1:10	92:4	50:23 55:21	order 4:6 6:20
87:18	nuts 7:11	66:5 68:3	10:7 22:25
northeast 55:8		74:16,24 88:4	25:6,20 48:11
northwest 55:8	0	90:4 92:4,16	48:15 83:1
note 12:7 66:3	o 2:1	96:5	89:11 91:11
92:1,10	oath 101:5	old 77:14 84:10	99:4
noted 32:7	objection 20:6	86:8,12,22	organization
notice 7:18	24:21 26:19,22	once 33:15	22:9,12 52:12
12:14 43:5,14	objective 16:14	34:22 39:6	organizations
43:22 44:4,8	52:21	42:18 46:17,21	50:19 52:16
88:23	objectivity	90:5 91:24	original 71:18
notification	16:18	ones 23:11	101:2
22:14	obligation 68:4	ongoing 77:2	originally
notified 23:13	obligations	online 55:3,12	32:10
notify 19:12	70:10	77:1	orleans 57:22
73:9	obsolescence	open 59:7 88:2	os 32:2
november 1:9	76:7 77:16	operation 29:9	ought 49:12
4:3 89:16	occur 71:4	29:13 39:6	54:3 58:3
91:17,19 97:18	occurred 54:15	operator 33:11	outcome
nuance 19:17	71:6	opinions 33.11	101:16
20:9	occurs 69:22	101:14	outline 30:23
nuances 14:21	october 5:14,15	opportunity	outlining 10:18
number 7:8	10:24	5:13 20:13,23	outreach 9:13
12:15 27:20	office 69:3 75:6	20:24 42:1	outside 15:20
32:22,24 38:2	92:2	48:2 51:4 52:5	85:22,24 87:15
50:12,14 51:22	officer 60:4	63:6 73:24	90:23
53:12 54:19,19	61:7 100:5	74:15 94:5	oversee 10:14
63:5,13,14	101:5	opposition 6:9	oversight 73:6
78:10,11 79:16	officials 55:16	opposition 0.7	oversignt /3.0
70.10,11 77.10			

[overwhelming - point]

overwhelming	particular 27:9	period 19:24	pipelines 33:11
48:19	39:3,14	21:24 43:10	pit 97:24
owe 70:11,15	parties 101:16	85:23 91:5	place 24:4
own 32:9 60:22	parts 45:22	periods 40:12	46:11 48:17
60:22 61:5	passed 6:15	permanent	68:23 95:5
	48:23 71:3	56:5	98:5
p	past 11:2 33:1	person 58:12	placed 20:5
page 2:2,7	33:20 44:25	58:12 61:9	26:17 32:4
78:14 100:1	76:2,8 79:15	personal 76:21	plan 14:2 60:23
101:3	patient 49:18	101:9	plan 14.2 60.23
pages 101:7	pauses 100:11	personally	60:22
paper 38:13	pay 31:11	59:22	planning 17:11
40:17	pay 31.11 paying 41:18	perspective 8:8	17:12
paragraph 8:4	paying 41.18 payroll 37:6,8	8:10 11:21	plans 14:12
8:4	47:17,22,24	15:14,17,23,24	plant 76:11
paris 57:18	48:25 49:19	16:5,6 21:10	plant 70.11 play 42:5 61:14
parish 50:12,25	66:19 67:23,24	25:10 34:9,11	62:4
51:24 55:15	68:7 70:24	45:6	
56:21,23 57:1			played 61:9
57:9,11,14,17	82:18,25 86:9	phase 32:16	please 8:24
57:22 58:8,8	per 32:16	33:4,4,4,4	62:14,15 81:19
58:10 59:24,25	penalizes 35:23	philosophy	98:12
60:2,4,5,8,9,9	35:25	76:16	pleasure 12:4
60:11,18 61:1	penalties 35:14	phonetically	95:8 98:25
61:4,7,11	36:18	100:19	point 8:7 21:8
77:25 83:12	penalty 36:16	phrase 100:19	21:17 25:10
87:7,7	36:18	phrases 100:15	27:19 42:2,18
parishes 52:7	people 7:9 41:2	picked 58:11	57:4 61:11
57:13 60:20,24	53:21 60:11	piece 15:6,10	62:20 63:22
87:13,16	95:13	15:17 33:24	64:25 65:5
part 11:19	percent 87:25	34:6 39:14,16	66:6 67:10
32:10 39:12	percentage	40:13,17 56:16	73:3,18 76:24
42:15 87:4	29:2	pieces 34:13	79:5 80:7
92:22 94:1,9	perfect 8:7 56:8	40:5,9	84:25 85:2
participate	60:7 73:4 91:8	pipe 33:11	87:15 88:17,20
61:14			93:20 97:19

[point - project]

98:6	possible 7:20	60:2,9 61:7	10:16 11:12
pointed 33:18	17:20 23:10	presume 99:1	12:6,10,12,13
police 22:6,17	27:16 30:16,19	presume 95:1	14:5,9,11
60:19	71:18 91:12	previously 85:9	16:23 21:2,18
policy 56:24	possibly 39:5	primary 11:20	23:8 24:8 25:7
57:18 60:24	posted 50:10	prior 13:24	25:13 26:9,25
polozola 3:7	practical 19:21	19:3 20:14	27:2,20 30:19
4:15 5:7	62:8	32:4 36:7 39:5	33:24 38:18
pop 81:11	practicality	43:19,23 68:1	43:4 56:4
portal 74:9	62:11	85:6,7	74:10 86:1,21
porter 3:12 9:9	precipitously	probably 41:20	86:24 88:6,10
12:2,3,24 13:3	87:19	42:8 43:25	88:17 89:21
13:9,14 16:10	precludes 30:2	88:7 94:21	91:9 94:6
17:3,22,23	preface 6:12	96:3	processes 11:6
18:2 19:13	prepared 8:6	problem 27:11	31:3
25:23,24 27:6	41:7 88:13	27:11 44:21	program 9:23
28:3,7 29:18	101:8,11	48:11 51:16	10:8 22:12
30:24 31:5,14	present 3:9	57:21 58:20	31:8 65:16
31:15 34:1,15	4:13,19 5:2	97:17	76:19 81:14
35:13,24 36:5	88:24	problems 19:12	programming
36:24 39:20	presentation	procedure	42:21
40:24 43:1,2	6:23,25 7:6,23	100:6,7 101:14	prohibition
46:4,18 47:4,6	8:12,15 9:4,20	procedures	101:13
47:16 49:22	10:20 11:9,17	7:19 88:11	project 11:6
53:3 55:25	11:20 56:12,16	proceed 84:10	12:13,18,20
57:6 59:2	93:23 97:12	86:14 88:22	13:16,20,23
64:14,15 67:11	presentations	89:4 90:15	14:1,2,2,12,16
73:10,11,19	10:18	91:7	14:24 15:3,6
74:1 96:12	presented	proceeding	15:11,15,24
porter's 25:10	66:21 90:18	56:3 100:8,10	16:1,8,18,25
portion 36:20	92:23	100:14	17:1,14,14
60:25	president 56:23	proceedings	18:7,15,25
position 8:23	56:24 57:10,12	2:6	19:2,3,19,23
possibility	57:14,17,18,19	process 7:10	20:3,4,14,15
29:25 51:13	58:10 59:25	9:7,15,18,21	26:10,13,16,21

[project - radioactive]

26.22.27.4	00.0	45 10 14 40 6	1. 1.000
26:23 27:4	90:9	45:12,14 49:6	question 16:20
28:19 29:3,4,5	proper 100:12	public 6:3 7:18	41:6 46:14
29:12,13,16	property 13:17	8:14 11:18,24	53:2 58:18
30:17 31:16,21	15:6,10,18	62:13 89:23	62:23 64:13
31:23 32:2,3	31:16 46:2	90:5,7,17 99:5	66:15 71:9,11
32:11,11,15	47:3 75:4,9,14	publication	72:15 76:9
33:8,9,15,23	proposal 59:3	89:17	77:11 78:22
34:12,21,23,25	proposed 10:18	publicity 30:9	83:20 84:19
35:4 39:3,17	11:4 12:5,9,11	publicly 59:6	85:19 86:6,18
43:6,7,9,13,20	proposing 92:6	publish 88:22	88:9 92:19
43:21 46:5,6,7	prospective	89:9 90:21	questions 6:1
46:10,17 50:6	66:22	91:5,13 93:3	8:13 11:10,11
50:7 51:3,4,13	protect 21:13	98:8	11:15,18,25
52:4,6 55:18	30:20	published 10:6	16:22 38:23
61:18,19,23	protracted	89:6 91:20	47:11 55:21
62:9 76:6 78:3	27:14	pull 22:20	56:9,12 62:12
84:1 86:13	provide 7:10	pulled 56:20	63:24 77:18
87:10	10:7 17:10,19	pulling 92:20	81:18 83:9
projected	17:19 26:13	purpose 85:3	84:22 88:3
43:21	51:12 63:6	push 94:25	93:24 96:12
projects 13:25	64:20 67:12	pushing 97:19	98:10
29:21 49:23,25	77:4 78:11	put 21:19 33:13	quick 93:13,14
50:14,16,21	provided 27:3	46:21 54:24	quickly 7:20
51:23 52:1,2,8	27:4 29:12	62:6 78:6 87:8	22:20 23:10
52:11 54:15	30:10 65:24	98:21	24:7 30:15
55:2,11 82:14	92:19	putting 30:1	74:9,11 91:12
83:24 85:5,6,9	provides 28:11	51:19 53:20	97:14
85:20 86:8	28:18 30:22	puzzled 68:9	quorum 5:4
87:3	31:17 47:19	q	quote 41:25
promise 69:15	49:24 50:5	qualify 27:23	r
promises 51:1	79:4,9	27:24 32:9	r.s. 101:6
promulgated	provision 28:10		r.s. 101:6 rabbit 22:1
92:23	30:2 65:3 85:4	48:3 71:19,23	
promulgation	provisions	qualifying	racks 33:11
10:14 56:4	17:18 45:9,11	26:12	radioactive
	,		61:25

[raised - requesting]

raised 96:13	receive 65:20	regardless 32:8	renovation
rate 50:2	received 57:8	47:24 70:12	76:6
rather 35:4	receives 20:1	regards 5:7	replacement
60:18 79:20	26:19	regional 50:15	71:15
rationale 65:4	receiving 44:25	50:18 52:11,15	replaces 32:14
reached 22:5	recognize	register 89:6	report 6:14
read 51:12	27:21 97:10	rehabilitation	31:16 32:16
73:13 74:18	recommend	71:16,24 76:3	37:10 39:8
81:6,9	52:22,23	76:5 77:2,15	43:23 67:24
reading 86:3	recommendat	reiterate 28:9	70:22
ready 68:22	23:2,7 24:20	related 15:25	reported 1:24
real 39:25 58:7	25:8 61:21	32:3 47:18,22	101:8
73:3	recommendat	62:23 75:22	reporter 100:4
reality 44:22	51:8 73:15	101:15	101:4,24
reallocate 63:4	recommended	relationships	reporter's 2:7
really 6:16 7:1	50:3 74:5	101:13	100:1,13 101:1
8:10,10 9:8	reconsideration	relatively 94:2	reporting 39:2
27:15 37:23	36:22	relevant 61:18	48:15 70:15
61:17,18,23	record 8:24	remains 75:9	101:8
62:10,10 71:23	93:10 98:16,22	remember	reports 13:17
72:12,13 88:20	100:8	38:25 43:7	38:2 39:4
90:18 97:9	recuse 5:11	93:6 95:20	71:15
realm 62:2	redline 73:25	remind 73:12	representative
reapply 83:25	74:5	removable 75:9	58:9
86:15	redlined 7:16	75:14	representatives
reason 13:13	redo 52:11	removal 28:25	6:18 59:4
14:20 23:14	reference	removes 27:2	represents 44:4
40:21 61:22	100:18	renew 40:22	60:25
63:7 91:23	referenced	41:3	repurpose 76:7
92:6	12:15 13:22	renewal 10:25	request 18:13
reasons 27:20	reflect 29:11	13:18,19 31:7	36:7,21 66:21
recall 6:17	47:23	42:2 44:7,9	67:18 68:21
receipt 19:23	refurbish 33:10	47:25	70:12
26:20	regarding 20:3	renewed 28:16	requesting
		41:8,20	57:12

[require - rules]

15.0	44	40.2.40.01	70.4.96.0
require 15:9	restoration	42:3 49:21	79:4 86:8
19:7 51:21	71:16,25 76:4	54:16 55:24	88:11,24 90:9
required 14:7	77:11,12	57:9 65:18	90:24 91:5,25
44:2 45:22	result 9:19	75:1 76:18	97:21 100:5
71:14,16	29:23	78:17 79:15,25	ruled 57:13
101:12	resulted 11:4	79:25,25 81:16	rules 4:4 6:21
requirement	resulting 14:8	88:1 89:11	7:15 8:6 10:6,9
51:15 82:23	retro 67:12	94:10 98:1,10	10:11,12,13,19
92:12 98:17	69:5	99:2	10:24 11:1,5
requirements	retroactive	risk 97:19	11:15,22 12:9
37:9 47:19	67:5	river 87:16	12:16 13:23
49:1,3 86:9	return 76:24	roads 82:7	14:22 15:4
research 53:15	reveal 31:13	robby 3:4 4:21	19:1 21:22
53:19,21	revenue 13:1,7	20:17 57:8	36:9 42:15,18
reside 89:1	20:7 23:18	93:19	42:22,24 47:21
resident 64:5	24:18,21	robin 3:12 9:13	48:4,9,10,10,22
resides 93:7	review 12:20	11:8 12:3	48:23 51:3,11
resolution 22:9	14:8 18:6,7	38:20 53:6	51:12,22 53:25
71:3	19:16,20,22,24	58:25 94:23	56:2,11 57:22
resolved 20:8	20:13 26:6,8	96:17	58:2 62:24
respectfully	26:14,18 27:1	robing 9:9	64:21 65:25
96:23	27:3 90:10	rodney 3:15	66:20 67:4
respective	revise 63:25	roles 47:8	68:12,12,23
22:12,16 49:1	90:21	roll 4:8 54:17	71:12 73:24,25
53:24	revised 11:4	rolled 32:18	74:5,7,18
respond 99:8	96:22 98:8	room 1:10 96:8	81:11 82:18
response 19:25	revisions 89:7	96:9 98:5	84:9 85:10
responsibilities	90:12,19 96:16	rouge 1:11	86:12,14,23
48:15	96:17 97:7	57:11 101:17	87:5 88:21
responsibility	rhonda 3:20	rule 7:11 10:14	89:16,24 90:9
67:7	62:17 84:25	10:18 26:6	91:1,11,14
responsible	right 5:12 8:18	31:17 47:19	92:18,22 93:2
66:24 67:19	11:14 25:13	49:23 56:3,5	93:7,22 95:5
restaurant 82:7	27:12 30:25	57:23 59:3	97:4,5 98:1,8
	36:25 38:15	60:3,8,13,16	100:5 101:12

[rules - specific]

	I		
101:14	71:12 72:18	setting 28:22	sit 42:2 64:3
run 39:14	75:5 85:2,3	settled 24:3	site 75:10
61:20 83:6	sections 12:16	shaking 69:9	sitting 57:10
97:19	see 5:13 7:14	shame 37:12	situation 86:13
rural 87:12	9:19 32:24	shaved 21:1	six 90:23 91:17
S	34:20 35:7	she'll 11:8	size 32:8
sales 45:16	45:3,15,15	sheer 54:19	slide 26:2,2
satterfield's	62:24 63:22	sheriff 58:15	sneaky 61:22
83:7	66:6 73:4,7	short 22:8 94:3	solicit 10:15
saying 39:12	74:18,20 75:17	shortened 14:8	solution 79:2
73:19 80:2	75:24 83:2,4	shows 15:10	somebody 41:8
85:11	93:24 96:16,22	50:25	58:11 61:24
says 45:7 71:13	seeing 85:16	shutting 76:24	99:1
85:2	seen 81:24	sides 7:2	somebody's
schedule 74:12	selected 60:10	sign 45:6	21:8
79:23	semicolon 8:5,5	signature 70:1	somewhat
	send 23:17	70:4	27:14
school 22:7,17 58:14	57:22 73:14	signed 34:22	soon 19:21
	89:5 92:1	68:25 69:2	68:22,23,24
scope 14:13 64:18	sends 5:7	significance	96:25
	sense 54:5 60:7	13:6 15:22	sooner 91:12
scott 3:25	66:13 68:8	significant	92:18
83:12	78:5 86:25	14:19	sorry 12:24
screaming 48:7	sentence 8:4,4	significantly	40:6 49:15
scribners 67:4	serve 98:25	29:15	57:7 96:8
seal 101:2	service 14:13	similarly 75:3	sort 23:25 78:7
seamlessly 25:8	32:4 33:20,23	simmons 3:16	sorts 45:11
second 5:23,25	34:14 39:13	4:7,9,14,20,24	soups 7:11
90:24 94:3	40:10 43:25	5:3 96:8 98:4	speak 60:16
99:6	44:4,6 46:22	simple 58:7	77:5 80:24
secretary 9:2	session 95:4	simultaneously	speakers 3:19
section 12:15	set 7:10 11:4	10:10 12:21	special 36:21
13:22 18:6	21:21,22 58:17	24:12	49:6 51:6 95:3
19:18 26:16	85:4 93:25	sir 47:13 77:22	specific 14:1,2
28:10,18 31:17	96:6 101:7	83:10	14:12 16:11
49:24 50:5			

[specific - take]

56:16 65:2	started 9:24	straightforward	substantive
specifically	29:15 34:24	22:5	6:16
29:25	35:1,3 41:10	streamline 11:5	suggest 68:21
specified 29:3	53:20 74:11	12:5	69:4 96:23
specify 75:15	84:3,6,11,12,14	streamlined	suggested 97:7
speed 95:6	86:2	38:1 78:5	suggestion
spelled 100:19	state 1:6 8:23	streamlining	42:14 75:13
spirit 25:19	21:14 52:13	9:21	suggestions
split 82:14	54:12 55:1,5	street 1:10	80:14
spontaneous	62:15 92:9	strictly 71:24	suggestive 77:4
100:10	100:4,8 101:4	strong 37:22	sumit 72:10
st 77:25	stated 10:21	structures	supervision
staff 3:9 7:7	statement 85:3	77:13	101:9
8:12 42:18,20	statewide 50:10	stuart 3:5 4:25	support 90:14
65:4 80:8	50:20 52:19	stuff 58:24 64:6	supposed 37:18
94:22 96:7,8	53:11	77:8	41:16,19 76:10
96:20 98:7	static 51:16	subchapter	91:2,3
staff's 42:25	stations 33:12	85:4	sure 11:21
stage 34:23	status 32:2	subject 36:17	23:15,19 27:25
stakeholders	34:16 44:1	47:3	44:16 64:10
7:8,13 9:10,14	statute 101:12	subjective	66:10 71:21
10:11,16,17	stay 38:21	16:14 52:21	73:19 78:4
11:3 25:21	stays 82:16,19	subjectivity	80:4,10 88:20
97:11,14	steno 101:8	16:16 27:2	suspicion 61:22
standpoint	step 12:13	submission	swords 62:7
9:23	14:11 25:17	29:5	sworn 101:6
start 7:11 8:14	60:1	submit 63:16	system 54:20
8:19 9:4 11:8	stephanie 3:11	63:19	t
12:17 14:3	64:21,23 67:12	submitted 66:9	t 2:1
16:21,24 17:1	steps 12:12	submitting	table 88:7
19:11 29:3,12	stole 26:1	43:11	take 8:13 26:22
43:6,7 54:17	stop 14:18 56:9	substance	55:14 66:5
61:25 86:1	62:7	91:24	93:22 95:12
89:2 91:9 94:6	straighten 73:8	substantially	96:14 97:16
		89:4	

[taken - today]

taken 80:8	tenure 53:11	86:10 94:25	thoughts 92:25
100:8 101:5	term 28:15,23	things 14:23	three 8:2 21:2
takes 17:14,15	28:24 31:6,7	23:11 25:18,21	25:11 37:7
32:17 48:25	31:13,13,24	33:17,19 37:7	39:5 52:2 55:4
talk 12:5 18:3	42:8 44:11	42:7,21 43:11	55:6,15
19:15 31:10	65:21,22	61:15 73:8	threshold 87:9
74:12 88:5,13	terminate 45:9	75:11 96:11	thunder 26:1
talked 23:11	terminates 45:7	think 8:6 9:18	thursday 1:9
26:3 56:17	termination	16:3,6,24,25	4:3
75:8 88:15	45:10	17:7 18:17	ti 89:15
talking 26:5	terms 28:22	24:25 25:5,7	tie 17:8
53:20 60:14	testify 101:6	30:21 37:22	tied 51:14
75:20,21 94:20	testimony	38:17 44:22	tightening
talkovers	101:5,8	55:9 58:1	75:17
100:12	thank 5:6 9:5	59:21 62:1,5,5	till 10:23 11:10
talks 75:4	10:1 12:1 18:1	62:8 63:3	time 6:15 11:9
taught 7:8	25:2 27:6	64:25 65:1,4	21:24 22:13
tax 15:1,16	36:25 47:15	65:14 66:5	23:9 26:10,20
16:6 33:21	55:22 62:18	67:14,14 72:13	30:12,23 41:18
34:9,10 47:2	71:8 72:7,11	77:6,10 78:6	43:10 56:18
50:1 79:7	73:18 74:23	78:21 79:12	61:17 65:5
80:10,19	77:17,21 81:5	80:7,9,19 81:1	72:11 77:19
taxation 34:7,8	83:16,19 84:21	81:2 86:6,7,18	79:14 81:25
taxes 13:8	87:11,22 99:2	86:21,23 87:12	90:2 94:7
28:12 41:18	99:9	88:14 90:7,9	97:16
taxing 65:19	theoretically	91:6 92:12	timeline 38:4
teaching 95:19	68:19 84:8,11	93:17 96:23	times 18:4
team 9:8,10	84:16,18 89:15	97:6,8,23	40:11 41:6
tell 34:12 42:3	thereof 36:20	98:16	79:18
48:6 57:22,25	thing 6:24 7:21	thinking 60:12	timet 92:7
58:21,25 59:12	22:24 23:25	75:11	today 4:6 5:9
79:1	31:4,4 33:5	third 1:10	5:11 7:22 8:11
telling 7:25	39:6,23 58:14	thought 7:1	11:21,23 14:20
81:16 94:24	58:15 61:3	17:15,16 37:19	14:24 15:3
	78:11 82:17	100:12	16:3 17:11

[today - wait]

23:24 37:2	trigger 92:21	22:25 26:1	used 91:2
40:14,15 45:3	trip 5:9	27:18 41:12	100:11
51:11 53:14	trouble 53:7,7	47:20 48:3,8	useful 79:6
68:13 74:6,16	true 63:18	48:10,12,21,21	usie 3:10
78:17 83:14	101:9	49:11 51:2	v
89:3 92:19,20	truth 7:1 80:22	59:3 66:19	valid 101:2
93:21 95:9	try 15:19 23:23	75:5,14 84:9	valorem 28:12
96:14 99:4	27:25 52:20	84:10 86:10,14	29:1 50:1
today's 79:21	62:20 79:3	86:23 90:23	value 47:7
93:23	83:25 87:19	92:12 101:9	79:18
together 22:21	trying 21:6	understand	verified 100:18
37:19 62:4	38:21 40:3	16:8 22:25	verify 26:14
81:21 98:14	44:20 45:2	30:13 39:24	versus 77:15
told 95:4	58:25 66:8	46:14 53:2,8	viable 30:17
took 42:5 82:6	80:5 81:7	71:22 74:17	vii 28:10
82:9,12	97:24	75:6 81:7	villa 3:13 8:20
top 67:3 88:14	tuesday 94:22	96:22 98:19,24	8:25 9:1 10:2
total 63:18	95:16,22	understanding	12:1 39:9
toward 37:4	tuning 89:8	31:8 64:16	54:10
towards 77:13	turn 11:7	77:12 101:10	voice 25:6
track 33:6	tweaks 89:8	understands	vote 37:11 59:6
78:12	94:16	11:22	59:23
transcribed	two 33:4 39:5	understood	votes 58:8
101:9	42:12 74:23	20:16	
transcript	83:22 85:22	union 87:7	W
100:16 101:2,9	97:4	unplanned	waguespack
101:11,11	type 61:3 75:16	14:14 32:12	3:23 77:23,24
transcription	83:25	upcoming 20:5	77:25 78:18
100:13	u	update 32:2	79:2,11 80:1
transparency	ultimately	34:16	80:13,18 81:4
15:23 17:19	52:25	updated 50:11	81:13
transpired 7:25	unanimous	upgrades 71:14	wait 11:10
treatment 52:6	58:19	71:15	69:25 70:3
tried 30:18	under 5:8 7:19	use 9:23 40:7	73:23 92:21
51:19 76:2	18:5 19:18		94:6 98:11
	10.0 17.10		

[walk - yeah]

walk 7:23	ward 60:25	west 57:11	works 74:18
88:16	warning 49:18	whatever's	world 45:5
walking 8:15	warranty 45:11	21:15	57:1 76:14
wandering	watch 98:1,2	wherewithal	79:21
27:14	watching 73:21	61:5	worried 65:15
want 9:5 11:10	way 15:13	whichever	worry 5:10
11:11,19 16:24	20:21 22:13	29:10	22:15
16:25 17:18,19	23:25 31:9	wife 83:7	wrap 40:3
21:8,9,13,17,19	38:6,8 40:19	wisdom 80:23	44:20
23:15 24:2	42:9 45:4 48:9	wish 6:19 81:10	write 42:19
30:6,15 33:10	51:11 54:13	81:10	81:11
51:1 56:8	60:11 71:3	wondering	writing 96:24
57:24 58:10	75:17 76:18	53:17	written 18:13
60:1 61:13,14	87:18 88:25	word 27:12	20:2 60:21
62:2 64:9	91:17	words 100:15	74:6
66:10 68:20	ways 42:12	100:17	wrong 18:19
71:21 72:11	we've 8:1,16	work 7:24 8:1	25:4
73:5,12 74:8	12:11 21:12,25	9:20 10:10	X
74:10,19,21	22:1,5 30:18	12:1 25:7 42:9	x 2:1,1 51:17
76:15,17,19	33:2,19 38:1,2	42:19,24,25	51:25 52:3
77:1,7 78:23	56:10,17 76:2	44:18 53:21	
79:1 87:11	83:21 88:15	62:20 76:2	y
88:23,25 89:7	91:8 97:23	79:3,7 80:3,9	y'all 7:25 9:11
91:14 92:21	weather 5:8	91:25 94:7	53:1 58:19,20
96:11,16 97:11	website 50:10	worked 7:10	58:22,24 73:4
97:12,14	73:25 74:6,7	24:2 78:3	83:14,19 88:1
wanted 6:13	weeds 64:5	87:11	94:25 95:7
7:14 14:15	week 94:1,1,15	workforce 13:2	97:3
16:16 44:16	weekends 83:7	23:18 24:1,19	yards 83:23
48:8 54:21	weeks 21:2	24:22	yeah 13:10
wants 23:6	25:12 97:4,6	working 7:6	21:10 31:9
34:20 62:3	welcome 4:3	8:3,5 10:23	46:14,16 47:1
69:20 74:4	74:19 80:17	21:5,23 42:13	54:21,21 55:1
86:14 88:21	went 43:24	65:1 77:6	63:2 68:17,18
93:22	44:19	78:25	79:12 80:22

[yeah - zz]

81:1,16 82:16 96:2 year 29:9 31:6 31:7,20 32:5,9 33:15,20 34:2 34:4,6,8,13 35:2,3,7,7,17 36:19,19 39:8 40:19,20,21,23 41:14 43:12,16 43:20,24 44:23 50:12,15,20 56:4 63:10,15 63:17 66:22,23 67:18,20,20 68:1,5,14 69:22,22 71:5 85:22 **years** 9:17 28:16,17,24,25 30:4 31:13 32:6,23 39:15 39:16 41:22 44:8,24 46:11 51:17,25 52:9 52:18 54:12 55:3,6 65:20 \mathbf{Z} **zero** 47:23 86:11 **zz** 33:1